

**Faculty of Behavioural Sciences**

**MSc Programme: Educational Science and  
Technology**

**Track: Technology Applications in  
Education and Training (TAET)**

**Final Project**



**University of Twente**

*The Netherlands*

# **Templates for Authoring Pedagogically-Effective Reusable Learning Objects**

**By: Leonard W. Wamakote**

**Supervisor: Dr. Allard Strijker**

**September 2006**

Final Version

# Table of Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Dedication</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	<i>viii</i>
<b>1 Introduction to the research</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1 Reusability versus Pedagogical Effectiveness</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.2 Templates for Reusable, Pedagogically-rich Courseware</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1.3 Problem Statement and Research Questions</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.4 The Organisational Context</b>	<b>4</b>
1.4.1 The Organisation TNO	4
1.4.2 Motivational factors for using the LT Lab Moodle™ testing site	5
1.4.3 Preliminary setup	5
<b>1.5 General outline of the thesis</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2 Effective E-Learning Design and Reusability of E-Learning Content</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.1 Instructional Design</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1.1 The Instructional Design Process	7
2.1.2 Overview of instructional theories	8
2.1.3 Over-arching principles of instruction	13
<b>2.2 Structuring of Learning Content</b>	<b>15</b>
2.2.1 Modularity (Information chunks)	15
2.2.2 Learning Objects	16
<b>2.3 Use of Templates</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.4 CMS Support for Pedagogy</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>2.5 Overview of Pedagogical Approaches</b>	<b>20</b>
2.5.1 Collaborative Learning	21
2.5.2 Problem-based learning	21
2.5.3 Task-based Learning approach	23
<b>2.6 Analysis Phase</b>	<b>24</b>
2.6.1 User-requirements Analysis	24
2.6.2 Results from the Interview	25
2.6.3 Implications for template design	27
<b>2.7 Concluding the literature review and analysis</b>	<b>28</b>
2.7.1 Summary of the research questions	28
<b>3 Template Design</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>3.1 Overview of Developmental Research Approach</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>3.2 Template Design</b>	<b>30</b>
3.2.1 The Problem-Based Learning (PBL) template	31
3.2.2 The Task-Based Learning (TBL) Template	35
<b>3.3 Concluding Remarks on the Design Phase</b>	<b>39</b>

<b>4</b>	<b><i>Development and Implementation of the Templates</i></b>	<b>40</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Over-view of the Moodle Course-editing Interface</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Development of the Problem-Based Learning (PBL) Template</b>	<b>41</b>
4.2.1	Welcome and Course Information section	41
4.2.2	What is the current Problem?	42
4.2.3	The Brainstorm Session	43
4.2.4	The Learning Modules	43
4.2.5	The Summary Module	44
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Development of the Task-Based Learning (TBL) Template</b>	<b>45</b>
4.3.1	Welcome and Course Information section	45
4.3.2	The Introductory Task	47
4.3.3	Other Learning Tasks	47
4.3.4	The Course Summary Task	48
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Implementation of the Problem-Based Learning (PBL) Template</b>	<b>48</b>
4.4.1	Welcome and Course Information	48
4.4.2	Main Problem Description	49
4.4.3	The Brainstorm Session	50
4.4.4	The learning Modules	51
4.4.5	The Summary Module	53
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Implementation of the Task-Based Learning (TBL) Template</b>	<b>53</b>
4.5.1	Welcome and course Information Section	53
4.5.2	The Introductory Task	55
4.5.3	The other Learning Tasks	56
4.5.4	The Course Summary Task	56
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Wrap-up on the development and implementation process</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>5</b>	<b><i>Evaluation of the Impact of the Templates</i></b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Goals and Objectives of the Evaluation</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Target Group</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>58</b>
5.3.1	The 4E Model for Predicting the Likelihood of Use of Educational Technology	58
5.3.2	The research Questions	59
5.3.3	Criteria for Educational Effectiveness	59
5.3.4	Criteria for Ease of Use	59
5.3.5	Criteria for Reusability	60
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Evaluation Tools</b>	<b>60</b>
5.4.1	Part A: Background information	60
5.4.2	Part B: The TBL course and its components	61
5.4.3	Part C: The PBL course and its components	61
5.4.4	Part D: The TBL template	61
5.4.5	Part E: The PBL template	61
5.4.6	Comparison of the templates	61
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Evaluation Procedure</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>5.6</b>	<b>Results from the Evaluation</b>	<b>62</b>
5.6.1	Background Information	62
5.6.2	Evaluation of the Example Courses	63
5.6.3	Evaluation of the Templates	67
<b>Table 6. Evaluation of the TBL template</b>		<b>67</b>

5.6.4	Final remarks on the templates _____	69
<b>6</b>	<b><i>Conclusions, recommendations and Reflection</i></b> _____	<b>70</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Discussion of results and Conclusions</b> _____	<b>70</b>
6.1.1	Research question 1: Ease of Use of the Templates _____	70
6.1.2	Research question 2: Production of Pedagogically-effective Learning Objects _____	71
6.1.3	Research Question 3: Template Support for Reusability of Learning Objects _____	71
6.1.4	Research Question 4: Comparison of the TBL and PBL Templates _____	72
6.1.5	Summary of conclusions _____	72
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Recommendations from the study</b> _____	<b>73</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Personal Reflection</b> _____	<b>73</b>
	<i>Summary</i> _____	<b>75</b>
	<i>References</i> _____	<b>77</b>
	<i>Appendices</i> _____	<b>81</b>
	<b>Appendix 1: Interview Plan for Needs Assessment, RNLAF</b> _____	<b>81</b>
	<b>Appendix 2: Report on Interview with Director of Policy, RNLAF</b> _____	<b>84</b>
	<b>Appendix 3: Evaluation Questionnaire</b> _____	<b>87</b>
	<b>Appendix 4. Introductory Letter to Participants of the Evaluation Workshop</b> _____	<b>95</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1. The ADDIE Model of Instructional Design (Clark, 1995)	8
Figure 2. A representation of the content / performance interaction in the CDT (Keasley, 2006).	10
Figure 3. The primary presentation forms of CDT	10
Figure 4. A concept map of the Problem-Based Learning Template	33
Figure 5. Structure of PBL Template	34
Figure 6. A concept map of the Task-Based Learning Template	37
Figure 7. Structure of the Task-Based Learning Template	38
Figure 8. The course-view screen in Moodle	40
Figure 9. A screenshot of the PBL Template displaying the “Welcome and Course Information” section	42
Figure 10. A screenshot of the PBL Template showing the “current Problem”, “Brainstorm” and “Exposure Module” areas	43
Figure 11. A screenshot of the PBL Template displaying the “Practice”, “Process Demo”, and “Maintenance Demo” Modules	44
Figure 12. A screenshot of the PBL Template displaying the “Summary Module”	45
Figure 13. A screenshot of the TBL template showing the “Welcome and Course Information” section	46
Figure 14. A screenshot of the TBL Template displaying the “Introductory”, “Exploratory”, “Procedural”, and “Practice” Tasks.	47
Figure 15. Welcome and course information section in the PBL course	49
Figure 16. A screenshot of the Working procedure for the PBL course	50
Figure 17. Screenshot of the brainstorm working procedure	51
Figure 18. Screenshot of first chat page in Brainstorm session of the PBL course	51
Figure 19. The learning and Summary Modules in the PBL course	52
Figure 20. Assignment submission page in the PBL course	53
Figure 21. Welcome and Course Information page in the TBL course	54
Figure 22. Information “About this Course” in the TBL course	54
Figure 23. Display of the sub-task modules in the TBL course	55
Figure 24. The 4E model for predicting the likelihood of technology use in education	58

## List of Tables

Table 1. Respondents' background information _____	63
Table 2. Evaluation of the TBL example course: Presentation _____	63
Table 3. Evaluation of the TBL example course: Structure _____	64
Table 4. Evaluation of the PBL example course: Presentation _____	65
Table 5. Evaluation of the PBL example course: Structure _____	66
Table 6. Evaluation of the TBL template _____	67
Table 7. Evaluation of the PBL template _____	68
Table 8: Comparison of the templates _____	69

## **Dedication**

This work is dedicated to my entire family for your support and perseverance while I was away opening fresh knowledge frontiers. To you I know that during that period I was much like the winter sun: even at its brightest, you never experience its warmth! You deserve all the fruits that will spring from these endeavours.

## **Acknowledgement**

This work would not have been possible without the support and encouragement of several individuals. I am indebted to Prof. Livingstone Luboobi, the Vice Chancellor of Makerere University for granting me a study leave to pursue the MSc. (TAET) course at the University of Twente. The support of many colleagues at Makerere University (whom I wont enumerate for brevity) was crucial in enabling me take on and complete what initially looked like an impossible task.

The staff at the Faculty of Behavioural Sciences at the University of Twente deserve special recognition for their personal and flexible approach in dealing with me as their student. Dio, Frances, and Jan from the Administration office made me feel special with their friendly and prompt responses to the numerous queries and correspondence from me that must have jammed their mail boxes. My instructors Allard, Cees, Gerald, Joachim, Johan, and Petra did a splendid job in opening up my mind to the field of Technology Applications in Education and Training. I will remain indebted to Allard for the extra effort he put in to enable me develop this research topic and for the wonderful guidance and support offered to me as my supervisor for this project.

My special thanks go to Dr. Eddy Boot of TNO LT Lab for availing me the opportunity to use the LT Lab Moodle test environment for this project. His guidance and support was crucial in shaping both the process and product of this project. The rest of the staff at the TNO LT Lab were always willing to assist me as need arose; for this I offer my sincere appreciation. This report was only made possible by the willingness of the training staff from the Royal Netherlands Air Force to participate in the study. I highly applaud them for their willingness to spare time for this project from their very busy schedules.

I had the benefit of interacting with a wonderful team of colleagues in the Netherlands which made my stay there worth every second. The ever-friendly housemates at Calslaan 1, headed by Charu the competent “floor captain”; my supportive course mates in the EST programme; the joyful housemates in the mighty Macandra; friends at the ITC, especially Ben, Dennis and Gabriel; the entire fraternity of Ugandan students in the Netherlands, especially those at the Rijk University Groningen. You all played a big role in enriching my experiences and I will continue to hold fond memories of our interaction.

While I was away in the Netherlands, I was comforted by the presence back at home of a large number of friends who helped my family get on by providing moral and material support during that difficult time. The list is too long to fit here, but you have left a permanent impression in my mind for being there when we needed you most.

Finally, my special appreciation goes to my family who bore the brunt of my absence while I took time off to pursue new knowledge frontiers. Without your sacrifice, patience and perseverance, I would never have completed this programme. The words of the singer Bryan Adams’ ably summarise this: “Everything I do, I do it for You!”

**MAY GOD BLESS YOU ALL**

Leonard Wamakote,  
September, 2006.