

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

OPTIMISATION OF MATERIALS HANDLING FLEET PERFORMANCE

CASE STUDY: HIMA CEMENT QUARRY

NAME: WANKWAKI SHARON

REG NO: BU/UP/2014/356

SUPERVISOR: Mr. BAKAMA MICHEAL

A research project report Submitted in to the Department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering in partial fulfillment for the award of a Bachelor of Science in Mining Engineering at Busitema University

Abstract

In the surface mining industry, fleets of trucks and loaders are the most commonly used for materials handling. In order to maximize truck per shovel match factor, fleet management has to be well organized, the equipment selection problem therefore involves choosing a fleet of trucks and loaders that have the capacity to move the materials specified in the mine plan. The optimisation problem is to select these fleets such that the overall cost of materials handling is minimised. The scale of operations is such that although a single machine may cost several million dollars to purchase, the cost of operation outweighs this expense over several years. The equipment selection problem is cursed with a cascade of inter-dependent variables and parameters. For example, the cost of operating a piece of equipment depends on its utilisation; the utilisation depends on the availability of the equipment; and the availability depends on the age of the equipment

At Hima cement quarry, the expected productivity is never being met and one of the main problems is mismatching fleet. As a result, more is spent on power (more kiloWatts for each ton of limestone), maintenance costs, risk of stopping the clinkering plant (billions lost for each stoppage), higher charges from the contractor – fuel, spares.

This research project was therefore aimed at optimizing fleet in terms of performance. This objective was archived by assessing current fleet performance of Hima cement quarry, developing a mathematical model and the results of the model were tested in MATLAB using experimental methods. Several tests had to be run before making conclusions and recommendations.

DECLARATION

I, WANKWAKI SHARON, registration number BU/UP/2014/356, declare that this research
project report is my original work and has never been presented to any university or institution for
the award of a bachelor's degree in mining engineering or any other related award.
Signature:
Date:

Approval	
----------	--

This proposal report has been ideally submitted to the department of Mining and Water Resources
Engineering for examination with approval from my supervisor
MR BAKAMA MICHEAL
Signature:
Date:

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my parents who have raised me up, given me financial assistance, parental guidance and counseling plus encouragement in all my academic endeavors, my lecturers for the skills imparted into me, the management of Hima Cement limited for the untimely support they gave me and finally my fellow course mates whom we worked together to provide useful information in this report

Acknowledgement

I take this opportunity to thank my supervisor **Mr. Nasasira Michael for** his support, guidance and encouragement while carrying out this research. In a special way I want to thank **Mr. Olupot Emmanuel**, the mining engineer at Hima cement and **Mr. Nkukuta Steven** who is the contractor Hima cement quarry for their technical contribution to this research project.

In particular, am also thankful to the head of department mining and water resources engineering and all my lecturers upon impacting this terminal knowledge to me. Finally, to my course mates, for their support, ideas and guidance in the implementation of this research project.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Approval	iv
DEDICATION	v
Acknowledgement	vi
1.1BACKGROUND	x
1.3 JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY	3
1.4 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	3
1.5 Scope and Limitation of the study	4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.0 Materials handling	4
2.1Design of materials handling system	4
2.2 Objectives of materials handling	5
2.3Haulage in surface mining	5
Trucks	5
2.4 Loading in surface mining	7
Loaders	7
2.5 Truck Cycle time	8
2.6 Shovel truck productivity	9
2.6.1 The match factor	9
2.7 Mining method selection	10
2.8 Equipment selection	10
2.7The Fleet Management System (FMS)	12
CHAPTER THREE- METHODOLOGY	12
3.0 Introduction	12

3.1 Specific objective one: To assess the current fleet performance	12
3.1.1 Secondary data	13
3.3 Specific objective 3: To Test and validate the results of the model	18
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	20
4.0 Introduction.	20
4.1 Specific objective one: To assess the current fleet performance	20
4.1.2 the loader cycle time for the different loaders at Hima quarry	20
4.2 Specific objective two: To develop a model for optimization of fleet performance .	21
4.3 Specific objective three: To test and validate the results of the model	23
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	28
5.1 Conclusion	28
5.2 Recommendations	28
List of tables	
List of tables Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes	13
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes	18
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes	18
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes	18 20 21
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times	18 20 21 23
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times Table 5:Results obtained using mathematical equations	18 20 21 23 24
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times Table 5:Results obtained using mathematical equations Table 6: Results from the first test run.	18 20 21 23 24
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times Table 5:Results obtained using mathematical equations Table 6: Results from the first test run. Table 7: Results from the first test run.	
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times Table 5:Results obtained using mathematical equations Table 6: Results from the first test run Table 7: Results from the first test run Table 8 Results from the second test run	
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times Table 5:Results obtained using mathematical equations Table 6: Results from the first test run Table 7: Results from the first test run Table 8 Results from the second test run Table 9: Results from the second test run	
Table 1:showing tools used in calculating cycletimes Table 2:shows parameters that were used in developing the model Table 3:Shows results of average truck cycle times Table 4:Results of average loader cycle times Table 5:Results obtained using mathematical equations Table 6: Results from the first test run Table 7: Results from the first test run Table 8 Results from the second test run Table 9: Results from the second test run Table 10: Results from the third test run.	

Table 13: Results from the fourth test run	27
List of figures	
Figure 1: schematic of truck shovel operation	2
Figure 2:shows a Tipper truck	6
Figure 3:shows a wheel loader	8
Figure 4:A clear defination of the algorithm used	17