

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND MANANAGENT

FIELD EVALUATION OF SOIL -BORNE FUNGAL ENTOMOPATHOGENS FOR MANAGEMENT OF SWEETPOTATO WEEVILS ON TANZANIA SWEETPOTATO VARIETY IN UGANDA

BY

AYAPO DOROTHY GRACE

BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE

BU/UP/2018/2016

Email: dayaps2016@gmail.com

TEL: 0760962400/0740112558

SUPERVISOR: DR. OPIO PETER

A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION
AND MANAGEMENT IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
THE AWARD OF A DEGREE IN BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE OF
BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

DECLARATION

I Ayapo Dorothy Grace do solemnly declare that this research report consists of data which was originally collected from the field by, me and no part of this report has been submitted to any university or institution for the award of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture

Signature: Thumpa...

Name: Ayapo Dorothy Grace

Date 28 7 2023

APPROVAL

This research report has been approved by the academic supervisor

Date	• • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • •	•••••
Sign	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••

DR. OPIO PETER

DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my father, Mr. Egau joseph, Aunty Deborah Eyatu, Otubeny Emmanuel and my family members who have always supported me through my education life up to the level am at the moment. God bless you.

Furthermore I dedicate this report to my academic supervisor Dr. Opio Peter and all the staff of Busitema University Arapai campus for the love, care and support they rendered to me during my research. May the Almighty God bless the work of your hands.

Lastly I also dedicate this report to all my friends and course mates.

I have done this to all of you for your immeasurable, tangible/non tangible motivation and moral support rendered to me during the studies as well as the completion of this report, may the almighty lord bless you all generously and abundantly; Amen.

ACKNOWLEGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to the almighty God for giving me the ability, persistence and the energy to complete this report

Special thanks go to my supervisor Dr. Opio Peter who gave guidance, support, time and knowledge

I would like to acknowledge the staff of Root crops program at National Crop Resources Research Institute especially Dr. Odongo Chris, Dr. Yada Bernard, Mr. Paul Musana, Ms. Alajo Agnes and Mr. Odongo Joseph for giving opportunity to carry out the research with them, thanks for your guidance and support.

I would also like to thank all my lecturers; Dr. Ekou Justine, Dr Lubadde, Mr. Turyasingura Mr. Okiror Simon Peter Mr. Mayo Robert ,Mr. Andiku Charles, Mr. Munu Nicolas, Ms Gumisiriya Costa, Mrs. Asero Diana and other staffs of Busitema University Arapai Campus for your knowledge you rendered to me through the academic years.

Lastly thanks go to all my class mates especially Gumbiri Yunus, Kibale Nathan, Aguti Judith, Loyce Apio, and Keziah Ayao for your support during data collection.

MAY GOD GREATLY AND ABUNDANTLY BLESS YOU

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATIONi
APPROVALii
DEDICATIONiii
ACKNOWLEGEMENTiv
ΓABLE OF CONTENTSv
LIST OF FIGURESvii
LIST OF TABLESviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSix
ABSTRACTx
CHAPTER ONE1
1.0 INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background to the study
1.2. Statement of the problem
1.3. Justification of the study
1.4. Objectives of the study
1.4.1. General objective5
1.4.1. Specific objective5
1.5. Hypothesis of the study

1.7. The scope of the study	
CHAPTER TWO	
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1Taxonomy, Origin and Growth habit of sweetpotatoes	
2.2. Sweet potato production in the world	
2.3. Importance of Sweetpotatoes	
2.4 Constraints to Sweetpotatoes production	
2.5 Sweetpotato weevils and post-harvest losses10	
2.5.1 Biology of Sweetpotato Weevils	
2.5.2. Detection and identification	
2.5.3 Morphology	
2.5.4 Damage / Symptoms and signs of the presence of the sweetpotato weevil	
13	14
13 2.5.5 Distribution and Ecology of Sweet Potato Weevils	
2.5.5 Distribution and Ecology of Sweet Potato Weevils 2.6 Management of Sweet Potato Weevils 14 CHAPTER THREE	
2.5.5 Distribution and Ecology of Sweet Potato Weevils 2.6 Management of Sweet Potato Weevils 14 CHAPTER THREE 8 3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
2.5.5 Distribution and Ecology of Sweet Potato Weevils 2.6 Management of Sweet Potato Weevils 14 CHAPTER THREE 8 3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS 18 3.1 Study area description	

	3.4 Data collection
	3.5 Parameters
C] 23	HAPTER FOUR
	4.0 RESULTS
	4.1 Effect of entomopathogenic fungi of sweetpotato weevil on leaf and stem damage
	4.2 Effect of various entomopathogenic fungal isolates of sweet potato weevil on vine and tuber yield
	25
C] 27	HAPTER FIVE
C]	HAPTER SIX
	6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS29
R]	EFERENCE
A:	PPENDIX 1: PHOTOS

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2 Mixing of treatments in the field	. 33
Figure 3 Mixing treatments	. 34
Figure 4 Spraying the sweetpotatoes with trts	. 34
Figure 5 Assessing for weevil damage n data collection	. 34
Figure 6 Spraying	34
Figure 7 Weighing of the sweetpotatoes	. 34
Figure 8 Harvesting of sweetpotatoes	. 34
Figure 9 Sorting of damaged and un damaged tubers	. 34
Figure 10 Showing tuber that is damaged	. 34
Figure 11 Showing damaged sweetpotato leaves	. 33
Figure 12 Tubers damaged by sweetpota weevils	. 33
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1: Showing the leaf damage in season 2022A and 2022B in sweet potato Tanzania Variety	
Table 3: Showing for the yield parameter collected in season 2022A and 2022B	••

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABSTRACT

Pests and diseases pose a big threat to Agriculture and this makes it difficult to attain higher yields; especially for crops like sweet potato. Experiments were conducted at National Semi Arid Resources Research Institute (NaSARRI) in Serere district for two different seasons (2022A and 2022B). During the first and second season of to find out the efficacy of entomopathogens in controlling sweetpotato weevils in sweetpotato. The experiments consisted of seven (7) treatments of fungal isolates that is, No Application Control –NAC (0.00g), Negative Control + tween- NC-Tween (0.01%), K191 (7g), K157 (7g), K020 (7g), K040 (7g) and Positive controlPC (Cypermethrin) and 500ml of water. The experiment was layedout in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and replicated three times and the spraying was carried out after every one month from planting. The parameters analyzed were leaf incidence and severity, sweetpotato weevil stem damage and tuber damage. These parameters were collected fortnightly from the first treatment while the yield data was collected at harvest. The result showed K191 treatment produced the highest number of tubers (yield) in season one (45.33kg), and season two (103kg), than the NC (25.0kg) that had the lowest number. While NAC had the lowest weight of tubers with (11.57kg) in season one while second season highest weight of tubers was (16.77kg). K191 had the highest vine weight (43.23kg, 29.70kg) compared to NAC (19.77kg, 25.07kg) in both season one and two respectively while in season two all the EPFS had no significant difference but however PC had the highest vine weight of (43.33kg), K191 also showed the least severity of damaged tubers (1.33) than NC (4.00) in first season, however PC showed the lowest (1.00) severity of tuber damage in both seasons. Overall, the study found out that K191 had a significant impact in controlling sweet potato weevils in the first season than in the second season and this could be likely due to the presence of rainfall in the second season that limits the proliferation of weevils.

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the study

Sweetpotato *Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam, belonging to the family Convolvulaceae, is an important root vegetable which is large, starchy, and sweet tasting (Mohanraj & Sivasankar, 2013). Globally, sweet potato is considered an important, versatile and underutilized food security crop. It belongs to the second most important set of food crops in developing countries, namely root crops. The crop is highly nutritive, and it outranks most carbohydrate foods in terms of vitamin, mineral, dietary fibre and protein content (Mosta and Modi, 2015). Sweet potato is currently ranked as the seventh most important crop in the world with a total production of 103 million tons in 2013 (Haynes, 2018). It is produced largely in Asia (accounting for up to 76.1% of world production in 2013), followed by the African continent (19.5%)(Hue & Low, 2015). The top five producers of sweet potato in 2014 were China, Nigeria, Uganda, Indonesia, and the United Republic of Tanzania. Sweet potato is one of the five most important crops in 40 developing countries besides rice, wheat, maize, and cassava. Despite the crop's economic importance, widespread sweet potato weevil infestation results in losses of millions of dollars annually (Jackai et al., 2006).

Since weevils are widely dispersed in tropical regions of the world, their management is the key issue faced by farmers in major sweet potato producing countries(Hue & Low, 2015)The four main species of weevils that cause the most harm to sweetpotato plantation are *Euscepes postfasciatus* (Fairmaire), *Cylas formicarius* (Fabricius), *Cylas puncticollis* (Boheman), and *Cylas brunneus* (Fabricius) (Hue & Low, 2015). *Euscepes postfasciatus* is a South American species that is more prevalent in Central and South America. *Cylas formicarius* is an Asian species but is usually found throughout the tropical regions worldwide including North America, the Caribbean, Europe, Africa Asia, and Oceania. *Cylas brunneus* and *Cylas puncticollis* are African species and are restricted to Africa (Nelson et al 2000). There are other species of sweet potato weevils in the tropical regions in Africa, for example, the rough sweet potato weevil (*Blosyrus* spp.) and striped sweet potato weevil (*Alcidodes dentipes* and *Alcidodes erroneous*), but their damage to sweet potato cultivation is not as severe as the main species (*Cylas* spp.) Hatching will generally occur in a week after oviposition by females. Hatched larvae will start making tunnels inside tubers and feed inside galleries. The tunnels inside the tubers of sweet potatoes will be filled with excrement from the larvae. As the larvae feed, the sweet potato will impart a bitter flavour and terpene odour, making

REFERENCE

- Abong, G. O., Claire, V., Ndanyi, M., Kaaya, A., Shibairo, S., Okoth, M. W., Lamuka, P. O., Odongo, N. O., Wanjekeche, E., Mulindwa, J., & Sopade, P. (2016). A Review of Production, Post-harvest Handling and Marketing of Sweetpotatoes in Kenya and Uganda. 4(3), 162–181.
- America, C., & America, S. (1989). CHAPTER 2. 7-52.
- Article, R. (2015). Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) as a drought tolerant and food security crop. 111(11), 1–8.
- Bagamba, F., & Ilukor, J. (n.d.). Sweet potato and potato production systems in Uganda Effect of climate change.
- Barra-bucarei, L., Iglesias, A. F., & Torres, C. P. (2019). *Entomopathogenic Fungi. March* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24733-1
- Beshir, H., & Haile, A. (2020). Sweetpotato production practices, constraints, and variety evaluation under different storage types. November. https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.263
- Beyene, K. (2015). Destitution, Biology, Yield Loss and Management of Sweet Potato Weevils (cylas formicaries (fabrcius) Insecta: Coleoptera) in. 65–72.
- By-nc, C. C., Photo, T. M., Nelson, S., & By-nc-sa, C. C. (n.d.). *Sweet potato weevil.* 0–1. *Calculating Prevalences and Incidences*. (2000). *3*, 2000.
- Capinera, J. L. (2021). Sweetpotato Weevil, Cylas formicarius (Fabricius) (Insecta: Coleoptera: Brentidae) 1.1–5.
- Cartabiano-leite, C. E., Porcu, O. M., & Casas, A. F. De. (2020). Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L. Lam) nutritional potential and social relevance: a review Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L. Lam) nutritional potential and social relevance: a review. January 2021. https://doi.org/10.9790/9622-1006082340
- De Oliveira, A. F., Soares, J. M., Da Silva, É. C., Filho, P. S. L., Candido, C. J., Do Amaral, L. A., Dos Santos, E. F., De Resende, J. T. V., Schwarz, K., & Novello, D. (2019). Evaluation of the chemical, physical and nutritional composition and sensory acceptability of different sweet potato cultivars. *Semina: Ciencias Agrarias*, 40(3), 1127–1137.
 - https://doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2019v40n3p1127

- Descriptors_for_sweet_potato_Descripteurs_pour_la_patate_douce_Descriptores_de_la_batata __263.pdf. (n.d.).
- District, S. (2014). SERERE District. June.
- Ewell, P. T. (2020). Sweetpotato production in Sub-Saharan Africa: Patterns and key issues.
- Ghislain, M., Tovar, J., Prentice, K., Ormachea, M., Rivera, C., Manrique, S., Kreuze, J.,
 Ssemakula, G., Rukarwa, R., Sefasi, A., Mukasa, S., Wamalwa, L., & MacHuka, J. (2013).
 Weevil resistant sweetpotato through biotechnology. *Acta Horticulturae*, 974, 91–98.
 https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.974.10
- Haynes, D. (2018). Fao Statistical Pocketbook. In *Metadata for Information Management and Retrieval*.
- Hue, S., & Low, M. (2015). An Insight into Sweet Potato Weevils Management: A Review. 2015.
- Hue, S. M., & Low, M. Y. (2015). An Insight into Sweet Potato Weevils Management: A Review. *Psyche (London)*, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/849560
- Ishida, H., Suzuno, H., Sugiyama, N., Innami, S., Tadokoro, T., & Maekawa, A. (2000).

 Nutritive evaluation on chemical components of leaves, stalks and stems of sweet potatoes

 (Ipomoea batatas poir). *Food Chemistry*, 68(3), 359–367.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/S03088146(99)00206-X
- Jackai, L., Agricultural, N. C., & Sosinski, B. (2006). Occurrence and intra-specific variation of sweetpotato weevil (Brentidae: Coleoptera) in relation to its potential spread in southern United States of America and the Caribbean. February.
 - https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2006.703.24
- Judge, K. (2021). Growth and Yield Response of Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas) to Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Degraded Soil of Southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. 1878(5), 10–15. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijeab
- Kidanu, S. (2020). Research and Application of Entomopathogenic Fungi as Pest Management Option: A Review. 10(3), 31–39. https://doi.org/10.7176/JEES/10-3-03
- Korada, R. R., Naskar, S. K., Palaniswami, M. S., & Ray, R. C. (2010). Management of Sweet Potato Weevil [Cylas formicarius (Fab.)]: An Overview. *Journal of Rood Crops*, *36*(1), 14–26.
- Loebenstein, G. (2000). Chapter 2 Origin, Distribution and Economic Importance. 1–4.

- Martini, X., & Webb, S. E. (2021). Insect Management for Sweet Potatoes. *Edis*, 2021(4), 2–4. https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-ig159-2020
- Mohanraj, R., & Sivasankar, S. (2013). *REVIEW Sweet Potato (Ipomoea batatas [L.] Lam) A Valuable Medicinal Food: A Review. 17*(7), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2013.2818 Musana, P. (2016). *Sweetpotato weevil , Cylas brunneus (Fabricius). December.* https://doi.org/10.4160/9789290604761
- Neher, D. A. (2016). *Estimating Disease Severity and Incidence. May.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-85063-9
- Ngailo, S., Shimelis, H., Sibiya, J., Mtunda, K., & Mashilo, J. (2019). Genotype-by-environment interaction of newly-developed sweet potato genotypes for storage root yield, yield-related traits and resistance to sweet potato virus disease. *Heliyon*, *July 2018*, e01448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01448
- Nottingham, S. F., & Kays, S. J. (2002). Sweetpotato weevil control. *Acta Horticulturae*, 583(August 2002), 155–161. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2002.583.17
- Oke, M. O., & Workneh, T. S. (2013). A review on sweet potato postharvest processing and preservation technology. 8(40), 4990–5003. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2013.6841
- Rai, D., Mehra, P., & Rana, M. (2014). Potential of entomopathogenic fungi as biopesticides. August 2020.
- Reddy, G. V. P., Zhao, Z., & Humber, R. A. (2014). Laboratory and field efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi for the management of the sweetpotato weevil, Cylas formicarius (Coleoptera: Brentidae). *JOURNAL OF INVERTEBRATE PATHOLOGY*, 122, 10–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.07.009
- Report, K. (2018). State Of Knowledge Report: Sweet Potato Uganda.
- Sulz, M. P., Parveen, S. S., Sridhar, R. P., & Nandhini, S. U. (2021). Efficacy of different entomopathogenic fungal isolates against chilli aphid, Efficacy of different entomopathogenic fungal isolates against chilli aphid, Myzus Persicae (Sulz.). September. https://doi.org/10.51201/JUSST/21/09549
- Uritani, I., Saito, T., Honda, H., & Kim, W. K. (1975). Induction of furano-terpenoids in sweet potato roots by the larval components of the sweet potato weevils. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, *39*(9), 1857–1862. https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1975.10861857