



**FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT**

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**DEPARTMENT OF MINING ENGINEERING**

**TITLE: DESIGN AND MODELLING OF AN**

**UNDERGROUND MINE**

**CASE STUDY: BUKANA GOLD MINES**

**BY**

S/N	NAME	REG. NO.	EMAIL ADDRESS
1.	MWALO EDWARD	BU/UG/2015/37	<a href="mailto:mwaloedward8@gmail.com">mwaloedward8@gmail.com</a>
2.	KADHANA SHAFIC	BU/UP/2018/3306	<a href="mailto:kadhanashafic@gmail.com">kadhanashafic@gmail.com</a>
3.	ATWINE EMMANUEL	BU/UP/2017/1235	<a href="mailto:atwinemine@gmail.com">atwinemine@gmail.com</a>

**SUPERVISORS: Mr. KIDEGA RICHARD AND Mr. BAKAMA MICHAEL**

A final year project report submitted to the department of Mining engineering in the partial fulfillment for the award of the Bachelor of Science in Mining Engineering at Busitema University.

**JANUARY 2023**

## DEDICATION

We dedicate this report to our Parents, our dear lectures, fellow students and friends.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to extend our sincere gratitude to the almighty GOD who has given us life to reach this milestone in our academic journey.

Sincere thanks to our fellow students and other lecturers for their continuous generous support.

Special thanks to our supervisors Mr. Kidega Richard and Mr. Nasasira Michael Bakama for their continuous guidance towards the development of this idea.

May the good lord bless you!

## APPROVAL

This is to certify that this final project proposal report has been written under the guidance of our supervisor and it is to be handed in to the department of Mining Engineering Busitema University.

### **SUPERVISOR1:**

**NAME: MR. KIDEGA RICHARD**

**SIGNATURE: .....**

**DATE: ...../...../.....**

### **SUPERVISOR 2:**

**NAME: MR. NASASIRA MICHAEL BAKAMA**

**SIGNATURE: .....**

**DATE: ...../...../.....**

**DECLARATION**

**I MWALO EDWARD, KADHANA SHAFIC, ATWINE EMMANUEL**, hereby declare that this final year project proposal report is our own research work and has not been previously submitted to any institution of higher learning for any kind of award to be achieved.

**Signature:** .....

**Date:** ...../...../.....

**Signature:** .....

**Date:** ...../...../.....

**Signature:** .....

**Date:** ...../...../.....

## ABSTRACT

Underground mining is the method employed in extraction of minerals which prove to be infeasible to mine by surface mining. This is because the stripping ratio is greater than the break-even stripping ratio. The mining of minerals by underground methods requires a better understanding of the deposit in terms of geology, mineralogy, geotechnical, hydrogeological and technological factors.

A poor mine design can lead to low productivity of the ore and reduced safety due to high probability of mine collapse. Mining in Bukana in Namayingo district is done mostly by underground mining and many miners start mining without a better understanding of their deposit due to limited access to geological data and expertise in geological, and hydrogeological modelling hence the miners end up abandoning these mines which leads to a decline in economic growth.

A field study was conducted and the relevant data was obtained (both primary and secondary), which included the collar, survey, assay, topographical and geology data. Samples were also picked to analyze the uniaxial compressive strength and the indirect tensile strength. Results obtained in the laboratory indicated that; samples picked at a depth further from the surface have greater rock strength compared to those picked from the near surface. The current state of the mines in Bukana was determined and the acquired information was used in the Mine sight 3D software to develop a geological model using the triangulation.

Mining method selection was based on a numerical ranking system of Nikolas' method where each mining method was ranked on various attributes for suitability using different attributes in geometry and grade distribution for example deposit shape, dip and grade distribution and rock mechanical properties of the footwall, hanging wall and the ore body. It was determined that cut and fill mining method had the highest rank of 36 and it is the method that we selected.

In an underground mine, access ways are extremely important for they serve as aid self-escaping by directing miners to the escape routes during an emergency and serve both as a way to transport ore or waste, and move people, equipment or supplies. Being that they are such an important component of an underground mine they require to be designed following engineering principles that have been tested before and can be applied improving factors like safety and better production.

Mining companies face a problem of ground water inflow into the mines which poses a challenge to mine production as this water accumulates, blocks the mine entries to passage of air and haulage,

interrupts production, damage to the mine, loss of life due to inrushes of water, increased costs caused by the need to remove water, causes suspension of operations, promotes deterioration of roof rocks and reduces the stability of mine rock structure. Bukana Gold mine currently is facing the same problem and operations were suspended. The water entering the mine is from ground water which contributes the greatest capacity of inflow, precipitation and surface runoff.

A suitable dewatering system was selected to solve this problem. To select the dewatering system, a Field study was conducted and the Geology of Bukana and Hydrogeology data of Bukana site was obtained from Namayingo District Headquarters from the District water office availed by the District Water Engineer. The data included the type of Aquifer and its hydrogeology in which some Aquifer parameter values were calculated from the data using the applied standard formulae and these parameters include Hydraulic heads, coefficient of transmissivity, storativity, porosity. These parameters were fed in to Mod flow software to determine the hydraulic head distribution in the Aquifer system and as well as the flow rate of water into the mine. The rainfall data of the past twenty years was obtained and the average rainfall intensity was calculated and this helped to calculate the amount of direct rainfall into the mine. In addition, surface runoff water in to the mine was also calculated using the rational method and the obtained data. The mine dimensions were measured and the amount of water present in the mine was 38400litres the water level in the mine was also measured and recorded and a sample of the water was collected from the mine and tested for its quality where parameters,  $P^H$ , temperature, salinity, Electrical conductivity, Total Dissolved solids were tested from Busitema University laboratory and recorded.

A suitable Dewatering System was selected using Atlas Copco pump sizing calculator software which required inputs which were calculated and include (Flow rate =350L/Min, total pipe length=33m, suction head=13m) the software returned the sizing results which included the friction head of 9.3m, design velocity of 2.97m/s, total dynamic head of 22.3m, power of 1.95kw, and efficiency of 96%. The software also gave a recommended  $p^H$  of

(6-8) and water temperature of 75°F which matched the water test results hence proving to be the suitable Dewatering System. The type of flow in the pipe was determined using Reynold number and limits and was turbulent flow, and the economic Analysis of the pump was calculated.

## Table of Contents

DEDICATION .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	ii
APPROVAL .....	iii
DECLARATION .....	iv
ABSTRACT.....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
List of acronyms .....	x
1.0 CHAPTER 1. ....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1.1 BACKGROUND .....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	4
1.3 OBJECTIVES:.....	4
1.3.1 MAIN OBJECTIVE:.....	4
2.0 CHAPTER TWO .....	6
2.1 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	6
2.1.1 UNDERGROUND MINING METHODS.....	6
2.1.2 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE RANKING SYSTEMS .....	8
2.1.2.6 QUANTITATIVE METHODS OF RANKING UNDERGROUND METHODS .....	9
2.1.3 CHOICE OF RANKING METHOD .....	11
UNDERGROUND MINE DEVELOPMENT( <i>MINING ENGINEERING</i> , n.d.) .....	13
Essence of underground mine development. ....	14
EXCAVATION METHODS .....	15
PARTS OF AN UNDERGROUND MINE .....	16
2.1.4 MINE DEWATERING .....	23
THE HYDRAULIC HEAD .....	29
2.1.5 SELECTION OF PUMPING SYSTEM .....	30
2.1.6 PUMPS AND PUMP CHARACTERISTIC CURVE .....	31
3.0 CHAPTER THREE: .....	36
3.1 METHODOLOGY .....	36
<b>3.1.2 Data collection</b> .....	36
4.0 To develop a geological model .....	36
5.0 To select a suitable mining method.....	36
6.0 To design access routes to the ore.....	36



7.0	To design a dewatering system .....	36
	<b>3.2 METHODOLOGY FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE ONE: TO DEVELOP A GEOLOGICAL MODEL .....</b>	<b>37</b>
	3.3 METHODOLOGY FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE TWO: TO SELECT A SUITABLE UNDERGROUND METHOD.....	39
	3.4 METHODOLOGY FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE THREE: TO DESIGN ACCESS ROUTES TO THE ORE.....	48
	3.5 METHODOLOGY FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE FOUR: TO DESIGN A DEWATERING SYSTEM.....	52
4.0	CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	58
	<b>Data collection .....</b>	<b>58</b>
	<b>Creating a geological data base.....</b>	<b>58</b>
4.2	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE TWO .....	61
4.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE THREE .....	64
4.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION FOR SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE FOUR .....	67
<b>5</b>	<b>CHAPTER FIVE .....</b>	<b>86</b>
	<b>CHALLENGES, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>86</b>
	<b>5.1 Challenges .....</b>	<b>86</b>
	<b>5.2 Conclusion. ....</b>	<b>86</b>
	<b>5.3 Recommendations .....</b>	<b>87</b>
	<b>5.4 Appendix.....</b>	<b>88</b>
	5.5 REFERENCES .....	94

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: showing specific objectives and materials used.....	36
Table 2. Parameters to be measured and determined for use in Nikolas' ranking systems .....	40
Table 3. Definition of the deposit geometry and grade distribution .....	43
Table 4. Definition of rock mechanics properties.....	44
Table 5. Ranking of Geometry/Grade distributions for different mining methods.....	45
Table 6. Ranking of rock mechanics properties for the footwall .....	45
Table 7. Key for elements in tables 3, 4and 5.....	46
Table 8. Ranking of rock mechanics properties for hanging wall .....	47
Table 9. Ranking of rock mechanics properties for the ore .....	47
Table 10: showing ITS parameters .....	49
Table 11: showing dewatering system parameters.....	53
Table 12: showing required rainfall data of namayingo .....	55
<b>Table 13: Some of the ore body characteristics.....</b>	<b>58</b>
Table 14: showing nikola's ranking of different geometry and grade distributions for different underground mines.....	62
Table 15. Ranking of rock mechanical properties .....	63
Table 16.Total rank for all grade and distribution and rock mechanical properties .....	64
Table 17. Indirect Tensile Strength values.....	64
Table 18. Coordinates of Bore holes drilled in Bukana .....	67
Table 19. Monthly average weather of Namayingo district.....	69

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1. An underground mine access route in Bukana gold mine .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Figure 2;showing a poorly designed underground mine.....	4
Figure 3. Laubscher's cavability related to hydraulic radius and MRMR.....	10
Figure 4. Hartman chart for the selection of mining method.....	10
Figure 5. Morrison's classification chart.....	11
<i>Figure 6. Parts of an underground mine .....</i>	<i>16</i>
Figure 7: showing joints in the rock mass.....	20
Figure 8:showing a flooded mine.....	25
Figure 9: showing pump characteristic curve .....	32
Figure 10. Geological model.....	61
Figure 11.insitu rock .....	65
Figure 12. Access routes in Auto CAD civil .....	66

## List of acronyms

**FW**      **FOOTWALL**

**HW**      **HANGING WALL**

**S.R**      **STRIPPING RATIO**

**UCS**      **UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH**

**FOS**      **FACTOR OF SAFETY**

**NPV**      **NET PRESENT VALUE**

**PH**      **HYDROGEN POTENTIAL**

## 1.0 CHAPTER 1.

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1.1 BACKGROUND

The extraction of minerals from the earth is called mining. Mining is of two types, surface and underground mining methods. (Balusa & Singam, 2018) Each mining method is specified by the layout, procedure, equipment, and system involved. Moreover, its application is strongly related to the geologic, physical, economic, environmental, and legal conditions (Hartman et al., 1992)

The surface mining becomes infeasible when the overburden that is needed to be stripped per ton of the ore becomes too much making the mining company saving less or no from the ore that is extracted. No company needs to make such losses hence the mining companies need to plan well to overcome losses that would arise from stripping the overburden (Hartman et al., 1992)

Underground mining methods if planned and done well can be more selective and the cost that is mainly incurred comes from development of mine openings, ventilation, supporting and material handling, dewatering and tailings management.

Poor design of the underground mine can also culminate into challenges like mine collapses due to rock movement arising from poor geotechnical considerations, suffocation of the miners due to poor ventilation methods, low recovery that comes from poor underground mine selection and technology among others.(Yuan, 2012)

Many mining projects in the world that have had a low record of mine accidents is due to having a good underground mine design. And those with a poor mine design may fail to run the mine up to its estimated life of the mine. Coal mine fatality rates, for me example, have dropped almost a thousand-fold since their peak in 1908. (Coleman & Kerkering, 2007)

Good software's are available for development of geological models and this provides a better understanding of the ore to be mined. Geotechnical conditions such as rock strength need to be incorporated into the design software to get a better and optimized design.

## 5.5 REFERENCES

- Alpay, S., & Yavuz, M. (2009). Underground mining method selection by decision making tools. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 24(2), 173–184.
- Ataei, M., Sereshki, F., Jamshidi, M., & Jalali, S. M. E. (2008). Suitable mining method for Golbini No. 8 deposit in Jajarm (Iran) using TOPSIS method. *Mining Technology*, 117(1), 1–5.
- Balusa, B. C., & Singam, J. (2018). Underground Mining Method Selection Using WPM and PROMETHEE. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series D*, 99(1), 165–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40033-017-0137-0>
- Barbosa, M. R., Morris, D. V., & Sarma, S. K. (1989). Factor of safety and probability of failure of rockfill embankments. *Geotechnique*, 39(3), 471–483. <https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1989.39.3.471>
- Becker, F. G., Cleary, M., Team, R. M., Holtermann, H., The, D., Agenda, N., Science, P., Sk, S. K., Hinnebusch, R., Hinnebusch A, R., Rabinovich, I., Olmert, Y., Uld, D. Q. G. L. Q., Ri, W. K. H. U., Lq, V., Frxqw, W. K. H., Zklfk, E., Edvhg, L. V, Wkh, R. Q., ... ح. فاطمی (2015). No 主観的健康感を中心とした在宅高齢者における健康関連指標に関する共分散構造分析Title. *Syria Studies*, 7(1), 37–72. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269107473\\_What\\_is\\_governance/link/548173090cf22525dcb61443/download%0Ahttp://www.econ.upf.edu/~reynal/Civilwars\\_12December2010.pdf%0Ahttps://think-asia.org/handle/11540/8282%0Ahttps://www.jstor.org/stable/41857625](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269107473_What_is_governance/link/548173090cf22525dcb61443/download%0Ahttp://www.econ.upf.edu/~reynal/Civilwars_12December2010.pdf%0Ahttps://think-asia.org/handle/11540/8282%0Ahttps://www.jstor.org/stable/41857625)
- Boshkov, S. H., & Wright, F. D. (1973). Basic and parametric criteria in the selection, design and development of underground mining systems. *SME Mining Engineering Handbook*, 1, 12.
- Britton, S. G., Mutmansky, J. M., Gentry, D. W., Joseph, W., Head, S., & Singh, M. M. (n.d.). *SME Mining Engineering Handbook 2nd Edition Volume 1. 2*.
- Cokayne, E. W. (1982). Sublevel caving Chapter 1: Introduction. *Underground Mining Methods Handbook*, 872–879.

- Cook, N. G. W. (1982). Ground-water problems in open-pit and underground mines. *Recent Trends in Hydrogeology. Geol. Soc. Am. Special Paper, 189*, 397–405.
- Darling, P. (2011). *SME mining engineering handbook* (Vol. 1). SME.
- Drilling, C., & District, N. (n.d.). *Optima Mines & Minerals ( Pvt ). December 2017*.
- Eberhardt, E. (2012). The Hoek-Brown failure criterion. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 45(6), 981–988. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00603-012-0276-4>
- Fawcett, R. J., Hibberd, S., & Singh, R. N. (1984). An appraisal of mathematical models to predict water inflows into underground coal workings. *International Journal of Mine Water*, 3(2), 33–54.
- French, G. B. (1978). *Raise bore drilling*.
- Harraz, H. Z. (2016). *Topic 6 : Underground Mining Methods. April 2014*.  
<https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2881.1124>
- Hartman, H. L., Britton, S. G., Mutmansky, J. M., Gentry, D. W., Schlitt, W. J., Karmis, M., & Singh, M. M. (1992). *SME mining engineering handbook* (Vol. 2). Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration Denver.
- Hoek, E., & Brown, E. T. (2019). The Hoek–Brown failure criterion and GSI – 2018 edition. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 11(3), 445–463.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrmge.2018.08.001>
- Hustrulid, W. A. (1982). *Underground mining methods handbook*.
- Hustrulid, W. A., Hustrulid, W. A., Bullock, R. C., & Bullock, R. L. (2001). *Underground mining methods: Engineering fundamentals and international case studies*. SME.
- Jackson, C. F., & Gardner, E. D. (1937). *Stoping Methods and Cost* (Issue 390). US Government Printing Office.
- Kabwe, E., & Yiming, W. (2015). Production Potential Of Nchanga Underground Mines Collapsed Blocks. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 4(8), 289–301.
- Kao, S., & Govindaraju, R. S. (2007). Probabilistic structure of storm surface runoff considering the

- dependence between average intensity and storm duration of rainfall events. *Water Resources Research*, 43(6).
- Laubscher, D. H. (2003). Cave mining handbook. *De Beers, Johannesburg*, 138.
- MINING ENGINEERING*. (n.d.).
- Morgan, S. E. (2008). The ABCs of pump selection for mine dewatering. *Engineering and Mining Journal*, 209(8), 44.
- Morton, K. L., & Van Mekerk, F. A. (1993). A phased approach to mine dewatering. *Mine Water and the Environment*, 12(1), 27–33.
- Nicholas, D. E. (1981). Method selection - A numerical approach. *Design and Operation of Caving and Sublevel Stopping Mines*, 39–53.  
[https://www.cnitucson.com/publications/1981\\_Nicholas\\_436-Method Selection - A Numerical Approach 1981.pdf](https://www.cnitucson.com/publications/1981_Nicholas_436-Method Selection - A Numerical Approach 1981.pdf)
- Price, M., & Back, W. (2013). *Introducing groundwater*. Routledge.
- Prilendi, D. (2014). Underground Mine Dewatering System Below+ 500 MASL South Ciurug. In *Mine Planning and Equipment Selection* (pp. 189–196). Springer.
- Stan, M., Pana, I., Minescu, M., Ichim, A., & Teodoriu, C. (2018). Centrifugal pump monitoring and determination of pump characteristic curves using experimental and analytical solutions. *Processes*, 6(2), 18.
- Straskraba, V. (1979). Some Technical Aspects of Open Pit Mine Dewatering. *Proceedings, Annual Conference - Microbeam Analysis Society*, 481–450.
- Stupnik, M., Kalinichenko, V., Kolosov, V., Pysmennyi, S., & Shepel, A. (2014). *Modeling of stopes in soft ores during ore mining*.
- Vogeleang, H. (2008). Energy consumption in pumps–friction losses. *World Pumps*, 2008(499), 20–24.
- Williams, T. J., Brady, T. M., Bayer, D. C., Bren, M. J., Pakalnis, R. T., Marjerison, J. A., & Langston, R. B. (2007). *Underhand cut and fill mining as practiced in three deep hard rock mines in the United States*.

Yuan, R. (2012). *Vertical shaft collapse at the Jinchuan Nickel Mine, Gansu Province, China: Analysis of contributing factors and causal mechanisms*. November 2019.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-012-1930-6>