



www.busitema.ac.ug

# ASSESSEMENT OF ECONOMIC IMPACT OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION ON THE LIVELIHOOD OF SMALL-SCALE FARMERS IN MAYUGE DISTRICT.

SSERWANGA EDMOND

BACHELOR OF AGRIBUSINESS

BU/UP/2017/302

RESEARCH DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRIBUSINESS AND EXTENSION IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF DEGREE IN BACHELOR OF AGRIBUSINESS AT BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY.

**FEBRUARY 2021** 

## DECLARATION

I, Sserwenga Edmond, hereby declare that this report is my original work, and is neither a duplication of another research study nor has it been submitted to any university or institution for any award of academic qualification or publication

SIGNATURE: DATE: 2 15 20

SSERWANGA EDMOND REG NO: BU/UP/2017/302

CLASS NO.:

ACCESS NO.: TAA 1337

# APPROVAL

I hereby certify that this report is original and individual work of Sserwe	enga Edmond a student at
Busitema University.	

SUPERVISOR:

SIGNATURE: DATE:

## DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to my beloved mother Mrs. Nakogolo monic and beloved father Mr. Bakirambye David, to all my sisters and brothers for their supportive hearts. I also dedicate the report to all my friends that have encouraged me whenever I thought I could not make it. I lastly dedicate it to my supervisor who endeavored to see me complete my report.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am judiciously indebted to the almighty God for His love, care, protection, for bringing me this far in my education and enabling me complete my report successfully.

I also deeply acknowledge the indispensable aid, unending support and encouragement of my father Mr. Bakirambye David and mother Mrs. Nakagolo Monic, my dear brother and Sister who have supported me financially despite the financial constraints.

I also extend my gratitude to my dear supervisor Dr. Magumba David who devoted most of his time sensitizing me about particular things to do.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to my friends with whom we shared ideas and supported me in one way or another.

On the same note, I am so grateful to the management of Busitema University Arapai campus for their guidance and effort to see us through the research program

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	П
DEDICATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	······································
LIST OF FIGURES.	XI
LIST OF TABLES	XII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XIII
DEFINITIONS	XIV
ABSTRACT	XV
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY	·
1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	2
1.3 GENERAL OBJECTIVE	3
1.4 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES	3
1.5 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	3
1.6 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	4
1.7 JUSTIFICATION	<b>4</b>

1.8 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	4
1.8.1 CONTENT SCOPE	4
1.8.2 GEOGRAPHICAL SCOPE	5
A MAP OF UGANDA SHOWING THE LOCATION OF MAYUGE DISTRICT	5
1.8.3TIME SCOPE	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 GLOBAL TRENDS IN SUGARCANE PLANTATION FARMING	7
2.2 SUGARCANE PRODUCTION IN UGANDA	7
2.3 SUGAR CANE PRODUCTION	8
2.4 EFFECT OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION	8
2.4.1 ON HOUSEHOLD INCOME LEVELS	8
2.4.2 ON FOOD SECURITY	9
2.4.3 ON INVESTMENT	. 10
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	12
3.1 INTRODUCTION	12
3.2 RESEARCH DESIGN	. 12
3.3 RESEARCH APPROACH	12
3.3.1 STUDY VARIABLES.	12
3.4 DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA	. 13
2 & CTYIDV DODITE ATION	12

3.6 SAMPLE SIZE DETERMINATION	13
3.6.1 INCLUSION CRITERIA	14
3.6.2 EXCLUSION CRITERIA	14
3.7 SAMPLING PROCEDURE	14
3.7.1 SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING	14
3.7.2 PURPOSIVE SAMPLING.	14
3.8 METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION AND INSTRUMENTS USED	15
3.8,1 QUESTIONNAIRE	15
3.8.2 PILOTING THE STUDY	15
3.9 DATA QUALITY CONTROL	16
3.9.1 VALIDITY	16
3.9.2 RELIABILITY	16
3.9.3 INCLUSION CRITERIA	16
3.9.4 EXCLUSION CRITERIA	16
3.10 DATA ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION	17
3.11 ETHICAL CONSIDERATION	17
3.12 STUDY LIMITATIONS	18
3.13 DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS	19
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	20
4.0 DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION.	20

4.1 INTRODUCTION	:0
4.1.1 DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	<b>20</b>
TABLE 1 SHOWING AGE OF PARTICIPANTS	<u>2</u> 0
FIGURE 1 SHOWING AGE OF PARTICIPANTS2	<u>?</u> 1
TABLE 2 SHOWING SEX OF PARTICIPANTS2	:1
TABLE 3 SHOWING THE LOCATION BY SUB COUNTIES OF THE PARTICIPANTS	
TABLE 4 SHOWING THE MARITAL STATUS OF PARTICIPANTS2	2
TABLE 5 SHOWING EDUCATION LEVEL OF THE PARTICIPANTS2	23
FIGURE 2 SHOWING EDUCATION LEVEL OF THE PARTICIPANTS2	!3
TABLE 6 SHOWING THE FAMILY SIZE OF THE PARTICIPANTS2	!4
4.2 EFFECT OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION2	24
4.2.1 ON HOUSEHOLD INCOME LEVELS	24
TABLE 7 SHOWING THE MOTIVATING FACTORS TOWARDS INVESTING IN SUGARCANES	24
FIGURE 3 SHOWING THE MOTIVATING FACTORS TOWARDS INVESTING IN SUGARCANES	:5
TABLE 8 SHOWING THE SOURCES OF INCOME INVESTED BY THE PARTICIPANTS	25
FIGURE 4 SHOWING THE SOURCES OF INCOME INVESTED BY THE PARTICIPANTS	26
TABLE 9 SHOWING NATURE OF INCOMES OF THE PARTICIPANTS IN MAYUGE	j

4.2.2 ON FOOD SECURITY
TABLE 11 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND OWNED BY PARTICIPANTS 28
FIGURE 6 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND OWNED BY PARTICIPANTS29
TABLE 12 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND FOR SUGARCANE PRODUCTION 29
FIGURE 7 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND FOR SUGARCANE PRODUCTION 30
TABLE 13 SHOWING THE AMOUNT OF LAND LEFT FOR CROP PRODUCTION AMONGST SMALL-SCALE FARMERS30
FIGURE 8 SHOWING THE AMOUNT OF LAND LEFT FOR CROP PRODUCTION AMONGST SMALL-SCALE FARMERS
TABLE 14 SHOWING THE PROPORTION OF FOOD CROPS GROWN 31
FIGURE 9 SHOWING THE PROPORTION OF FOOD CROPS GROWN 32
4.2.3 ON INVESTMENT
TABLE 15 SHOWING PROPORTION OF BENEFIT FROM SUGARCANE GROWING
TABLE 16 SHOWING SUGARCANE YIELD PER ACRE OF LAND33
FIGURE 10 SHOWING SUGARCANE YIELD PER ACRE OF LAND33
TABLE 17 SHOWING INVESTMENTS ATTAINED BY THE PARTICIPANTS 34
FIGURE 11 SHOWING INVESTMENTS ATTAINED BY THE PARTICIPANTS 34
CHAPTER FIVE:
DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
EA INTEROPTION

5.1 DISCUSSION	35
5.1.1. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS.	35
5.1.2 EFFECT OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION ON HOUSEHOLD INCOM	
5.1.3 IMPACT OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION ON FOOD SECURITY	37
5.1.4 INFLUENCE OF SUGARCANE PRODUCTION ON INVESTMENT	39
5.2 CONCLUSION,	40
5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS	40
5.3.1 TO THE SMALL-SCALE FARMERS	40
5.3.2 TO THE ASSOCIATIONS OF SMALL-SCALE FARMERS	41
5.3.3 AREA OF FURTHER STUDY	41
5.3.4 TO MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE	41
REFERENCES	X
APPENDICES	xm
APPENDIX I: CONSENT FORM	XIII
APPENDIX II	XVIII
APPENDIX III: BUDGET	xxm
APPENDIT IV. WORK PLAN	XXIV

# LIST OF FIGURES.

FIGURE 1 SHOWING AGE OF PARTICIPANTS	21
FIGURE 2 SHOWING EDUCATION LEVEL OF THE PARTICIPANTS	23
FIGURE 3 SHOWING THE MOTIVATING FACTORS TOWARDS INVESTING IN	
SUGARCANES	25
FIGURE 4 SHOWING THE SOURCES OF INCOME INVESTED BY THE PARTICIPAL	NTS
	26
FIGURE 5 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND OWNED BY PARTICIPANTS	29
FIGURE 6 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND FOR SUGARCANE PRODUCTION	30
FIGURE 7 SHOWING THE AMOUNT OF LAND LEFT FOR CROP PRODUCTION	
AMONGST SMALL-SCALE FARMERS	31
FIGURE 8 SHOWING THE PROPORTION OF FOOD CROPS GROWN	32
FIGURE 9 SHOWING SUGARCANE YIELD PER ACRE OF LAND	33
FIGURE 10 SHOWING INVESTMENTS ATTAINED BY THE PARTICIPANTS	34

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE I SHOWING AGE OF PARTICIPANTS.	. 20
TABLE 2 SHOWING SEX OF PARTICIPANTS.	. 21
TABLE 3 SHOWING THE LOCATION BY SUB COUNTIES OF THE PARTICIPANTS	.21
TABLE 4 SHOWING THE MARITAL STATUS OF PARTICIPANTS.	. 22
TABLE 5 SHOWING EDUCATION LEVEL OF THE PARTICIPANTS	. 23
TABLE 6 SHOWING THE FAMILY SIZE OF THE PARTICIPANTS.	. 24
TABLE 7 SHOWING THE MOTIVATING FACTORS TOWARDS INVESTING IN	
SUGARCANES	. 24
TABLE 8 SHOWING THE SOURCES OF INCOME INVESTED BY THE PARTICIPANTS	Ś.
	. 25
TABLE 9 SHOWING NATURE OF INCOMES OF THE PARTICIPANTS IN MAYUGE	
DISTRICT.	. 26
TABLE 10 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND OWNED BY PARTICIPANTS	. 28
TABLE 11 SHOWING AMOUNT OF LAND FOR SUGARCANE PRODUCTION	. 29
TABLE 12 SHOWING THE AMOUNT OF LAND LEFT FOR CROP PRODUCTION	
AMONGST SMALL-SCALE FARMERS.	. 30
TABLE 13 SHOWING THE PROPORTION OF FOOD CROPS GROWN	
TABLE 14 SHOWING PROPORTION OF BENEFIT FROM SUGARCANE GROWING	. 32
TABLE 15 SHOWING SUGARCANE YIELD PER ACRE OF LAND.	. 33
TABLE 16 SHOWING INVESTMENTS ATTAINED BY THE PARTICIPANTS	. 34

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

REC: Research and Ethics Committee

S/C: Sub County.

UBOS: Uganda Bureau of Statistics.

UMA: Uganda Manufacturers Association.

UNBS: Uganda national Bureau of Standards.

## DEFINITIONS.

ASSESSEMENT: The process of appraisal or evaluation of a parameter.

INTERCROPPING: The type of crop growing which involves the crowing of

cover crops in between other crops.

LIVELIHOOD: A means of providing the necessities of life for oneself.

PLANTATION FARMING: The type of farming which involves planting of perennial

crops for eash.

SMALL-SCALE FARMERS: Are farmers who grow crops on small piece of land and

produce small yields.

ABSTRACT

The study investigates the impact of sugar cane plantation agriculture on the livelihood of small scale farmers in Mayuge District. The research was guided by three specific objectives namely: (i) To assess the effect of sugarcane plantation farming on livelihoods with special focus on incomes of small-scale sugarcane growers. (ii) To evaluate the effect of sugarcane plantation farming on investment among the small-scale sugarcane growers.(iii) To investigate the effect of sugarcane plantation farming on food security among the small-scale sugar cane growers. The research design used was a descriptive survey. This design was preferred because the information sought was descriptive in nature and the researcher did not have control of what had happened but could only describe the situation as it was. The research was centered at both qualitative and quantitative approaches to facilitate comprehensive investigation. This research study was be conducted in Mayuge district located in the eastern region of Uganda the selected population of study was the small-scale sugarcane growers at the household level in Bunya west bunya east and Bunya south. Here the researcher targeted members in sugarcane grower's association in the selected counties of about 100 registered farmers within the associationb showed the majority of the participants 31 (44.3%) were aged between 30-39 years and the least between 20-29 years who were only 4 (5.7%). 2 (2.9%) of the participants attained their highest level of education at primary level, 18 (25.7%) at secondary level, 32 (45.7%) at tertiary level and 18(25.7%) never attended school in their life time. Participants were low -income self-employed earners. Most got their incomes and capital from peasant farmer hood, teaching, boda-boda cycling; 8 (11.4%) and the majority; 28 (40%) from other undefined vocational businesses. Up to 87% of the respondents reported having their well-being enhanced through sugarcane farming. 28 (40%) of the participants grow mainly cassava for food because cassava can be processed and stored for a longer period and it is more earning economically.26 (37.1%) who grew sugarcane invested in land purchase after getting high profits from the plantations, followed by 18 (25.7%) who set up more new businesses, 16 (22.9%) who constructed new commercial houses. Basing on the conclusions above, the following recommendations are made to enable the small-scale sugarcane growers to boost their yields, livelihoods and investments. Ensure intercropping of sugarcane with food crops especially leguminous cover crops like beans, soya beans, peas, sim-sim, and groundnuts since these crops non-perennial and improve on soil fertility. This can promote continuous food production alongside the sugarcane. The government should come in and subsidize capital, seeds and possibly costs of production to boost sugarcane production among small-scale sugarcane growers.

#### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background of study

In the 2019/2020 crop year, global sugar production was approximately 166.18 million metric tons, with 182 million metric tons expected for 2020/2021. (United states department of agriculture., 2020). Approximately 80% of the world's sugar is produced from sugar cane in tropical and subtropical climates. The remaining 20% comes from sugar beets, which are grown mostly in the temperate zones of the Northern Hemisphere. Over 120 countries produce sugar.

The largest sugar-producing countries in 2019–2020 were Brazil, India, the EU, China, and Thailand.

Brazil was the single largest producer, with 29.93 million metric tons of sugar produced in 2019–2020. (United states department of agriculture., 2020)

Uganda is the largest producer of granular brown sugar in the East African Community, accounting for about 500,000 metric tonnes annually as of May 2017. ((Biryabarema A S & Elias, 2017).

In April 2020, Uganda's annual sugar output was estimated at 510,000 metric tonnes. With Uganda's annual consumption of 360,000 metric tonnes, approximately 150,000 metric tonnes annually are available for export. (Dorothy Nakaweesi, 2020)

As of November 2020, national sugar output was estimated at about 550,000 metric tonnes annually. At the same time, annual national consumption was estimated at 370,000 metric tonnes. This leaves a surplus of approximately 180,000 metric tonnes annually. Due to refusal by three of Uganda's immediate neighbors (Rwanda, Kenya and Tanzania) to allow sugar imports from Uganda, the national sugar stockpile, as of November 2020, was estimated at about 160,000 metric tonnes of crystalline sugar powder, worth about US\$45 million.

Apart from providing sugar, a vital food, the Uganda sugar industry provides employments to over 20,000 and 50,000 especially to sugar cane growers and other stakeholders like sugar producing