



**BUSITEMA  
UNIVERSITY**  
*Pursuing excellence*

**ANALYSIS OF CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES IN  
MAIZE PRODUCTION AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS  
IN EASTERN UGANDA**

**BY**

**BABINGA GEOFREY**

**Reg. BU/GSI8/MCC/33**

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DIRECTORATE OF  
GRADUATE STUDIES, RESEARCH AND INNOVATIONS IN PARTIAL  
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF  
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN CLIMATE CHANGE AND DISASTER  
MANAGEMENT DEGREE OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

**APRIL 2022**

**DECLARATION AND COPYRIGHT**

I, **Babinga Geoffrey**, declare that this thesis is my own original work and that it has not been presented and will not be presented to any other University for a similar or any other degree award.

Signature ..... Date.....

**Babinga Geoffrey**

**Reg. BU/GS18/MCC/33**

This thesis is copyright material protected under the Berne Convention, the Copyright and Neighboring rights Act, 2006 and other international and national enactments, in that behalf, on intellectual property whole or no part of this thesis may be reproduced, transmitted or stored in any form or means such as electronic, mechanical or photocopying including recording or any information storage and retrieval system, or translated in any language, without prior written permission of the author or Busitema University on that behalf.

All Rights Reserved

## CERTIFICATION

The undersigned certify that they have read and hereby recommend for examination by Busitema University a dissertation titled: *Analysis of CSA Practices in the Maize Production among Small Holder Farmers*, The case Study of Eastern Uganda, in Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Climate Change and Disaster Management of Busitema University.

### 1. Prof. Isabirye Moses

Academic qualifications: PhD

Rank Professor

Department: NRE

Faculty: NRE

Signature: .....

Date: .....

### 2. Dr. Semwogerere Twaibu

Academic qualifications: PhD

Rank Associate professor

Department: Computing and Electrical Engineering

Faculty: Engineering and Technology

Signature: .....

Date: .....

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this work to the Babinga Family, farther, Brothers, Friends, and to my siblings:-Destiny, Shillah, Bridget, Allan, Elvis and Darwin.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I take this opportunity to thank the Almighty God for the love, care, joy and gift of good health which enabled me to go through this level.

I would also like to make an honorable mention of the following people who have made an immense contribution towards completing this work.

My supervisors Professor Isabirye Moses and Dr. Semwogere Twaibu who have not only guided me throughout this study journey but also doubled as partners in this project. The other academic staff, especially Dr. Nakiyemba Alice who taught me research methods and also played a great role in ensuring that I reach this level of achievement in my academics. May the Almighty God continue to support you.

My fellow students and friends including Kubyanukula Eria, Nuutu Elizaphan and Ireen Betty who have proved to be beneficial and have gone a long way in motivating me, helping me around and even joking with me to lighten up my heart. Thanks a lot.

Outside of University, my family especially my wife and children and father who have stood with me both morally and financially, I owe them enormously.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>DECLARATION AND COPYRIGHT .....</b>            | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>CERTIFICATION.....</b>                         | <b>ii</b>  |
| <b>DEDICATION.....</b>                            | <b>iii</b> |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>                       | <b>iv</b>  |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>                    | <b>v</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF ACRONYMS /ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>       | <b>ix</b>  |
| <b>OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF TERMS.....</b>       | <b>x</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>                      | <b>xii</b> |
| <b>ABSTRACT.....</b>                              | <b>xiv</b> |
| <b>CHAPTER ONE .....</b>                          | <b>1</b>   |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION.....                             | 1          |
| 1.1 Background of the Study.....                  | 1          |
| 1.2 Problem statement .....                       | 4          |
| 1.3 Study Objectives .....                        | 6          |
| 1.3.1 Main Objective .....                        | 6          |
| 1.3.2 Specific Objectives .....                   | 6          |
| 1.4 Research Questions .....                      | 6          |
| 1.5 Rationale of the study.....                   | 6          |
| 1.6 Scope of the study .....                      | 7          |
| 1.7 Conceptual Framework .....                    | 7          |
| 1.8 Theoretical framework .....                   | 9          |
| <b>CHAPTER TWO .....</b>                          | <b>12</b>  |
| <b>LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>                    | <b>12</b>  |
| 2.0 Introduction .....                            | 12         |
| 2.1 The concept of climate smart agriculture..... | 12         |
| 2.2 Climate Smart Agriculture in Africa.....      | 12         |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 2.3. Climate Smart Agriculture in Uganda.....  | 14        |
| 2.4 The concept of climate change.....   | 15        |
| 2.5 Climate change response and agricultural adaptation.....   | 15        |
| 2.6 Effects of Climate Variability and Change on Small Scale Farmers .....                             | 16        |
| 2.7 Adaptation to Climate Variability and Change in Agriculture .....                                  | 17        |
| 2.8 Impact of climate change on agricultural production.....   | 19        |
| 2.9 Maize value chain.....   | 19        |
| 2.10 How does CSA address food security? .....   | 20        |
| 2.1.1 Related works .....  | 21        |
| 2.12 Factors influencing adoption of CSA strategies.....   | 23        |
| 2.13 Gaps in literature review .....   | 25        |
| 2.14 Conclusion.....   | 26        |
| <b>CHAPTER THREE .....</b>   | <b>27</b> |
| <b>3.0 METHODOLOGY .....</b>   | <b>27</b> |
| 3.1 Description of the study area.....   | 27        |
| 3.2 Study design .....   | 29        |
| 3.3 Study Population .....   | 29        |
| 3.4 Sampling techniques .....  | 29        |
| 3.5 Sample Size.....   | 30        |
| 3.6 Data types and sources .....   | 30        |
| 3.7 Data collection methods .....  | 31        |
| 3.7.0 Questionnaires: .....  | 31        |
| 3.7.1 Focus Group Discussions .....  | 31        |
| 3.8 Data collection and analysis.....  | 31        |
| 3.9 Methods of analysis.....   | 32        |
| 3.9.1 Objective one: To assess the CSA practices used by smallholder farmers in eastern<br>Uganda..... | 32        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 3.9.2 Effect of CSA practices on Maize Yield.....   | 33        |
| 3.9.3 Objective two: Modeling the socio-economic, institutional and climate related factors influencing demand and use of CSA response strategies. .... | 34        |
| 3.9.4 Objective Three: Determine and propose the best adoption options for maize production .....   | 36        |
| <b>CHAPTER FOUR.....</b>  | <b>40</b> |
| 4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....  | 40        |
| 4.1 Findings from the Respondents.....  | 40        |
| 4.1.1 Demographics of the Respondents .....   | 40        |
| 4.1.2 Respondent’s major Source of Income. ....   | 42        |
| 4.1.3 Percentage Contribution of Maize to Household Income .....  | 43        |
| 4.1.4 Type of Land Ownership.....   | 44        |
| 4.2 Objective one; Assess the CSA practices used by smallholder farmers in eastern Uganda ..  | 45        |
| 4.2.1 Objective two: Modeling the socio-economic, institutional and climate related factors influencing demand and use of CSA response strategies. .... | 49        |
| 4.2.2 Factors influencing demand for Climate Smart Agricultural practices by smallholder farmers .....  | 49        |
| 4.2.3 Maximum Likelihood Estimates of Logit Model Result.....   | 57        |
| 4.2.4 Cost of Maize Production.....   | 61        |
| 4.3.5 Financial Access and Knowledge about Financial Services .....   | 62        |
| 4.5 Discussions.....  | 64        |
| 5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION .....   | 67        |
| 5.1 Recommendations .....   | 68        |
| 5.2 Study Limitations .....   | 68        |
| <b>REFERENCES.....</b>  | <b>69</b> |
| <b>APPENDICES.....</b>  | <b>75</b> |
| Appendix 1: CONSENT TO TAKE PART IN THE RESEARCH .....  | 75        |



|  |    |
|--|----|
| Appendix 2: DATA COLLECTION TOOL FOR CSA PRACTICES IN MAIZE<br>PRODUCTION..... | 77 |
| Appendix 3: FARMER GROUP DISCUSSION GUIDING QUESTIONS .....                    | 86 |

## LIST OF ACRONYMS /ABBREVIATIONS

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| CSA    | Climate Smart Agriculture                             |
| UBOS   | Uganda Bureau of Statistic                            |
| ACDP   | Agricultural Cluster Development Project              |
| USAID  | United Stated Agency for International Development    |
| UBOS   | Uganda Bureau of Statistics                           |
| GDP    | Gross Domestic Product                                |
| FAO    | Food and Agriculture Organization                     |
| SSA    | Sub Saharan African                                   |
| UNFCCC | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change |
| SDG    | Sustainable Developmental Goals                       |
| MDGs   | Millennium Developmental goals                        |
| NARO   | National Agricultural Research Organization           |
| UNHDR  | United Nations Human Development Report               |
| GoU    | Government of Uganda                                  |
| SAPs   | Sustainable Agricultural Practices                    |
| WFP    | World Food Program                                    |
| NEMA   | National Environment Management Authority             |
| PHH    | Post Harvest Handling                                 |
| MAAIF  | Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries |
| IPCC   | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change             |

## OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF TERMS

**Aflatoxins:** Poisonous substances produced by fungi and make the grain unfit for consumption.

**Climate change:** Natural and or human induced changes in the mean and/or the variability of climate properties and that persists for an extended period, typically decades or longer (Intergovernmental panel on climate change, 2007).

**Climate change adaptation:** Adjustments in ecological-social-economic systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli, their effects or impacts (IPCC, 2001; Smit& Olga, 2001).

**Climate Smart Agricultural practices:** FAO Defined CSA as agricultural activity that is: sustainably and efficiently increases productivity and incomes (adaptation), reduces or removes Greenhouse gases emissions (mitigation), enhances achievement of national food security and development goals (FAO, 2010).

**Climate Smart Agricultural strategies:** a group of related (in terms of use) climate smart agricultural practices.

**Climate Smart Agricultural packages:** a combination of climate smart agricultural strategies used by farmers in the study area.

**Vulnerability:** having “an external dimension, which is represented by the exposure of a system to climate change variations, as well as an internal dimension, which comprises it’s „sensitivity and its adaptive capacity” to these stressors” (Füssel & Klein, 2006).

**Small scale farmers:** farmers who own 5 acres or less living and practicing farming in the region.

**Post-harvest damage:** physical alteration caused by biotic or a biotic agents.

**Quality loss:** a reduction in the quality of food grain so that its market value is reduced transaction attributes, including nutrition, food security, and product safety

**Food security:** when all people at all times have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life” World Food Summit 1996

**Climate change adaptation:** initiatives and measures to reduce the vulnerability of natural and human systems against actual or expected climate change effects.

**Vulnerability:** the degree to which a system is susceptible to, and unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes.

**Risk:** the probability of occurrence of hazardous events or trends multiplied by the impacts if these events or trends occur. It results from the interaction between the hazard (weather event), exposure (system that can be affected) and the vulnerability.

**Gender differentiated vulnerability to climate change impacts:** Gender is one of many components of vulnerability to climatic change. Changes in the climate affect genders differently, magnifying existing gender inequality.

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 1.1 Conceptual Framework.....  | 9  |
| Figure 3.1 shows the study area .....   | 28 |
| Figure 4.1 Analysis of Age (source Primary Data).....                           | 41 |
| Figure 4.2 Major employer (Source Primary Data) .....                           | 43 |
| Figure 4.3 Contribution of Maize to Household Income (Source Primary Data)..... | 44 |
| Figure 4.4 Type of Land Ownership (Source Primary Data).....                    | 45 |
| Figure 4.5 Farmers level of usage of CSA strategies (Source Primary Data).....  | 52 |
| Figure 4.6 Cost of Maize Production .....                                       | 62 |
| Figure 4.7 Financial Access and Knowledge .....                                 | 63 |
| Figure 4.8 Reason for No Access to Financial Services .....                     | 64 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Climate Smart Agricultural practices identified to be actively used by farmers ..... | 33 |
| Table 2: Demographics of the Respondents.....   | 40 |
| Table 3: Level of Education of the respondents.....   | 42 |
| Table 4: Loadings of the four components for CSA compositions.....                            | 46 |
| Table 5: List of climate smart strategies .....   | 47 |
| Table 6: Regression Results .....   | 48 |
| Table 7: Variance inflation factor test results for continuous explanatory variables.....     | 50 |
| Table 8: Contingency coefficient test results for categorical explanatory variables.....      | 50 |
| Table 9: Test for heteroskedasticity .....  | 51 |
| Table 10: Standard Poisson model results on factors influencing demand for CSA practices .... | 53 |
| Table 11 Variable in the equation .....   | 58 |

## ABSTRACT

Climate change remains a major threat to maize production and food security as well. The increasing temperatures, drought stress, disease and pest outbreak followed with variability in rainfall patterns has had serious consequences on crop production in the region thus fostering a decline in production resulting into food insecurity. Climate Smart Agriculture (CSA) is the way to turn around the situation to more resilience and higher agricultural productivity leading to improved food security status. Multistage sampling technique was employed in sampling 240 maize small holder farmers in the region. The Primary data was collected through face-to-face interviews using structured and open ended questioners to interview the respondents. With the application of principal component analysis, we clustered the CSA practices into 4 components: crop management, field management, and farm risk reduction and soil management practices.

The CSA practices were grouped using a Principal component analysis (PCA) and a Regression analysis was used in analyzing the effect of CSA practices on maize yield. The factors that influences the demand for CSA practices were determined in a poisson regression analysis, Multinomial Endogenous Switching Regression was employed in analysis. Multicollinearity and heteroskedasticity were conducted to the variables for socio-economic, institutional and climate related factors.

The results revealed that 14 individual CSA practices which were grouped into four components actively in use. The results indicated a strong positive correlation between the Maize yield and the CSA practices. The results also showed that demand for CSA practices was positively influenced by gender of the household head, household size, and participation in off-farm employment, farm size, group membership, and annual contacts with extension service agents, credit access and negatively influenced by age of the household head. A complete package with crop management, field management, farm risk reduction and specific soil management practices had the highest implication on maize production, food security and determine and propose the best adoption options for maize production. Farmers should be sensitized on the need to invest in farm productive assets in order to absorb the risks of climate change while also enabling them to benefit from use of CSAs which require these important assets.

CSAs have the potential to alleviate food insecurity among smallholder farmers if used in combinations and to a larger extend.

Keywords: Climate-smart agricultural practices, Food security, Climate change, Smallholder farmers, Multinomial endogenous switching regression analysis.

## CHAPTER ONE

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of the Study

Uganda's ever increasing population largely depends on natural resource based sectors such as agriculture, fisheries and forestry. Here agriculture is the most important economic activity, providing income, employment and foreign exchange and the sector contributes 23.7 per cent of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS 2011/12) and 80 per cent of national export earnings Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO). Agricultural production systems are expected to produce food for the global population that is expected to reach 9.1 billion people in 2050 and over 10 billion by end of the century (World bank, 2011) According to (Branca et al, 2011) agricultural systems need to be transformed to increase the productive capacity and stability of smallholder agricultural production in the wake of climate change. This change has already caused significant impacts on water resources, human health and food security (Turpie et al, 2002); (Hassan, Deressa T., 2005); (Nhemachema, C & Hassan, 2007); (Deressa et al, 2005) (Kabubo-Mariara & Kabara M, 2011, 2015) Rising temperatures and changes in rainfall patterns affect agricultural production with significant decline in crop and livestock production. The agricultural sector is dominated by food crop production such as maize, millet, Banana, cassava and to a less extent potatoes. Maize's importance is associated with increasing demand by the Uganda's population for food security, industrial demands, income, livestock sector and its associated products and yet Smallholders Farmers dominate the production process of the enterprise. It is an important source of carbohydrate, protein, iron, vitamin B, and minerals and consumed as a starchy base in a wide variety of porridges, pastes, grits, and beer. Green maize (fresh on the cob) is eaten parched, baked, roasted or boiled and plays an important role in filling the hunger gap after the dry season.

Maize grains have great nutritional value as they contain 72 % starch, 10 % protein, 4.8 % oil, 8.5 % fibre, 3.0 % sugar and 1.7 % (Chaudhary, 1983) *Zea mazy* is the most important cereal fodder and grain crop under both irrigated and rain fed agricultural systems in the semi-arid and arid tropics (Hussan et al., 2003) Climate change is a threat to food security systems and one of the biggest challenges in the region. There is growing evidence that climate change is real and has potential devastating consequences on small holder farmers in the region. Significant concerns about the impacts of climate variability and change on agricultural production have been raised in



## REFERENCES

- Poisson Count Models to Explain the Adoption of Agricultural and Natural Resource Management Technologies by Small Farmers in Central American Countries. (2000). *Agriculture and applied economics*, 329.
- Adams, et al. (1998). Effects of Global Climate Change on Agriculture. *An interpretative review. climate research*, 11:19-30.
- Adams, et al. (1998). Effects of Global Climate Change on Agriculture. *An interpretative review. Climate Research*, 11:19-30.
- Adesina, A. A & Forson, J.B. (1995). Farmers' perceptions and adoption of new agricultural technology: Evidence from analysis in Burkina Faso and Guinea, West Africa. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 13:1-9.
- Adger. (2001). Scales of Governance and Environmental Justice for Adaptation and Mitigation of Climate Change. *Journal of international Development*, 13:921-931.
- Agricultural adaptation to climate change. (1996). *Climatic changes*, 33:7-29.
- Arslan et al. (2014). Adoption and Intensity of Adoption of Conservation Farming Practices in Zambia. 187; 72-86.
- Borur, et al. (2011). *Climate Change and the Emergence of Helter-Skelter Livelihoods Among the Pastoralists of Samburu East District, Kenya*.
- Branca et al. (2011). *“Climate Smart Agriculture: A Synthesis of Empirical Evidence of Food Security and Mitigation Benefits from Improved Cropland Management*. Rome.
- Bryan et al. (2015). *Gender and Institutional Aspects of Climate-Smart Agricultural Practices*.
- Cascetta. (2009). Transportation Systems Analysis. *Springer Science and Business Media*, 89-167.

Chatterjee, et al. (2015). Methodology of Identification and Characterization of Farming Systems in Irrigated Agriculture: Case Study in West Bengal State of India. *Agricultural Science and Technology*, 17:1127-1140.

De Groote, H. & Coulibaly. (1998). Gender and Generation: An Intra-Household Analysis on Access to Resources in Southern Mali. *African Crop Science*, 6(10).

Deressa et al. (2005). *Measuring the Economic Impact of Climate Change on South Africa's Sugarcane Growing Regions*. *Agreko*, 44 (4): 524- 542.

Eriksen H. & Kelly. (2017). Developing Credible Vulnerability Indicators for Climate Adaptation Policy Assessment. *Mitigation and adaptation strategies for global change*, 12:495-524.

Eriksen, H. & Kelly. (2004). Developing Credible Vulnerability Indicators for Climate Adaptation Policy Assessment. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 12:495-524.

FAO . (2013). *Climate Smart Agriculture: Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation*. Rome.

FAO. (2010). *Climate Smart Agriculture: Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation*. Rome.

FAO. (2013). *Multiple dimensions of food security. The state of food insecurity in the world*. Rome.

FAO. (2013). *Multiple dimensions of food security. The state of food insecurity in the world*. Rome.

FAO, et al. (2011). *Climate Smart Agriculture: A Synthesis of Empirical Evidence of Food Security and Mitigation Benefits from Improved Cropland Management*. Rome.

FARA. (2015). *State of Knowledge on CSA in Africa: Case Studies from Ethiopia, Kenya and Uganda*. Accra, Ghana.

- FFICA. (2014). *A Review of Climate Smart Agriculture in the Non-ASAL Areas of Kenya*. Nairobi.
- FICCF. (2014). *A Review of Climate Smart Agriculture in the Non-ASAL Areas of Kenya: Finance Innovation and Climate Change Fund*. Nairobi.
- Gbege,B.D & Akubuilu. (2012). Socioeconomic determinants of adoption of yam miniset by farmers in Rivers state, Nigeria. *Journal of agricultural research*, 2(1):033-038.
- Gbegeh & Akubuilu. (2013). Socioeconomic Determinants of Adoption of Yam 63 Miniset by Farmers in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of agricultural research*, 2(1): 033-038.
- GOK. (2010). *National Climate Change Response Strategy*. Ministry of Environment and Mineral Resources. Nairobi.
- Hassan et al. (2007). *International Food Policy*. Washington.
- Hassan,Deressa T,. (2005). *Measuring the Economic Impact of ClimateChange on South Africa''s Sugarcane Growing Regions*.
- Hulmeet. (2001). *effect of climate smart agricultural practices in maize production*.
- Hulmeet. (2011). *effect of climate smart agricultural practices in maize production*.
- IPCC. (2007). *Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, Contributions of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.. New york.
- IPCC. (2007). *Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, Contributions of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.. newyork .
- Kabubo-Mariara & Kabara M. (2011, 2015). *Global Warming in the 21st Century: The Impact on Agricultural Production in Kenya*.
- Kabubo-Mariara. (2007). *The Economic Impact of Climate Change on Kenyan Crop Agriculture: A Ricardian Approach*. *Global and Planetary Change*, 57: 319-330.
- Kabubo-Mariara et al. (2015). *Climate Change and Food Security in Kenya*.

Kaiser, H.F. (1958). *The varimax criterion for analytic rotation in factor analysis*.

Lipper. (2014). *Climate smart agriculture for food security*. .

Lukano . (2013). *Busia County Integrated Development Plan, 2013–2017, Ministry of Planning and County Development, County Government of Busia*. Busia.

Lukano. (2013). *Busia County Integrated Development Plan, 2013–2017, Ministry of Planning and County Development, County Government of Busia*. Busia.

Marenja, et al. (2007). Household-level Determinants of Adoption of Improved Natural Resources Management Practices among Smallholder Farmers in Western Kenya. *Food policy*, 32:515-536.

McFadden. (1973). Conditional Logit Analysis of Qualitative Choice Behaviour. *Frontiers in Econometrics*, 105-142.

Measuring household food insecurity: why it's so important and yet so difficult to do. (2006). *Nutrition*, 136(5): 1404-1408.

Nhemachema, C. & Hassan. (2007). *International Food Policy Research Institute*. Washington.

Nhemachema, C & Hassan. (2007). ). *Micro-level Analysis of Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change Change in Southern Africa. IFPRI discussion paper No.00714*. Washington.

Nkonya, et al. (2008). *Linkages Between Land Management, Land Degradation, and Poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Nairobi.

Nkonya, et al. (2008). *Linkages Between Land Management, Land Degradation, and Poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Case of Uganda. IFPRI Research Report No. 00159*. Washington .

Octavio, et al. (2000). ). Poisson Count Models to Explain the Adoption of Agricultural and Natural Resource Management Technologies by Small Farmers in Central American Countries. *Agriculture and Applied Economics*, 32(1):21-33.

Okumu, O.F. (2013). *Small-scale farmers' perceptions and adaptation measures to climate change in Kitui County, Kenya*. Nairobi.

Owoeye, Salifu et al, Caitin, Isaiah, Ebojei, Mendola. (2017, 2015, 2013, 2012, 2007).

Roessiget al 2004, Fick et al 2005, and Funk et al 2005. (2004, 2005, 2005). *effect of climate smart agricultural practices in maize production*.

Roessiget, FICK AND Funk. (2005). *effect of climate smart agricultural practices in maize production*.

Roessiget2004, F. 2. (2005). *effect of climate smart agricultural practices in maize production*.

Rogers et al. (2006). Measuring household food insecurity: why it's so important and yet so difficult to do. *Nutrition*, 136(5):1404-1408.

Scales of Governance and Environmental Justice for Adaptation and Mitigation of Climate Change. (2001). *Journal of international development*, 13:921-931.

Shiferaw, B. & Holden. (1998). *Resource degradation and adoption of land conservation technologies in the Ethiopian highlands: Case study of AnditTid, North Shewa*. *Agricultural Economics*. . Stockholm.

Smit et al. (1996). Agricultural adaptation to climate change. *Climatic changes*, 33:7-29.

Smit et al. (1996, 2001). *Adaptation to Climate change in the Context of Sustainable Development and Equity*. Newyork.

Smit, B & Wandel. (2006). Adaptation, adaptive capacity and vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change*, 16(3): 282-292.

Smit, B. & Olga, P, McCarthy,J.J, O.F. Canzian,N. A. Leary, D.J. Dokken & K.S White. (2001). *Adaptation to Climate change in the Context of Sustainable Development and Equity*. New York.

Smit, et al. (2001). *Adaptation to Climate change in the Context of Sustainable Development and Equity*. Cambridge.

Teklewold, et al. (2013). Cropping system diversification, conservation tillage and modern seed adoption in Ethiopia: Impacts on household income, agrochemical use and demand for labor. *Agricultura economics*, 64(3):597-623.

*The Economic Impact of Climate Change on Kenyan Crop Agriculture: A Ricardian Approach. Global and Planetary Change*, 57: 319-330. (2007).

Thornton, et al. (2014). Climate smart agriculture for food security. *Nature and climate change*, 4:1068-1072.

Tiwari, R, Ausman, L.M. & Agho, K. E. (2014). *Determinants of stunting and severe stunting among under-fives: evidence from the 2011 Nepal Demographic and Health Survey*. Nepal.

Tizale. (2007). *The dynamics of soil degradation and incentives for optimal management in the Central Highlands of Ethiopia*. Pretoria.

Turpie et al. (2002). *Economic impacts of climate change in South Africa: A preliminary analysis of unmitigated damage costs*. . Cape Town.

UNDP. (2012). *Towards a Food Secure Future*. Washington.

UNFCCC. (2015). *Conference of the Parties*. Paris.