

Design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa

Daniel Otim^{1,2*}, Jeff Smithers^{1,3}, Aidan Senzanje¹ and Rianto van Antwerpen^{4,5}

¹Agricultural Engineering, School of Engineering, College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

²Department of Agricultural Mechanisation and Irrigation Engineering, Busitema University, PO Box 236, Tororo, Uganda

³National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

⁴South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe, South Africa

⁵Department of Soil, Crops and Climate Sciences, University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa

ABSTRACT

This paper contains a critical review of the norms employed in the design of soil and water conservation structures in the South African sugar industry and highlights research needs in order to update them. Sugarcane in South Africa is grown on wide-ranging soils, sometimes in non-ideal climates and on steep topographies where soils are vulnerable to erosion. A consequence of unsustainable soil loss is reduction in field production capacity. Sugarcane fields are protected against erosion through, inter alia, the use of engineered waterways, contour banks and spill-over roads. The South African Sugarcane Research Institute (SASRI), previously known as the South African Sugar Experiment Station (SASEX), developed a nomograph to easily compute the maximum width of field panels based on soil type, tillage method, replant method, surface structures to control runoff, surface cover and slope. This was followed by guidelines and norms for the design of soil and water conservation structures. However, the nomograph was developed based on an acceptable soil loss of $20 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$, yet soil formation rates in South Africa range between 0.25 and $0.38 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$. Comparisons between design norms in the National Soil Conservation Manual and norms used in the sugar industry clearly show discrepancies that need to be investigated. The design of soil conservation structures includes the design of both contour bank spacing and hydraulic capacity. The sustainable soil loss method is recommended in the design of contour spacing and it determines contour spacing based on evaluation of site-specific sheet and rill erosion potential of the planned contour spacing while the hydraulic design employs Manning's equation. Considering that increases in both design rainfall and design floods are anticipated in South Africa, it is necessary to incorporate these projections in the design of soil and water conservation structures. Many soil loss models exist, of which empirical models are the most robust and provide stable performances. The majority of empirical models are lumped models which estimate average annual soil loss. The Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation (MUSLE) estimates event-based erosion and, given that the majority of soil erosion occurs during a few extreme events annually, the design norms should be updated using the MUSLE.

Keywords: contour banks, hydraulic, hydrologic, soil erosion, USLE, waterways

INTRODUCTION

Soil conservation is defined as the prevention and reduction of the amount of soil lost through erosion (Sustainet, 2010). The purpose of soil conservation is to ensure that the rate of soil formation is not exceeded by the rate of soil loss (Morgan, 2005), and it ensures increases in the amount of water seeping into the soil, thereby slowing down and reducing the amount of water running off (Sustainet, 2010). Soil is the most important resource on which agriculture is based. Thus, the proper management of soil is vital to ensuring long-term sustainability of agricultural productivity. According to Morgan (2005), soil erosion control is dependent on the selection of appropriate strategies for soil conservation, which in turn requires a thorough understanding of the processes and mechanics of erosion. Many soil conservation practices exist and they include mechanical structures (e.g. contour bunds, terraces, check dams), soil management practices and agronomic measures (e.g. cover crops, tillage, mulching, vegetation strips,

re-vegetation, and agroforestry) (Krois and Schulte, 2014). It is recommended that all approaches to soil conservation, i.e., agronomic, soil management and mechanical means, be used to manage runoff from cultivated lands (Reinders et al., 2016).

Erosion is the process by which soil particles are detached and transported by erosive agents (Ellison, 1944). When the erosive agent is rainfall and/or runoff, the process is referred to as soil erosion by water (Ferro, 2010). Erosion of soil is a serious problem that emanates from a combination of agricultural intensification, soil degradation and intense rainstorms (Amore et al., 2004). Soil is functionally a non-renewable resource and while topsoil develops over centuries, the world's growing human population has actively depleted the resource over decades (Cohen et al., 2006). According to Cogo et al. (1984), soil erosion from cultivated cropland continues to be a major concern with significant associated problems, which range from the losses of a non-renewable resource and nutrients at its source to the contamination that occurs in the downstream areas (Guo et al., 2015). Shabani et al. (2014) reported that soil erosion is one of the most important factors degrading fertile agricultural soils around the world. According to Lewis (1981) and Nyakatawa et al. (2001), erosion may lead to the development of a rough and thin soil layer having little or no capacity to store water. This

* To whom all correspondence should be addressed.
e-mail: danotim@gmail.com

Received 12 March 2018; accepted in revised form 4 December 2018.

National Soil Conservation Manual (e.g. maximum slope, cover factors for sugarcane and maximum contour spacing) and norms employed in the USA and Australia. The design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry also advocate for specific designs whenever slopes are less than 3% or greater than 30% although the design nomograph used in the sugar industry caters for slopes up to 40%. Some slopes in the sugar production industry exceed 40% and yet the nomograph has a maximum slope of 40% and cannot be used to design structures on land where slopes are greater than 40% or less than 3%. The 40% slope is also greater than the 20% maximum slope contained in the National Soil Conservation Manual (Van Staden and Smithen, 1989). Hence, these anomalies need to be revised and harmonised in the updated design norms for the design of soil and water conservation structures.

The nomograph used in the local sugar industry further assumes strip planting, which is generally no longer practiced in South Africa. Failure to practice strip cropping exposes the soils to erosion and hence recommendations for practices like mulching would limit the amount of soil loss.

Accidental and runaway fires are common occurrences in sugarcane harvesting in South Africa and often spread over entire hillsides, thereby exposing the land under sugarcane production to potential erosion (SASRI, 2014). Such an unforeseen occurrence is not accounted for in the design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry and should be considered in future design norms.

Crop rotation is important in sugar production, ensuring soil fertility and reduction of pests and diseases, yet this important practice is not included in the design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry. During the rotation period, the cover factor of the rotation crops is different to the sugarcane cover factors. Hence, some practices allowed during sugar production, like spraying pests and diseases and burning at harvest, may not be performed as a result of crop rotation. The design nomograph used in the sugar industry does not include vulnerability during break cropping where the cover may be reduced as a result of field rejuvenation and replanting of sugarcane. The sugarcane cover factors in the National Soil Conservation Manual range between 0.15 and 0.20 (DAWS, 1990), while the factors in the sugar industry design norms range between 0.09 and 0.15 (Platford, 1987).

In conclusion, there is a need to accommodate climate change variations, significant events of soil erosion, production and management practices, unforeseen occurrences which may occur, and regional differences in climate, soils and slopes in future design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa.

REFERENCES

- ALEXANDER WJR (2001) Flood risk reduction measures: Incorporating flood hydrology for Southern Africa. Department of Civil and Biosystems Engineering, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, RSA. 459 pp.
- AMORE E, MODICA C, NEARING MA and SANTORO VC (2004) Scale effect in USLE and WEPP application for soil erosion computation from three Sicilian basins. *J. Hydrol.* **293** (1–4) 100–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.01.018>
- ANGIMA SD, STOTT DE, O'NEILL MK, ONG CK and WEESIES GA (2003) Soil erosion prediction using RUSLE for central Kenyan highland conditions. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **97** (1–3) 295–308. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(03\)00011-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(03)00011-2)
- ASABE Standard (2012) S268.5. Design layout construction and maintenance of terrace systems. ASABE, St. Joseph, USA.
- AUERSWALD K, FIENER P, MARTIN W and ELHAUS D (2014) Use and misuse of the K factor equation in soil erosion modeling: An alternative equation for determining USLE nomograph soil erodibility values. *Catena* **118** 220–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2014.01.008>
- BATES B, KUNDZEWICZ ZW, WU S and PALUTIKOF J (2008) Climate change and water: Technical paper. IPCC Secretariat, Geneva, Switzerland. 200 pp.
- BOARDMAN J (1998) An average soil erosion rate for Europe: myth or reality? *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **53** (1) 46–50.
- CARA (Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act) (1983) *RSA Government Gazette No. 43 of 1983: 25 May 1984*, No. R.1048. Cape Town, RSA.
- CAREY BW, STONE B, NORMAN PL and SHILTON P (2015) Contour banks. In: Butcher S, Campbell C, Green D, Hamilton F, Skopp L and Willson M (eds) *Soil Conservation Guidelines for Queensland*. Department of Science, Information Technology and Innovation, Brisbane, Australia.
- COGO NP, MOLDENHAUER WC and FOSTER GR (1984) Soil loss reductions from conservation tillage practices. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **48** (2) 368–373. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1984.03615995004800020029x>
- COHEN MJ, BROWN MT and SHEPHERD KD (2006) Estimating the environmental costs of soil erosion at multiple scales in Kenya using energy synthesis. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **114** (2–4) 249–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2005.10.021>
- DAWS (1990) Predicting rainfall erosion losses. In: Mathee JFIG (ed.) *National Soil Conservation Manual*. Directorate: Agricultural Engineering and Water Supply, Pretoria.
- DE WAAL JH, CHAPMAN A and KEMP J (2017) Extreme 1-day rainfall distributions: Analysing change in the Western Cape. *S. Afr. J. Sci.* **113** (7/8) 43–50. <https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2017/20160301>
- DU PLESSIS J and BURGER G (2015) Investigation into increasing short-duration rainfall intensities in South Africa. *Water SA* **41** (3) 416–424. <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v41i3.14>
- DU PLESSIS J and SCHLOMS B (2017) An investigation into the evidence of seasonal rainfall pattern shifts in the Western Cape, South Africa. *J. S. Afr. Inst. Civ. Eng.* **59** (4) 47–55. <https://doi.org/10.17159/2309-8775/2017/v59n4a5>
- DUNSMORE SJ, SCHULZE RE and SCHMIDT EJ (1986) Antecedent soil moisture in design runoff volume estimation. WRC Report No. 155/1/86. Water Research Commission, Pretoria. 110 pp.
- EDWARDS K (1988) How much soil loss is acceptable. *Search* **19** (3) 136–140.
- ELLISON WD (1944) Studies of raindrop erosion. *Agric. Eng.* **25** (4) 131–136.
- ELWELL HA (1978) Modelling soil losses in southern Africa. *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* **23** (2) 117–127. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8634\(78\)90043-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8634(78)90043-4)
- FERRO V (2010) Deducing the USLE mathematical structure by dimensional analysis and self-similarity theory. *Biosyst. Eng.* **106** (2) 216–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2010.03.006>
- FOSTER GR, YODER DC, WEESIES GA, MCCOOL DK, MCGREGOR KC and BINGNER RL (2003) User's Guide—Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation Version 2 (RUSLE 2). USDA-ARS, Washington, D.C.d. 77 pp.
- FOSTER GR, YODER DC, WEESIES GA and TOY TJ (2001) The design philosophy behind RUSLE2: Evolution of an empirical model. In: Ascough II JC and Flanagan DC (eds) *Soil Erosion*. ASABE, Honolulu, USA.
- GŁOWACKA A (2014) The influence of strip cropping and adjacent plant species on the content and uptake of N, P, K, Mg and Ca by maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Romanian Agric. Res.* **31** 219–227.
- GUO Q-K, LIU B-Y, XIE Y, LIU Y-N and YIN S-Q (2015) Estimation of USLE crop and management factor values for crop rotation systems in China. *J. Integrative Agric.* **14** (9) 1877–1888. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(15\)61097-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(15)61097-8)
- GWAPEDZA D, SLAUGHTER A, HUGHES D and MANTEL S (2018) Regionalising MUSLE factors for application to a data-scarce catchment. *Proc. International Association of Hydrological Sciences*

- 377 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-377-19-2018>
- HALLEGATTE S (2009) Strategies to adapt to an uncertain climate change. *Glob. Environ. Change* **19** (2) 240–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.12.003>
- HAYLETT DG (1961) Runoff and soil erosion studies at Pretoria. S. Afr. J. Agric. Sci. **3** (3) 379–395.
- HAYWOOD RW (1991) Model evaluation for simulating runoff from sugarcane fields. MScEng, University of Natal.
- HAYWOOD RW and SCHULZE RE (1990) Modelling runoff from sugarcane fields. In: Proceedings of South Africa Sugar Technologists' Association, June 1990, Durban, RSA.
- HELTBERG R, SIEGEL PB and JORGENSEN SL (2009) Addressing human vulnerability to climate change: Toward a 'no-regrets' approach. *Glob. Environ. Change* **19** (1) 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.11.003>
- HUDSON NW (1981) *Soil Conservation*. BT Batsford Ltd, London, UK. 391 pp.
- HUFFMAN RL, DELMAR DF, WILLIAM JE and STEPHEN RW (2013) Terraces and vegetated water ways. In: (ed.) *Soil and Water Conservation Engineering*. ASABE, St. Joseph, Michigan.
- HUI-MING S and YANG CT (2009) Estimating overland flow erosion capacity using unit stream power. *Int. J. Sediment Res.* **24** (1) 46–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6279\(09\)60015-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6279(09)60015-9)
- KNOESEN DM (2012) Integrating hydro-climatic hazards and climate changes as a tool for adaptive water resources management in the Orange river catchment. PhD Eng Thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg.
- KROIS J and SCHULTE A (2014) GIS-based multi-criteria evaluation to identify potential sites for soil and water conservation techniques in the Ronquillo watershed, northern Peru. *Appl. Geogr.* **51** 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.04.006>
- KUICHLING E (1889) The relation between the rainfall and the discharge of sewers in populous districts. *Trans. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng.* **20** (1) 1–56.
- KUNDZEWICZ ZW, MATA LJ, ARNELL NW, DÖLL P, JIMENEZ B, MILLER K, OKI T, ŞEN Z and SHIKLOMANOV I (2008) The implications of projected climate change for freshwater resources and their management. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* **53** (1) 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.53.1.3>
- LE ROUX J, MORGENTHAL T, MALHERBE J, PRETORIUS D and SUMNER P (2008) Water erosion prediction at a national scale for South Africa. *Water SA* **34** (3) 305–314.
- LE ROUX JJ, NEWBY T and SUMNER P (2007) Monitoring soil erosion in South Africa at a regional scale: Review and recommendations. *S. Afr. J. Sci.* **103** (7–8) 329–335.
- LEWIS LA (1981) The movement of soil materials during a rainy season in western Nigeria. *Geoderma* **25** (1–2) 13–25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061\(81\)90003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(81)90003-3)
- LLOYD W, PALLICKARA S, DAVID O, LYON J, ARABI M and ROJAS K (2013) Performance implications of multi-tier application deployments on infrastructure-as-a-service clouds: Towards performance modeling. *Future Generation Comput. Syst.* **29** (5) 1254–1264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2012.12.007>
- LORENTZ SA and SCHULZE RE (1995) Sediment yield. In: Schulze RE (ed.) *Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System*. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- MAGLEBY R, SANDRETTO C, CROSSWHITE W and OSBORN C (1995) Soil Erosion and Conservation in the United States. Agricultural Economic Report No. 718. Natural Resources and Environment Division, Economic Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, USA.
- MANYEVERE A, MUCHAONYERWA P, MNKENI PNS and LAKER MC (2016) Examination of soil and slope factors as erosion controlling variables under varying climatic conditions. *Catena* **147** 245–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.06.035>
- MATTHEE JFIG and VAN SCHALKWYK CJ (1984) A primer on soil conservation. Department of Agriculture, Pretoria. 126 pp.
- MCPHEE PJ, SMITHEN AA, VENTER CJ, HARTMANN MO and CROSBY CT (1983) The South African rainfall simulator programme for assessing soil loss and run-off. In: *South African National Hydrological Symposium - Proceedings*, 8–9 September 1983, Pretoria, RSA.
- MERRITT WS, LETCHER RA and JAKEMAN AJ (2003) A review of erosion and sediment transport models. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **18** (8) 761–799. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152\(03\)00078-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-8152(03)00078-1)
- MEYER E (2005) Machinery systems for sugarcane production in South Africa. MScEng Seminar Paper, South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe, RSA.
- MORGAN RPC (2005) *Soil Erosion and Conservation*. Blackwell Publishing, Malden, USA. 304 pp.
- National Veld and Forest Fire Act (1998) *RSA Government Gazette No. 101 of 1998: 27 November 1998, No. 1536.27*. Cape Town, RSA.
- NYAKATAWA EZ, REDDY KC and BROWN GF (2001) Residual effect of poultry litter applied to cotton in conservation tillage systems on succeeding rye and corn. *Field Crops Res.* **71** (3) 159–171. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290\(01\)00155-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(01)00155-1)
- OTIM D (2018) Development of updated design norms for soil and water conservation structures in the sugar industry of South Africa. PhD Eng Draft Thesis, School of Engineering, University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- PARAK M and PEGRAM GG (2006) The rational formula from the runhydrograph. *Water SA* **32** (2) 163–180.
- PLATFORD GG (1979) Research into soil and water losses from sugarcane fields. In: *Proc. South African Sugar Technologists' Association*, June 1979, Durban, RSA.
- PLATFORD GG (1987) A new approach to designing the widths of panels in sugarcane fields. In: *Proc. South Africa Sugar Technologists' Association*, Mount Edgecombe, RSA.
- PLATFORD GG and THOMAS CS (1985) The small catchment project at La Mercy. In: *Proc. South Africa Sugar Technologists' Association*, June 1985, Durban, RSA.
- PRASANNAKUMAR V, VIJITH H, ABINOD S and GEETHA N (2012) Estimation of soil erosion risk within a small mountainous sub-watershed in Kerala, India, using Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) and geo-information technology. *Geosci. Front.* **3** (2) 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2011.11.003>
- RANZI R, LE TH and RULLI MC (2012) A RUSLE approach to model suspended sediment load in the Lo river (Vietnam): Effects of reservoirs and land use changes. *J. Hydrol.* **422–423** 17–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.12.009>
- REINDERS FB, OOSTHUIZEN H, SENZANJE A, SMITHERS JC, VAN DER MERWE RJ, VAN DER STOEP I and VAN RENSBURG L (2016) Development of technical and financial norms and standards for drainage of irrigated lands. WRC Report No. TT 655/15. Water Research Commission, Pretoria.
- RENARD KG, FOSTER GR, WEESIES GA, MCCOOL DK and YODER DC (1997) *Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A Guide to Conservation Planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE)*. US Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- RENARD KG, FOSTER GR, WEESIES GA and PORTER JP (1991) RUSLE: Revised Universal Soil Loss equation. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **46** (1) 30–33.
- RUSSELL WB (1994) CEDARA Report: Standards and norms for soil and water conservation planning in KwaZulu-Natal. Report No. N/A/93/32. KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture, Pietermaritzburg, RSA. pp.
- RUSSELL WB (1998a) Conservation tillage practices. In: Abbott MA (ed.) *Conservation of Farmland in KwaZulu-Natal*. KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture, Pietermaritzburg.
- RUSSELL WB (1998b) The cost of farmland degradation. In: Abbott MA (ed.) *Conservation of Farmland in KwaZulu-Natal*. KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture, Pietermaritzburg.
- RUSSELL WB and GIBBS MD (1996) CEDARA report: Ten years of soil and water loss monitoring on the CEDARA runoff plots. Cedara Report No. N/A/96/4. KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- SASA (South African Sugar Association) (2002) Standards and guidelines for conservation and environmental management in the South African sugar industry. South African Sugar Association, Mount Edgecombe. 57 pp.
- SASEX (South African Sugar Association Experiment Station) (1974)

- Soil conservation: a guide to farming practices in the sugarcane industry. South African Sugar Association Experiment Station, Mount Edgecombe. 27 pp.
- SASRI (South African Sugarcane Research Institute) (1998) Information Sheet 4.10: Minimum tillage. South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe, RSA.
- SASRI (South African Sugarcane Research Institute) (2010) Information Sheet 4.7: The pros and cons of trashing or burning at harvest. South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe, RSA.
- SASRI (South African Sugarcane Research Institute) (2011) South African sugar industry visitors' guide. SASRI, Mount Edgecombe, RSA. 16 pp.
- SASRI (South African Sugarcane Research Institute) (2013) Information Sheet 4.8: Industrial guidelines for burning sugarcane. South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe.
- SASRI (South African Sugarcane Research Institute) (2014) Information Sheet 4.1: Management of fire cane. South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe.
- SASRI (South African Sugarcane Research Institute) (2015) Sustainable sugarcane farm management system. South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe. 137 pp.
- SCHMIDT EJ and SCHULZE RE (1984) Improved Estimates of Peak Flow Rates Using Modified SCS Lag Equations. WRC Report No. TT 31/87. Water Research Commission, Pretoria. 145 pp.
- SCHMIDT EJ, SCHULZE RE and DENT MC (1987) Flood Volume and Peak Discharge from Small Catchments in Southern Africa Based on the SCS Technique. WRC Report No. TT 31/87. Water Research Commission, Pretoria, RSA. 164 pp.
- SCHULZE RE (1975) Catchment evapotranspiration in the Natal Drakensberg. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Natal.
- SCHULZE RE (1982) Adapting the SCS stormflow equation for application to specific events by soil moisture budgeting. ACRU Report No. 15. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- SCHULZE RE (1995a) Peak discharge. In: Schulze RE and Schmidt EJ (eds) *Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System*. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg.
- SCHULZE RE (1995b) Sediment yield. In: Lorentz SA and Schulze RE (eds) *Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System*. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- SCHULZE RE (2011) A 2011 Perspective on climate change and the South African water sector. WRC Report No. 1843/2/11. Water Research Commission, Pretoria. 366 pp.
- SCHULZE RE (2013) Modelling impacts of land use on hydrological responses in South Africa with the ACRU Model by sub-delineation of quinary catchments into land use dependent hydrological response units. Internal report. Centre for Water Resources Research, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg. 41 pp.
- SCHULZE RE, ANGUS GR, LYNCH SD and SMITHERS JC (1995) ACRU: Concepts and structure. In: Lorentz SA and Schulze RE (eds) *Hydrology and Agrohydrology: A Text to Accompany the ACRU 3.00 Agrohydrological Modelling System*. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA.
- SCHULZE RE and ARNOLD H (1979) Estimation of volume and rate of runoff in small catchments in South Africa. ACRU Report No. 8. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg, RSA. 79 pp.
- SCHULZE RE, HEWITSON BC, BARICHIEVY KR, TADROSS MA, KUNZ RP, HORAN MJC and LUMSDEN TG (2011) Methodological approaches to assessing eco-hydrological responses to climate change in South Africa. WRC Report No. 1562/1/10. Water Research Commission, Pretoria. 197 pp.
- SCS (1972) Design hydrographs. In: Mockus V (ed.) *National Engineering Handbook*. USDA, Washington D.C.
- SHABANI F, KUMAR L and ESMAEILI A (2014) Improvement to the prediction of the USLE K factor. *Geomorphology* **204** 229–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.08.008>
- SMITH DD (1941) Interpretation of soil conservation data for field use. *Agric. Eng.* **22** (5) 173–175.
- SMITH HJ (1999) Application of empirical soil loss models in southern Africa: a review. *S. Afr. J. Plant Soil* **16** (3) 158–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.1999.10635003>
- SMITHERS JC (2012) Methods for design flood estimation in South Africa. *Water SA* **38** (4) 633–646. <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v38i4.19>
- SMITHERS JC (2014) Effective Surface Water Management. South African Sugar Association, Mount Edgecombe, RSA.
- SMITHERS JC, MATHEWS P and SCHULZE RE (1996) The simulation of runoff and sediment yield from catchments under sugarcane production at La Mercy. ACRUcons Report No. 13. Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Natal, Pietermaritzburg. 104 pp.
- SOMMERLOT AR, NEJADHASHEMI AP, WOZNICKI SA, GIRI S and PROHASKA MD (2013) Evaluating the capabilities of watershed-scale models in estimating sediment yield at field-scale. *J. Environ. Manage.* **127** 228–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.05.018>
- SONG Y, LIU L, YAN P and CAO T (2005) A review of soil erodibility in water and wind erosion research. *J. Geogr. Sci.* **15** (2) 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02872682>
- SUSTAINET EA (2010) Technical manual for farmers and field extension service providers: conservation agriculture. Sustainable Agriculture Information Initiative, Nairobi. 6 pp.
- SUTHERST RW and BOURNE AS (2009) Modelling non-equilibrium distributions of invasive species: A tale of two modelling paradigms. *Biol. Invasions* **11** (6) 1231–1237. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-008-9335-x>
- TERRANOVA O, ANTRONICO L, COSCARELLI R and IAQUINTA P (2009) Soil erosion risk scenarios in the Mediterranean environment using RUSLE and GIS: An application model for Calabria (southern Italy). *Geomorphology* **112** (3–4) 228–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.06.009>
- USDA-ARS (2001) Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation Version 2 Handbook. USDA-ARS, Washington, D.C. 78 pp.
- USDA-ARS (2008) Draft User's Reference Guide: RUSLE2. USDA-ARS, Washington D.C. 431 pp.
- USDA-NRCS (2011) Terraces. In: *Engineering Field Handbook. Part 650*. USDA-NRCS, Washington D.C.
- USDA-NRCS (2013) RUSLE2 Website. <http://www.rusle2.org/> (Accessed 26 August 2016).
- VAN STADEN H and SMITHEN AA (1989) Protection of cultivated lands. In: Mathee JFIG (ed.) *National Soil Conservation Manual*. Directorate of Agricultural Engineering and Water Supply, Pretoria, RSA.
- WILLIAMS J and BERNDT H (1977) Sediment yield prediction based on watershed hydrology. *Trans. ASAE* **20** (6) 1100–1104. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.35710>
- WILLIAMS JR (1975) Sediment-yield prediction with universal equation using runoff energy factor. In: *Present and Prospective Technology for Predicting Sediment Yields and Sources*. 244–252.
- WISCHMEIER WH and SMITH DD (1965) Rainfall erosion losses from cropland east of the rocky mountains. In: *Guide for Selection of Practices for Soil and Water Conservation*. Agricultural Handbook No. 282, USDA, Washington D.C., USA.
- WISCHMEIER WH and SMITH DD (1978) Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses – A guide to Conservation Planning. USDA, Washington D.C. 63 pp.
- ZINGG AW (1940) Degree and length of land slope as it affects soil loss in run-off. *Agric. Eng.* **21** 59–64.
- ZUURBIER P and VAN DE VOOREN J (2008) Introduction to sugarcane ethanol contributions to climate change mitigation and the environment. In: Zuurbier P and Van de Vooren J (eds) *Sugarcane Ethanol*. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, The Netherlands.