BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental sciences

Department of Natural Resource Economics

Economic and Livelihood Impacts and Coping Mechanisms to Landslides that Previously Occurred in Nametesi Parish, Bukalasi Sub-county, Bududa District, Eastern of Uganda

> By WAKALANGA SULAYI (Reg: BU/UP/2012/608)

Theodore MUNYULI

(B.Sc.-Eng., M.Sc.-Envir. & Nat. Resource., Ph.D.-Envir. Economics)

(Senior Lecturer and Research Scientist),

A research dissertation report submitted in the partial fulfilment of the requirement of the award of the degree of Bachelor of Science in Natural Resource Economics of Busitema University

JUNE 2015

DECLARATION

1. WAKALANGA SULAYI do hereby declare that this research work has been through my own efforts and never has it been submitted to Busitema University or any other Institution of higher learning for the award of a degree or any other qualification.

WAKALANGA SULAYI

Candidate



Date: 3th/June 2015

APPROVAL

| This | serves | to | certify | that- KlAKALANGA SULAYI | _ |
|-------|-----------|------|------------|---|---|
| did ı | research | tha | at I had | the pleasure to supervise. I confirm that this report is a true | 9 |
| repre | esentatio | on c | of the fin | dings in it. | |

I am therefore recommending that the report be submitted to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences of Busitema University.

Date 3th June 22015

Théodore MUNYULI (B.Sc.-Eng., M.Sc.,. Ph.D.)

Senior Lecturer and Researcher

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research report to my dear parents, Mr Busiku Rashid and Mrs Busiku Aisha for their support in educating, guiding and encouraging me throughout my education career. To my beloved Uncle Mr Musimbi Richard, my grandmother Mrs watenga Iren and finally to my course mates who also worked tirelessly to ensure that I attain academic excellence in Busitema University

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Allah the almighty for enabling me reach this far in my academic life struggles.

I take this precious moment to appreciate all my parents for the financial, parental care, moral and spiritual support extended to me from childhood throughout my education career that inspired me to be the person that I am today.

I would also like to extend my appreciation to all my sisters, brothers, and other family members for their encouragement and various contributions towards my education especially in writing this report of internship.

I am indebted to the tremendous support of my research supervisor, professor THEODORE MUNYULI amidst his tight schedule he always squeezed a proportion of his time to guide me in this publication

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the staff of Busitema University particularly the staff of the faulty of natural resources and environmental sciences whose moral, friendly, psychological and academic support has enabled me reach this far.

I owe great thanks to Mrs Watenga Iren, Mr Musimbi Richard, and Mrs Mutonyi Jane for the support the extended to me in the due course of my studies.

I would also give merit to my friends Mukhone Ivan, Ssuubi Victoria, Semakula Isma, Namboze J, Wanyonyi Innocent, Atugumya Armstrong B, Amanya D, Wamala B, Mandu Issa, Bwayo Emma, Otwalo Sabastain and then my fellow course mates for their wonderful support and the company they gave me in my life. Their spirit of togetherness, unity has enabled mi complete this task.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLARATION | |
|--|------------|
| APPROVAL | ., |
| DEDICATION | |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | V |
| LIST OF TABLES | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | |
| ABSTRACT | x |
| ACRONYMS AND ABREVIATIONS | |
| CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.2. Background | 16 |
| 1.3. Problem statement | , |
| 1.4 Objectives of research | 18 |
| 1.4.1 Géneral objective | 18 |
| 1.4.2 Specific objective | |
| 1.5. Hypotheses | |
| 1.6. Research questions | |
| 1.7. Significance or importance of the study | |
| 1.8. Justification | 20 |
| 1.9. Scope. | 20 |
| 1.9.1. Time of the scope | <u> </u> |
| 1.10. Limitation of the study | 21 |
| CHAPTER-II: LITERATURE-REVIEW | <u>2</u> 2 |
| 2,1, Introduction | 22 |
| 2.2. Causes of landslides | |
| 2.2.1. Ráin fall ás factor | 24 |
| 2.2.2. Soil type | 24 |
| 2.2.4. Human activities | 24 |
| 2.3. Losses caused by landslides | .,,,,, |
| 2.3.1. Loss of farm lands and crops | 26 |
| 2.3.2. Loss of human life | 27 |
| 2.3.3 Displacement of people | 28 |
| 2.3.4. Destruction of infrastructure | |

| 2.4 Estimation of the losses | 29 |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER-III: MATERIALS AND METHODS | 31 |
| 3.1. Introduction | 31 |
| 3.2. Research designs | ,31 |
| 3.3. Study area | |
| 3.4. Sample size and sampling techniques | 33 |
| 3.4.1. Study population | 33 |
| 3.4.2. Sample size. | 33 |
| 3.4.3. Sampling techniques | 33 |
| 3.5. Methods of data collection | |
| 3.5./1. Dáta collection | 3 3 |
| 3.5.2 Individual observation | 34 |
| 3.5.3. In depth interviews | 34 |
| 3.5.4 Secondary Data collection | 34 |
| 3.6. Data collection tools. | 35 |
| 3.7. Data processing and analysis | |
| 3.8. Ethical considerations | 35 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS | 36 |
| 4.1. Introduction | 36 |
| 4.2. Socio-economic professional and demographic characteristics of the respondents | 36 |
| 4.2.1. Gender of respondents | 40 |
| 4.2.2. Age and Time of stay of the respondents | 40 |
| 4.2.3. Marital status of the respondents | 40 |
| 4.2.4. Educational level | 40 |
| 4.2.5 Activities of the respondents | 41 |
| 4.2.6. Occupation level | 48 |
| 4.2.7. Monthly income of the respondents | 49 |
| 4.2:3. Amount from agriculture | 49 |
| 4.2.9) Source of income | 49 |
| 4.2.10. Map land uses by the respondents | 50 |
| 4.2.11. Did landslides occur and the common village | 5°C |
| 4.2.12. Distance from the previous landslides | 50 |
| 4.3. Causes of landslides | 52 |
| A 3.1 Pain fall | 52 |

| 4.3.2. Over Cultivation |
|--|
| 4.3.3. Soil type and the Nature of the area |
| 4.3.4. Deforestation |
| 4.4. Losses from landslides |
| .4.4.1. Losses to humans |
| 4.4.2. Losses to households |
| 4.5. Estimation of the loses from landslides |
| 4.5.1. Estimated loss of human lives |
| 4.5.2 Estimated households loss |
| 4.5.3. Estimated community losses |
| 4.6 Climate change perception due to landslides occurrence |
| 4.6.1. Respondent's views about landslides impact on the climate70 |
| 4.6.2.Respondent's views about how landslides have impacted on the climate70 |
| 4.6.3. Respondent's perception about the season when landslides happen71 |
| 4.6. Respondent's perception about the causes of landslides |
| 4.7. Respondent's perception about the mitigation mechanisms |
| 4.8. Correlations |
| 4.9. Determinants of knowledge of landslide causes: Econometric models |
| 4.9.1. Knowledge of the respondents about the causes of landslides |
| 4.9.2. Knowledge of proposed mitigation measures |
| CHAPTER-V: DISCUSSION85 |
| 5.1. Introduction85 |
| 5.2. General discussion85 |
| 5.2.1. Socio-economic professional and demographic characteristics of the respondents85 |
| From the study, the majority of the people were male and the female were few with 69.8% than the female that were 31.2%. The village with the highest percentage of the male was Namansaya with 90.9% and that with the lowest percentage was Kubewo with 33.33%, the village with the highest female was Kubewo with 66.7% and that with the lowest percentage was Namasaya with 9.1% |
| This is because most of the male are born and inherit the property of their parents like land where they build their houses and start up their life there which is not the case to their female counter parts. This influences them to stay in those areas for their life span than the women who get married in other areas. In the parish they are more males than women because they males can get adapted to situation easily than female counter parts that fear. |
| 5.2.2. Causes of landslides |
| 5.2.2.1. Rain fall |

| 5.2.2.2. Over Cuttivation | 90 |
|---|-----|
| 5.2.2.3. Soil type and the Nature of the area | 91 |
| 4,3.4. Deforestation | 91 |
| 5.2.3. Losses from landslides | 92 |
| 5.2.3.1. Losses to humans | 92 |
| 5.2.3.1. Losses to households | 94 |
| 5.2.3.2. Community losses | 97 |
| 5,2.4. Estimation of the loses from landslides | 99 |
| 5.2.4.1. Estimated loss of human lives | 99 |
| 5.2.4.2. Estimated households loss | 100 |
| 5.2.4.3. Estimated community losses | 102 |
| 5.2.5 Respondent's perception about climate change due to landslides occurrence | 103 |
| 5.2.5.1 Respondent's views about landslides impact on the climate | 103 |
| 5.2.5.2 Respondent's views about how landslides have impacted on the climate | 104 |
| 5.2.5.3 Respondent's perception about the season when landslides happen | 104 |
| 5.2.6 Farmers perception about the landslides | 105 |
| 5.2.6. Mitigation measures | 107 |
| 5.3 Conclusion | 108 |
| 5.4. Recommendations | 109 |
| 5.5. Areas of future research | 109 |
| REFERENCES: | 110 |
| ÁPPENDÍX 1: Questionnaire | 113 |
| APPENDIX 2: Survey photos | 117 |
| ADDITION OF RESIDENCE OF COMMISSION | 110 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: The Socio-economic professional and demographic characteristics of the respondents in |
|---|
| Nametsi parish, Bududa district, Eastern Uganda |
| Table 2: Numbers of livestock owned, food crop produced each year per household41 |
| Table 3: The time of stay, age (years), monthly income (USD (\$), how far (km) of the respondents51 |
| Table 4: Community perception (Knowledge) about the causes of landslides in Nametsi parish54 |
| Table 5; Respondent's views about the human loses (Numbers, quantity) |
| Table 6: Community perception about the losses of forests (ha), agricultural land (ha), food crops |
| (kgs), cash crops (kgs), livestock (nbr), house (nbr), pulping machine, batteries, solar panels, sewing |
| machine |
| Table 7: Respondent's estimates of house hold losses (loss of forests lost (ha), agricultural land lost |
| (ha), food crops (kgs), cash crops (kgs), livestock (nbr), poultry (nbr), sewing machine, pulping |
| machine, solar panels and maize milling machine, batteries)64 |
| Table 8: Respondent's views about the climate change due to landslides, how the climate has changed |
| and the season when the landslides happen |
| Table 9: Respondent's perception about the causes and Mitigation of the causes of landslides in |
| Nametsi parish |
| Table 10: Generalised Linear Model (GLM: Gaussian linear Model) to investigate the factors likely |
| determining the causes of landslides that occurred in Nametsi parish in the previous years79 |
| Table 11: Generalised Linear Model (GLM: Gaussian Log Model) to investigate the factors |
| determining the causes of landslides in Nametsi parish |
| Table 12: Generalised Linear Model (GLM: Gaussian Identity Model) to investigate the factors |
| determining the mitigation measures against prevention of landslides occurrence in Nametsi parish.81 |
| Table 13: Generalised Linear Model (GLM: Gaussian log Modei) to investigate the factors |
| determining the mitigation measures against prevention of landslides occurrence in Nametsi |
| parish |
| Table 14: Generalised Linear Model (GLM: Gaussian Poisson Identity Model) to investigate the |
| factors determining the mitigation measures against prevention of landslides occurrence in Nametsi |
| parish |
| Table 15: Generalised Linear Model (GLM: Gaussian Poisson log Model) to investigate the factors |
| determining the mitigation measures against prevention of landslides occurrence in Nametsi |
| narish84 |

ABSTRACT

Landslides are the most frequent and diffuse natural hazards and, as a result of earth quakes causing the highest number of fatalities and damage to both rural and urban areas. Nametsi parish having experienced major landslides in previous years which caused many losses and injuries, affecting the livelihood activities like the destruction of farm lands and infrastructure such as roads and bridges, this study therefore is set to assess the socio economic impacts of landslides on livelihood of the people in Nametsi parish, Bukalasi sub county, Bududa district. A sample of 7 villages; Kubewo, Nametsi, Mabale, Monyi, Ukha, and Namansaya was considered as the sample area using the simple random sampling method. Open and closed ended questionnaire and interview approaches were used to obtain primary data and Econometric models were used to test the significance of the relationships between different variables. Rain fall (100%) was found to be the major triggering factor of landslides. Besides rainfall, the other factors were deforestation, nature of the area and soil type. Among the house hold losses, loss of lives and the displacement of the people with the children with a mean of 143.17 being the majority was a major loss faced though it was difficult to estimate since a could not be valued in monetary terms as also expressed by Kitutu at cl. 2010. Loss of farm land agricultural fields with a mean of 7.69 hectares was another impact suffered. In monetary terms, the loss value of bananas was 301 and 633 USD and coffee was 978 and 1756 USD crops was the highest in Kübewo and Ukha villages respectively. The loss of the community hospital (Nametsi health centre II) was the major community loss suffered. The people didn't individually identify the damage to environment and infrastructure such as roads as a problem. Using the Gaussian linear models, the Gaussian identical model and Gaussian log model were used to test for the significance of the a person's knowledge about the causes and the mitigation measures of the landslides and it was found that independent variables as; village of stay, time of stay in that village, distance a person stays to the landstides event and house hold loss suffered to be significant (P<0.05). Correlations between livelihood activities with demographic, environmental and health variables of communities living near by the landslides events were tested and it was found that Number of people in the house hold was negatively correlated to estimated losses (%) of properties due to landslides (r = -0.245, P<0.05) and land owned is positively correlated to the number of people in the house hold (r=0.444, P<0.001). Therefore, I recommend planting of trees and resettlement schemes in the flatter areas within the vicinity of Bududa district which permits easy access of the affected to their agricultural land. Additionally, the people should be acutely sensitized

about the causes of landslides and how effectively they can adapt to them so that they can have in additional to the local ideas scientific familiarity to the event.

Key words: Causes of landslides, Landslide losses, Estimation of Losses, Namesti Parish,

ACRONYMS AND ABREVIATIONS

NEMA : National Environmental Management Authority

UNEP : United Nations Environmental Program

IAEG : International Association for Engineering Geology and the Environment

CRED : Centre for research on the Epidemiology of Disasters

NGOs : None Government Organisations

CBOs : Community Based Organisation

LCs : Local council

e.g. : For example

OPM : Office of the Prime Minister

CRED : Centre for research on the Epidemiology of Disasters

IAEG : International Association for Engineering Geology and environment

USD : United States Dollar

Nbr : Number

Kgs kilogram

CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The term' landslides' includes all varieties of mass movements of hill slopes and can be defined as the downward and out ward movement of slope forming materials composed of rocks, soils, artificial fills or combination of these materials along surfaces of separation by falling, sliding and flowing, either slowly or quickly from one place to another. Although the landslides are primarily associated with mountainous terrains, they can also occur in areas where activities such as surface excavations, buildings and open pit mines take place (Kato and Mutonyi 2011).

Landslide hazard refers to the natural conditions of an area potentially subject to slope movements. It is defined as the probability of occurrence of a landslide of a given magnitude, in pre-defined period of time, and a given area (varnes and IAEG 1984). The definition incorporates the concepts of spatial location ("where"), magnitude or intensity ("how large"), and frequency of occurrence ("when, or" how often").

Many factors contribute to landslides including geology, gravity, weather, groundwater, wave action, and human actions. In hilly and coastal areas of the world, landslides have been one of the major natural disasters that strike life and property almost perennially. It has brought untold misery to human settlements as well as serious damages to the transportation and communication infrastructures. Major landslides catastrophes in the 20th Century include the following: in 1919, Indonesia, Kalut volcano erupted sending volcanic mudflows over 185Km² destroying 104 villages and killing 5110 people; in 1920. China (Ningxia), earth quake caused 675 landslides that killed 100000 people and created 40 lakes, in 1967, Brazil (Serra das araras), heavy rains caused landslides killing 1,000 people and in 1998 in hunduras, Guatemala, Nicaragua and El Salvador, hurricane mitch caused landslides, floods, debris-flows killing approximately 10,000 people (Martinez et al. 2001).

Although mass movements are recognized and well-studied geomorphic hazard due to their major role in the development of hill slope in mountainous areas and their considerable economic and social consequences (Sidel et al. 1985), information on the land slides in the East Africa highlands is rather limited (Ngecu and Mathu 1999). Never the less, mass movements have been report in few notable literature examples: Kenya (Ngecu and Ichang 1998, Ngecu and Mathu 1999, Inganga et al.2001). Uganda (Mwanga et al. 2001) Rwanda (Moeyersons 2003) and Tanzania (Rapp et al.1972, Christuansson & Westerbrg et al. 1999) East African highlands are noted as a very heterogeneous region but have high vulnerability

REFERENCES

- Kitutu M G, Muwanga A, Poesen J, Deckers JA(2011) Farmers perception on landslide occurrences in Bududa District, Eastern Uganda. *Africa journal of Agricultural Research* 6 (1):7-18.
- Varnes DJ, The international Association of Engineering Geology (IAEG) commission on landslides and other mass movements on slopes (1984), landslide hazard zonation: A review of principles and practice, 2, 108, PP:45
- NEMA (2008) State of Environment Report for Uganda 2008. National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), Kampala. The National Policy for Disaster preparedness and Management for Uganda (2010), PP:121-145.
- Knapen A, Kitutu MG, Poesen J, Breuelmans W, Deckers J, Muwanga A (2006)

 Landslides in densely populated county at the foot slopes of Mount Elgon (Uganda):
 characteristics and causal factors. *Geormophology* 73: 149-165.
- Kato and Mutonyi (2011) The challenges of managing increasing landslides vulnerability in Mount Elgon ecosystem: A case of human interactions with its Environment on the verge of collapsing. PP: 32-38
- Martinez C, Jorge E, Montero (2001). Proceedings of the Third Panamerican symposium on landslides, July29 to august 3, 2001, Cartagena Colombia, pp. 45-55
- Glade T. Crozier MJ (2004) The nature of landslide hazard impact. In glade, T., Anderson, M., Cozier, M. (Eds.) Landslide hazard and risk, pp:43-74
- Claessens, Knapen, Kitutu, Poesen, Deckers (2007) Modelling landslide hazard, soil redistribution and sediment yield of landslides on the Ugandan foot slopes of Mount Elgon, Geomorphology 90:23-35.
- Ngeeu WM, Nyamai CM, Erima G (2004) The extent and significance of mass movements in Eastern Africa: case studies of major landslides in Uganda and Kenya. 40, P15
- Schuster RL, Highland LM (2001 Socio Economic and environmental impacts of landslides in western hemisphere, P36

- Mugagga F, Kakembo V, Buyinza M (2012) Land use changes on the slopes of Mount Elgon and the implications for the occurrence of landslides,
- Fausto Guzzeti, Alberto Carrara, Mauro Cardinali, Paola Reichenbach (1999) Landslide hazard evaluation: a review of current techniques and their application in multi-scale study, Central Italy.
- NEMA (2010) State of Environment report for Uganda 2010. National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) Kampala., P34
- O. petrucci and Gulla (2009), A support Analysis framework for mass movement damage assessment applications to case studies in Calabria (Italy)
- DM Policy revised, MIDIMAR 2012.
- NEMA (2010, landslides in Bududa District, their causes and consequence
- Mugagga F, Kakemboo V, Buyinza M (2012), Land use changes on the slopes of Mount Elgon and the implications for the occurrence of landslides. Climate data Field Reports: like weather stations of Mbale and Bulambuli then rain fall: predicted data from AMIST. P35-50
- Yukni Arifianti, Sukahar Eka AdiSaputra, Arne Hoffman –rothe, and Bianca Pischke (2011) Landslide Risk assessment in Earthquake prone Area at kabupaten Ende, Indonesia.
- Aryamanya-Mugisha H (2001) State of the environment report for Uganda 2000/2001, Technical Report, National Environment Management Authority Uganda, Kampala, Uganda
- Westerberg LO, Christiansson C (1998) Landslides in East African Highlands. Slope instability and its interrelations with landscape characteristics and land use. Advances in GeoEcology 31: 317–325.
- Christiansson C, Westerberg LO (1999) Highlands in East Africa: unstable slopes, unstable environments. *Ambio* 18: 419–429.
- Westerberg L (1996) Landslide as a consequence of natural conditions and human activities. Case studies in Nyandaura Ranges, Central Kenya, EDSU Working paper 34, Department of Physical geography, Stockholm University, p. 23.
- Kitutu KMG (2004)Local perception of landslide problems in Manjiya County, Mbale District, Eastern Uganda, Mountain Ecosystems, Resources and Development in

Uganda, a publication of Mountain Resource Centre, Department of Geography, Makerere University, (2004), ISBN 9970-05-017-6, 187 p. 94-98

Ayalew L (1999) The effect of seasonal rainfall on landslides in the highlands of Ethiopia.

Bull. Eng. Geol. Env. 58: 9-19.

Claudio Campobasso, Giuseppe Delmonacco, Barbara Dessi, Pierluigi Gallozi, Domenico Ligato, Benedetto porfidia, Daniele Spizzichino, Francesco Traversa, and Giorgio Vizzini (2011), (WLF2- Risk management in Multi-hazard environment L28) long term strategies and policies for landslide risk mitigation in Italy: the ReNDiS project Bulambuli District landslide report by NEMA, 30TH August 2011.