



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION AND
IRRIGATIONENGINEERING**

FINAL YEAR PROJECT

**DESIGN AND SIMULATION OF A CONSTRUCTED WETLAND
TO TREAT THE TAIL WATER RUNOFF FROM MUBUKU
IRRIGATION SCHEME**

MUHINDO LOIDA

BU/UG/2013/7



SUPERVISOR(S)

MAIN SUPERVISOR: MR. OKIRYA MARTINE

CO-SUPERVISOR: MR. SSEMUKASA EDWARD

*Submitted to Busitema University as partial fulfillment for the award of a bachelor degree in
Agricultural mechanization and irrigation engineering*

May, 2017

ABSTRACT

Tailwater is the excess water that runs off the field at the low end of furrows, boarder strips, basins and flooded areas during surface irrigation. Tailwater is loaded with agrochemicals like fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides and insecticides.

Farmers apply fertilizers to boost crop yields, but not all the fertilizer applied is utilized by plants. Some of the excess chemicals are washed to irrigation canals during irrigation or rainfall and conveyed downstream. Mubuku irrigation scheme tailwater is discharged into river Sebwe which has posed a health threat to the community that draws river Sebwe water for domestic use. For example, the presence of nitrate (from fertilizer) in drinking water at concentrations greater than 50 mg/l could cause methaemoglobinaemia in babies aged less than 6 months (WHO, 1998). In addition, nitrate in the alimentary canals of humans may react with amines to form carcinogenic nitrosamines, which could contribute to the development of gastric cancer.

The tailwater from Mubuku irrigation scheme was quantified and characterized to aid in the designing the constructed wetland treatment system. Design and simulation of various components of the constructed wetland like filter media, inlet and outlet pipes, drainage system, vegetation and liner was done using the given relevant formulas. From the research 319.68m³ of tailwater are being discharged from Mubuku irrigation scheme to river Sebwe per day with chemical and biological characteristics of BOD[248mg/l], TN[248mg/l], TP[248mg/l], COD[248mg/l] hence need for treatment before discharging to river Sebwe.

DECLARATION

I, **MUHINDO LOIDA** hereby declare that, this report is a true work of my hands and has never been presented by any person or institution for an academic award

Signature: *M. Loida*

Date: *29th - May - 2017*



APPROVAL

This report has been approved by;

Main Supervisor

MR. OKIRYA MARTINE

Signature.....

Date.....

Co-supervisor

MR. SSEMUKASA EDWARD

Signature.....

Date.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge the manifold work of God the Almighty Father for the Courage and Strength that has led to the completion of this project.

I acknowledge the efforts my parents have invested in me and for the encouragement they have always given me. I do appreciate my friends in all their capacities for the love and care they showed in Busitema University.

Finally, with wholeness of heart I acknowledge the efforts of my dear supervisors who were always so good and knowledgeable regarding the topic of research; Mr. Okirya Martin and Mr. Ssemukasa Edward for their technical assistance and guidance toward the project accomplishment and writing of this report.

May God Almighty bless you all as we continue to pursue excellence.

List of Figures

Figure 1: infiltration rate	36
Figure 2: design drawing	43
Figure 3: interface of the simulation model	44
Figure 4: Removal efficiency	45

List of Tables

Table 1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment	5
Table 2: National standards for effluent discharge	13
Table 3: Fundamental factors considered when designing of a constructed wetland	13
Table 4: Relationship between θ and C^*	14
Table 5: hydraulic conductivity values	16
Table 6: maximum permissible velocities for different materials	17
Table 7: values of C for Different Catchment Characteristics	20
Table 8: laboratory test measurement methods for sample parameters	23
Table 9: Catchment area of Mubuku irrigation scheme	28
Table 10: Characterisation of the tailwater	32
Table 11: Rainfall distribution	34
Table 12: soil data	35
Table 13: Reference evapotranspiration	37
Table 14: Required wetland area	39
Table 15: summary of the designed CW	42

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	i
DECLARATION.....	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
List of Figures.....	v
List of Tables.....	vi
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	2
1.3 Justification.....	2
1.4 Purpose of study.....	2
1.5 Study objective.....	2
1.5.1 Main objective.....	2
1.5.2. Specific objectives.....	2
1.6 Scope of study.....	3
2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2 Constructed wetland.....	4
2.2.1Types of constructed wetlands.....	4
2.2.3 State of natural wetlands in Uganda.....	5
2.3 Components of a constructed wetland.....	6
Liner.....	9
2.4 Conventional Wastewater Treatment.....	9
2.5 Mubuku irrigation scheme.....	11
2.5.1 pH.....	11
2.5.2 Nitrogen.....	11
2.5.3 Phosphorus.....	12
2.5.4 Pesticides.....	12
2.5.5 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD5).....	12
2.7. Design of components of a CW treatment system.....	13
2.8 Removal mechanisms.....	18

2.9 Sampling	19
2.10 Quantification of tailwater	19
2.10.1 Direct Method	19
2.10.2 Indirect Method.....	19
2.11 Roles of simulation in Product Design	21
CHAPTER THREE	22
3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	22
3.1 Preamble	22
3.2 Materials and Equipment	22
3.2.1 Materials	22
3.2.2 Equipment Required	22
3.3. Methods of data collection and analysis	22
3.3.1. Literature review.....	22
3.3.2. Field visits.....	22
3.3.3. Laboratory tests.....	22
3.4 (Specific objective 1) Quantification and characterisation of tail water.....	22
3.4.1 Characterisation of the tail water	23
3.4.2 Quantification of tail water discharged.....	27
3.5 Specific objective 2 (Design the CW)	29
3.6 Specific objective 3 (simulation of the CW).....	31
CHAPTER FOUR.....	32
4.0. DATA PRESENTATION, FINDINGS, ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	32
4.1 Quantification and characterization of the tailwater discharged.....	32
4.1.1 Characterization of the tailwater	32
4.1.2 Quantification of the tailwater runoff	33
4.2. System design	38
4.2.1 Area.....	38
4.2.2 Hydraulic conductivity.....	39
4.2.3 Nominal detention time.....	40
4.2.4 Hydraulic loading rate.....	41
4.2.5 Inlet and outlet device.....	41
4.2.5 Vegetation.....	42

4.2.6 System design drawings.....	43
4.3. Simulation of the CW.....	44
4.3.1 Pollutant Removal.....	44
CHAPTER FIVE.....	46
5.0 RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION.....	46
5.1 Conclusion.....	46
5.2 Recommendation.....	46
REFERENCES.....	47
APPENDIX.....	49

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

11% of the world's population has no access to potable water (*Thompson et al., 2003*). Agricultural wastewater (irrigation tail water) is the excess water that runs off the field at the low end of furrows, boarder strips, basins and flooded areas during surface irrigation (*Bahman, 2007*). The cumulative flow of tail water from farms has historically posed significant environmental problems as it is discharged to surface waters like streams and rivers. The discharge contains salts, nutrients, pesticides, herbicides and other agricultural chemicals from the fields where they are used for crop protection and yield maximization (*Briggs & Coortney, 1989*). Excess agrochemicals are washed down the drainage canals leading to the river during irrigation or rainfall and deposited in the river stream. The use of agrochemicals in Uganda is increasing, and their presence in useable water continues to constitute a health hazard to the users and aquatic creatures (*Comeau et al., 1987*). Too much nitrogen and phosphorus in the water [$> 5\text{mg/l}$] and [$> 5\text{mg/l}$] lead to eutrophication of the water sources and are toxic to both humans and aquatic life (*Anderson et al., 2006*). Large growths of algae are called algal blooms which are harmful to humans since they produce elevated toxins and bacterial growth that can make people sick if they come into contact with polluted water (*Anderson et al., 2006*).

A CW is an engineered and constructed complex of saturated substrates, emergent and submergent vegetation, animal life and open water that simulates natural wetlands for man's desired uses and benefits (*Steiner et al., 1988; Hammer et al., 1989*). The wetland systems are usually more flexible and less susceptible to variations in loading rate than conventional treatment systems (*Brix and Schierup 1989*). Non-point source pollution is caused by polluted surface runoff that flows into rivers, lakes and groundwater (*Tousignant, 1999*).

Mubuku irrigation scheme is located in Western Uganda covering approximately 810 hectares of which 587.4 ha is cultivated land. It is dominantly used for growth of maize which requires urea as a fertilizer for its growth. Nitrogen is a major component of urea (*Kalende, 2015*).

REFERENCES

- Agency., U. E. P., 1995. *Handbook of constructed wetlands.* Washington D.C.: US Government Printing Office..
- Anon., 1991. streamkeeper's field guide. *watershed inventory and stream monitoring methods.*
- Anon, 2000. *Constructed Wetlands Treatment of Municipal Water.* s.l.:US Environmental Protection Agency..
- Anon, 2008. The advantages of pesticides out-weigh the disadvantages..
- Anon, 2014. *FH wetland system ltd.* [Online].
- Bastian., R., 1993. *Subsurface Flow Constructed Wetlands For WasteWater Treatment,* s.l.: s.n.
- Braskerud, B. C. a. K. H., 2003. Screening the retention of thirteen pesticides in a small constructed wetland.. *Water Science and Technology.*
- Chen, R. & Z. G., 2002. Chemical pollution: The culprits of environmental damage.
- Eric, T. O. F. H., 1999. *Constructed Wetlands Guidance Manual.* s.l.:Ontario Rural Applications.
- Huising. E.J., n.d. WETLAND MONITORING IN UGANDA. *Institute of Environment and Natural Resources.*
- Hunt, C. M. R. a. M. M., 2011. *CONSTRUCTED WETLAND FOR WATER TREATMENT AND RECREATION,* s.l.: Centre for Environmental Stewardship (CES).
- Karekaho, N., 2016. which way with wetlands in central uganda?. *the new vision,* 31st march.
- Kiwango, Y. W. E., 2008. Papyrus wetlands, nutrients balance, fisheries collapse, food security, and Lake Victoria level decline in 2000–2006. *Wetlands Ecology and Management.*
- Knight, R. L. R. R. W. K. R. H. a. R. S., 1993. *Wetlands for wastewater treatment:* s.l.:Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL.
- Li, L. L. Y. B. D. N. Y. J. G., 2008. potential of constructed wetland intreating the eutrophic water: evidence from Taihu Lake of China.. p. bioresource technology.
- Lincoln, E. E. J., 1990. Introduction to Applied Phycology. *SPB Academic Publishing by The Hague.*
- Masi, D. F., n.d. *CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS FOR WASTEWATER TREATMENT,* s.l.: s.n.
- McCarthy, M. L. P. L. Y. L. Z. Y. C., 2007. *Nitrogen dynamics and microbial food web.* s.l.:s.n.
- Neralla, S. W. R. L. B. P. R., 2000. *Improvement of domestic wastewater quality by subsurface flow constructed wetlands.* s.l.:Bioresource technology.
- Nzengy'a, D. & W. B. (., 2001. *The performance of constructed wetlands for, wastewater treatment: a case study of Splash wetland in Nairobi, Kenya,* s.l.: s.n.

Peterson, H. G., 1998. *Use of constructed wetlands to process agricultural wastewater*, Canada: WaterResearch Corp., 11 Innovation Blvd., Saskatoon, Saskatchewan.

Sawayama, S. R. K. H. D., 1998. *Nitrate and phosphate ion removal*. s.l.:s.n.

Stearman, G. K. D. B. G. K. C. a. S. L., 2003. Pesticide removal from container nursery runoff in constructed wetland cells.. *Journal of Environmental Quality*.

Vrhovšek, D. E., 1996. Constructed wetlands for industrial waste water treatment. Vol. 30, No. 10.

Vymazal, J., 2005. *Natural and Constructed Wetlands Nutrients, Metals and management*. s.l.:Backhuys, Leiden..

WHO, 1998. *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality vol. 1, Recommendation*. Geneva, Switzerland: s.n.

Zhang, Y., 2012. *Design of a Constructed Wetland for Wastewater Treatment and Reuse in Mount Pleasant, Utah*, s.l.: All Graduate Plan B and other Reports.