



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL
MECHANIZATION AND IRRIGATION ENGINEERING**

**INVESTIGATING EFFECTS OF WATERPAD AND IRRIGATION DEPTH ON
HORTICULTURE PRODUCTION**

CASE STUDY: BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY, EASTERN UGANDA

BY

OBINA PATRICK

BU/UP/2014/171

070-634-0314 / 078-538-0819

Obnap9999@gmail.com

SUPERVISORS:

- 1. MR. MUGISHA MOSES**
- 2. MADAM NAKABUYE HOPE NJUKI**

A final year project report submitted to the faculty of Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a bachelor's degree of Agricultural Mechanization and Irrigation Engineering of Busitema University

May 2018

Abstract

For Agriculture to develop from its current state to a state much more beneficial to the practitioners, new and better innovations have to be explored that may contribute towards enhancement of production.

The effect of Waterpads on horticulture performance was investigated in this research using tomato plants. The research was carried out at Busitema University irrigation demonstration site, located in Busitema sub-county, Busia district in eastern Uganda along Tororo-Jinja highway.

Waterpads consist of a water absorbing and water holding gel (Polymer) that ensures an efficient use of water and nutrients, leading to healthier and stronger plants. The plant roots grow into the gel and can easily extract water and nutrients.

The polymers are held in place in between a layer of paper and jute. The paper is a fully biodegradable paper. The glue used for gluing the components together is organic. The jute (also termed as hessian) mesh is made of jute and degrades in approximately 1 to 2 years (Sukru & Chevalking, n.d)

A randomized complete block design was used; waterpad amounts of 12.5g, 25g and 50g were tested and compared with the control by the least significant difference (LSD) group test method using GenStat Discovery Edition 4. The treatments were found to be significantly different from the control.

Tomatoes plants under 25g waterpad showed a better performance compared to those under other quantities and the control.

Waterpads contributed about 6.66% yield increase of marketable tomato fruits over the control plants

The overall benefit of using waterpad was found to be Shs. 1,359,400 calculated as difference between the profit of use of waterpad and that of no waterpad used. The comparison of the benefit with the cost of production of Shs. 635,760 shows that the benefit outweighs the cost and this verified the profitability of use of waterpad.

Declaration

I Obina Patrick declare that this final year project report is my original work and has not been presented in this or any other University for the award of a degree.

Registration number.....

Signature

Date.....

Approval

This project report has been submitted to the department of Agricultural mechanization and Irrigation Engineering of Busitema University with approval of the following University Supervisors.

1. Mr. Mugisha Moses

Signature.....

Date.....

2. Ms. Nakabuye Hope Njuki

Signature.....

Date.....

Approval

This project report has been submitted to the department of Agricultural mechanization and Irrigation Engineering of Busitema University with approval of the following University Supervisors.

1. Mr. Mugisha Moses

Signature.....

Date.....

2. Ms. Nakabuye Hope Njuki

Signature.....

Date.....

Dedication

My mom, Justine Aboth and dad, Edward Emuu Angesu are undoubtedly a great gift from God. Thank you for laying a firm foundation for me and showing me the right direction to take, you are my first class mentors as the short saying states “charity begins from home”.

Dearly brothers Jeremiah, Andrew, handy, Wendo Wilber, your encouragements to me fueled my progress, great you are to me. May the Almighty God keep offer you all what your heart most desire

Acknowledgements

Without the unreserved help, valuable guidance, patience and dedication of my supervisors, Mr. Mugisha Moses and Ms. Nakabuye Hope Njuki and research collaborator, Mr. Bwire Denis, this study would not be achieved. I just won't forget their efforts soon. So my sincere and heart-felt thanks first reach out to them.

I also express my sincere gratitude to the management of Higher Education Student's financing Board (HESFB) for the tuition well paid to keep me on academic track.

I indeed can't forget to appreciate my colleagues, the Collaborative BSc. Agricultural mechanization and irrigation engineering class of the year 2014, Busitema University, who are often there for me whenever I need any help. I thank them from the bottom of my heart.

Above all Almighty God, you are the master of everything, may you continue blessing us.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Declaration	ii
Approval	iii
Approval	iv
Dedication	v
Acknowledgements	vi
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background to the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 The purpose of the research study	2
1.4 Objectives of the research	2
1.4.1 Main objective	2
1.4.2 Specific objectives	2
1.5 Justification	2
Chapter two	4
2.0 Literature Review	4
2.1 Horticulture	4

2.1.1 Divisions of horticulture.....	4
2.1.2 Economic importance of horticulture.....	4
2.1.3 Olericulture.....	5
2.2 The crop water requirement (ET_C).....	7
2.2.1 Crop coefficient (K_C).....	7
2.2.2 The irrigation water requirement (IR).....	8
2.2.3 The Effective rainfall.....	8
2.3 Irrigation.....	8
2.3.1 Irrigation methods.....	8
2.4 Irrigation scheduling.....	11
2.4.1 Irrigation schedule management.....	11
2.4.2 Modes of moisture loss.....	12
2.5 The Waterpad.....	12
2.5.2 Composition of waterpad.....	13
2.5.3 How waterpads work.....	14
2.5.4 Environmental safety.....	14
2.6 Profitability and Economic Analysis.....	15
2.6.1 Simple payback.....	16
2.6.2 Simple Rate of Return.....	16
2.6.2 Present value (PV) or present worth.....	16

CHAPTER THREE	16
3.0 Methodology	17
3.1 Project description.....	17
3.2 The research site.....	17
3.3 Materials and equipment to be used in the study	17
3.4 Methods of data collection	17
3.4.1 Discussions, consultation and publications	17
3.4.2 Field measurements	17
3.5 Determination of crop water requirement	17
3.5.1 Determination of the reference crop evapotranspiration (ET _o).....	18
3.5.3 Calculation of crop factor (K _C).....	19
3.6 Experimental Design	19
3.6.1 Set up of the experimental garden	19
3.6.2 Waterpad proportioning.....	20
3.6.3The drip irrigation system parameters.	21
3.7 Profitability of use of waterpads in horticulture production.	21
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	23
4.0 Determination of the crop water requirement (ET _c).....	23
4.1 The reference crop evapotranspiration (ET _o)	23
4.2 Calculation of crop factor (K _C).	24

4.2.1 Crop factor (K_C) for initial and mid-season stages.....	24
4.2.1 Crop factor (K_C) for tomato crop development and late season stages.....	24
4.3 Determination of crop water requirement and irrigation time	26
4.4 The tomato growth height data	28
4.4.1 Analysis of the plant growth rate in the different treatment blocks	30
4.5 The tomato yield.....	31
4.6 Marketable and marketable tomato fruit number and weight	33
4.7 Profitability and feasibility analysis	36
4.7.1 Production costs.....	37
4.7.2 The revenue	38
4.7.3 Calculation of the gross profit margin.....	38
4.7.4 The feasibility of use of waterpad	39
CHAPTER FIVE	40
5.0 CHALLENGES, CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS	40
5.1 Challenges	40
5.2 Conclusion.....	40
5.3 Recommendations	41
REFERENCES.....	42
Appendices.....	45
Appendix 1: Shows waterpad sizing process	45

Appendix 2: Shows field preparation and waterpad placement	45
Appendix 3: Shows transplanting process on the experimental garden.....	46
Appendix 4: Shows crop development, mid-season and late-season stages respectively.....	46
Appendix 5: Data collection, number of clusters, fruits per cluster and weight	47
Appendix 6: Collection of market information from a local market buyer for economic analysis	47
Appendix 7: Values of Kc for the late-season stage	48
Appendix 8: Shows calculated ETc and Irrigation time for the initial stage	49
Appendix 9: Shows calculated ETc and Irrigation time for the crop development stage.....	50
Appendix 10: Shows calculated ETc and Irrigation time for the mid-season stage.	51
Appendix 11: Shows calculated ETc and Irrigation time for the late-season stage.....	52

List of figures

Figure 2-1: Shows a surface irrigated tomato field.....	9
Figure 2-2: Sprinkler irrigation system.....	10
Figure 2-3: Shows drip lines laid on soil surface.....	10
Figure 2-4: Shows different sizes and shapes of waterpads	13
Figure 2-5 Shows a Waterpad swollen after moisture absorption	13
Figure 2-6: Shows plant root distribution around polymers of the waterpads.....	14
Figure 3-1: Shows experimental field lay out.....	20
Figure 4-1: A plot of the K_c values	26
Figure 4-2: Shows Relationship between water requirement and irrigation time	28
Figure 4-3: Bar chart showing variation of growth rates under different treatments	31
Figure 4-4: Shows relationship between fruit clusters and number of fruits	33
Figure 4-5: Shows a sample of the tomato weighing process.....	34

List of tables

Table 2-1 shows K_C values and duration of growth stages for tomato crop.....	7
Table 4-1: Shows average climatic parameters obtained from 25 years' data.....	23
Table 4-2: Shows monthly ETO output from CROPWAT 8.0.....	24
Table 4-3: Shows both calculated and constant K_C values of the four stages.....	25
Table 4-4 below shows summary of obtained ET_c and application time.....	27
Table 4-5 a: Shows average plant height at 100% ET_c	29
Table 4-5 b: Shows average plant height at 50% ET_c	29
Table 4-6 a: Shows average number of fruit clusters from the four replicate blocks.....	32
Table 4-6 b: Shows average number of tomato fruits from the four replicate blocks.....	32

Table 4-7 a: Shows fruit weight and percentage difference in fruit weight.....34

Table 4-7 b: Marketable and non-marketable fruit numbers for four lower most clusters.....35

Table 4-7 c: Shows average marketable and non-marketable fruit percentages by number.....36

Table 4-7 c: Shows average marketable and non-marketable fruit percentages by number.....37

Table 4-8: Shows production costs.....37

Table 4-9: Shows projected tomato yield and Revenue.....38

List of Acronym

ET_o – Reference crop evapotranspiration

ET_c – Crop water requirement

WP – Waterpad

g – gram

GM – Gross profit margin

TVC – Total variable cost

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 Introduction

This chapter briefly discusses the background on level of modernity of Agriculture in Uganda, the problems in horticultural irrigation, justification, the purpose of research, objectives and scope of the study.

1.1 Background to the study

Agriculture is an important sector to the Ugandan economy that employs approximately 69% of the population(Ssewanyana, Matovu, & Twimukye, 2009) and contributes about 26% to the GDP as by the year 2015(Shenggen et al., 2015). The sector has the potential to transform the economy of Uganda in general and that of specific sectors such as manufacturing and services(Anon, Uganda Economic Outlook , 2016).

Among Uganda's Agricultural sub-sectors is horticulture, comprising of both fruits and vegetable growing. Most widely grown vegetables in the country include; tomato, cabbages, onions, fresh greens, and numerous peppers(Nakakeeto, n.d.).

Horticulture production in Uganda is largely carried out in rain fed open fields during the wet season and also a few farmers near swamps during dry seasons. This is due to the fact that most farmers do not have adequate capacity to irrigate due to the cost of water, irrigation systems and limited knowledge on water application technique. Higher water costs are brought about by frequent irrigation due to deep percolation and higher levels of evapotranspiration during dry seasons. However, horticultural production is a promising agricultural sub-sector with a growth rate of 20% per year (National Development Plan, 2009).

The type of irrigation system is important and the availability of suitable irrigation systems meets the needs of agricultural expansion. Irrigation water is rapidly becoming the primary limiting factor for crop production. Surface and subsurface drip irrigation systems were proven to increase water productivity(Rahman, Talaat, & Zawe, 2016)

Waterpads are a sandwich of jute, polymer and paper. These materials improve on their water absorption ability and thus their presence at the root zone of plants can ensure efficient use of water and nutrients (Sukru & Chevalking, n.d).

REFERENCES

- Alagha, S. A., & Sangodoyin, A. Y. (2013). Irrigation water scheduling for tomato under soilless planting and greenhouse conditions, 2(5), 75–81.
- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). FAO 56: Crop Evapotranspiration (guidelines for computing crop water requirements). FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, 300(56), 300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2010.12.001>
- Definition ; Importance of Horticulture and Divisions of Horticulture . What is Horticulture ? (n.d.).
- Farm, S., Nakakeeto, T., For, J. S., & Project, P. (n.d.). Improved Mixed Farming Research (IMFRE) – A Small Farm in Uganda Web case developed and written by Teddy Nakakeeto and Joseph Ssekandi For the Agroecology in Practice Project and Uganda Martyr ' s University ,.
- Goffau, M. De, Hilmi, M., & Dam, B. Van. (n.d.). Cultivation of tomato.
- Monte, J. A., Carvalho, D. F. De, Medici, L. O., Silva, L. D. B., & Pimentel, C. (2013). Growth analysis and yield of tomato crop under different irrigation depths 1 Análise de crescimento e produtividade da cultura do tomateiro sob diferentes lâminas de irrigação, (21), 926–931.
- Prichard, T. (n.d.). Using capacitance sensors to monitor soil moisture Interpreting the numbers MEASURES OF SOIL WATER.
- Production guidelines for Cabbage. (n.d.).
- Rahman, G. A., Talaat, A. M., & Zawe, C. (2016). Water Requirements for Main Crops Grown Under Three Different Agro Ecological, (iii), 14–28.
- Ssewanyana, S., Matovu, J. M., & Twimukye, E. (2009). Building on Growth in Uganda, 51–64.
- Uganda Economic Outlook 2016 The Story Behind the Numbers. (2016).
- waterpads_flyer_201605.pdf. (n.d.).

Irmak, S., Haman, D.Z. and Bastug, R., 2000. Determination of crop water stress index for irrigation timing and yield estimation of corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 92(6), pp.1221-1227.

Najla, S., Vercambre, G., Pagès, L., Grasselly, D., Gautier, H. and Genard, M., 2009. Tomato plant architecture as affected by salinity: descriptive analysis and integration in a 3-D simulation model. *Botany*, 87(10), pp.893-904.

Christopher, M., 2016. *Logistics & supply chain management*. Pearson UK.

Zandi, M., Bahrami, M., Eslami, S., Gavagsaz-Ghoachani, R., Payman, A., Phattanasak, M., Nahid-Mobarakeh, B. and Pierfederici, S., 2017. Evaluation and comparison of economic policies to increase distributed generation capacity in the Iranian household consumption sector using photovoltaic systems and RETScreen software. *Renewable energy*, 107, pp.215-222.

Ross, S.A., 1995. Uses, abuses, and alternatives to the net-present-value rule. *Financial management*, 24(3), pp.96-102.

Remer, D.S. and Nieto, A.P., 1995. A compendium and comparison of 25 project evaluation techniques. Part 1: Net present value and rate of return methods. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 42(1), pp.79-96.

Stannard, D.I., 1993. Comparison of Penman-Monteith, Shuttleworth-Wallace, and modified Priestley-Taylor evapotranspiration models for wildland vegetation in semiarid rangeland. *Water Resources Research*, 29(5), pp.1379-1392.

Hondebrink, M.A., Cammeraat, L.H. and Cerdà Bolinches, A., 2017. The impact of agricultural management on selected soil properties in citrus orchards in Eastern Spain: A comparison between conventional and organic citrus orchards with drip and flood irrigation., 581, 153-160. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2017, vol. 581-582, p. 153-160.

Sarver, S.A., Schiavone, N.M., Arceo, J., Peuchen, E.H., Zhang, Z., Sun, L. and Dovichi, N.J., 2017. Capillary electrophoresis coupled to negative mode electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry using an electrokinetically-pumped nanospray interface with primary amines grafted to the interior of a glass emitter. *Talanta*, 165, pp.522-525.

Qiu, L., Dubey, S., Choo, F.H. and Duan, F., 2017, May. Droplet train impinging onto a solid substrate surface. In *Thermal and Thermomechanical Phenomena in Electronic Systems (ITherm)*, 2017 16th IEEE Intersociety Conference on (pp. 110-113). IEEE.

Kumar, M., Kumar, R., Rajput, T.B.S. and Patel, N., 2017. Efficient Design of Drip Irrigation System using Water and Fertilizer Application Uniformity at Different Operating Pressures in a Semi-Arid Region of India. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 66(3), pp.316-326.

Laib, K., Hartani, T., Bouarfa, S., Kuper, M. and Mailhol, J.C., 2018. Connecting Drip Irrigation Performance to Farmers' Practices: The Case of Greenhouse Horticulture in the Algerian Sahara. *Irrigation and Drainage*.

Stocco, A. and Nobili, M., 2017. A comparison between liquid drops and solid particles in partial wetting. *Advances in colloid and interface science*, 247, pp.223-233.

Nelson, I.U., Udo, E.S. and Jacob, D.E., 2017. Economic analysis of firewood marketing in Uyo capital city, Akwa, Ibom state, Nigeria. *Eurasian Journal of Forest Science*, 5(2), pp.26-43.

Boardman, A.E., Greenberg, D.H., Vining, A.R. and Weimer, D.L., 2017. *Cost-benefit analysis: concepts and practice*. Cambridge University Press.

Valley, J., 2017, May. Climate Change impact on Crop Water Requirements (CWR) through climatic gridded observations in the. In *Proceeding of* (Vol. 1980, p. 23).