



**BUSITEMA
UNIVERSITY**
Pursuing Excellence

P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda
Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838
Fax: +256 - 45 4436517
Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.ug

www.busitema.ac.ug

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION AND IRRIGATION
ENGINEERING

**MODIFYING THE PORE STRUCTURE OF COCO-PEAT AS A
GROWING MEDIUM FOR HORTICULTURAL CROPS IN
GREENHOUSE**

By

MWESIGWA IRENE

BU/UG/2013/8

mugimwesi@gmail.com

Supervisor: Mr. Ashabahebwa Ambrose

Mr. Igga Huzairu

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the award of the Bachelor of Agricultural Mechanization and Irrigation Engineering in Busitema University.

ABSTRACT

Soilless culture is a technique for crop production using no soil. It offers earlier growth and higher yield. Lack of suitable soils, disease contamination after repeated use and the desire to apply optimal conditions for plant growth are leading to the worldwide trend of growing plant in media instead of soil. Coco-peat being one of the soilless media is considered as a good growing media component with acceptable pH, electrical conductivity and other chemical attributes but it has been recognized to have high water holding capacity which causes poor air-water relationship, leading to low aeration within the medium, thus affecting the oxygen diffusion to the roots. Therefore, the main aim of this work is to investigate the physical properties of improved coco-peat that meets the requirement of plant growth. These properties include: moisture content, water holding capacity and porosity (both total and air-filled).

The moisture content values ranged from 2.9 to 28.3% for different soilless types, the lowest value of moisture content (2.9 %) was found for pumice and the highest value of moisture content (28.3%) was obtained for coco-peat. The water holding capacity values ranged from 12.7 to 85.1% for different soilless media. The porosity values ranged from 43.0 to 91.0 % for different soilless media.

For the mixtures, each material improved the WHC of coco-peat differently and the percentage of WHC for the mix ratio of each combination close to that of soil (52%) was considered the best, 60:40 for both coco-peat to pumice and coco-peat to rice husks with WHC of 53.8% and 56.6% respectively whereas 40:60 for coco-peat to sand gave the desired WHC of 51.0%.

The favorable ratio for both coco-peat to pumice and coco-peat to rice husks is 60:40 while that of coco-peat to sand is 40:60 respectively. Based on economic evaluation, coco-peat and pumice combination proved to be the best favorable medium with the highest NPV value, followed by coco-peat and sand and then coco-peat and rice husks being the most expensive among the three.

Results of this research indicated that certain physical properties of coco-peat can be improved through incorporation of rice husks, pumice and sand.

APPROVAL

This Final year project report has been prepared by Mwesigwa Irene under supervision and is now ready for presentation to the Department of Agricultural Mechanization and Irrigation Engineering of Busitema University for an award of a Bachelor's degree with approval of,

MWESIGWA IRENE

Signature: Date:

Mr. Ashabahebwa Ambrose

Signature: Date:

Mr. Igga Huzairu

Signature..... Date.....

DEDICATION

I dedicate this project report to my parents Mr. Bayinomugisha Charles and Mrs. Namusisi Robinah, Fr. Godwin Ogam and Mr. Richard Nesbit for all the financial, moral and spiritual support they have always offered to me whole heartedly to see me through in my education carrier, May God almighty reward and bless them abundantly!

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With great honor, I would like to thank the ALMIGHTY for the gift of life, guidance and vision.

It's my pleasure to acknowledge the debt I owe to my parents; Mr. Bayinomugisha Charles and Mrs. Namusisi Robinah, my sisters, brothers and friends who have worked tirelessly to support me both financially and morally in my education carrier.

As always, I wish to extend my sincere gratitude towards my supervisor; Mr. Ashabahebwa Ambrose and Mr. Igga Huzairu as well as other lecturers, I thank them for their precious time they spent in assessing my project not forgetting to acknowledge my fellow students for the good ideas shared during the entire course.

Finally, I would like to express deep appreciation to all my friends, lecturers and all individuals that have in one way or the other contributed to my academics as well as my personal life my the good lord bless you abundantly.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT	i
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURE	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	3
1.3 Justification	3
1.4 Objectives.....	4
1.4.1 Main objective	4
1.4.2 Specific objective.....	4
1.5 Research questions	4
1.6 Scope and limitations of the study	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Hydroponic production systems.....	5
2.2 Substrates and hydroponic production	5
2.3 Growing media.....	6
2.4 Functions of a Growing Medium	6
2.5 Physical properties of growing media	7
2.5.1 Coco-peat substrate.....	8
2.5.2 Rice Husks substrate	9
2.5.3 Pumice substrate	10
2.6 Relationship between plant and water.....	10
3 Methodology	13
3.1 Determining the physical properties of the selected materials.....	13
3.1.1 Moisture content (MC)	13

3.1.2	Porosity	13
3.1.3	Air-filled porosity	14
3.1.4	Water Holding Capacity (WHC)	14
3.2	Determining the optimum usable mix ratio of each combination of coco-peat with other materials based of physical properties.	15
3.2.1	Sample preparation.	16
3.2.2	Data analysis	16
3.3	Economic analysis.....	16
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.	17
4.1	The physical properties of the selected materials.....	17
4.2	Determining the optimum usable mix ratio of each combination of coco-peat with other materials based of physical properties.	20
4.2.1	For composition A (coco-peat and pumice).....	20
4.2.2	For composition B (coco-peat and rice husks).	22
4.2.3	For composition C (coco-peat and sand)	24
4.3	Economical evaluation	25
5	CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	27
5.1	CONCLUSIONS.....	27
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS.	27

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2-1 Primary functions of growing media include the capacity to hold water and nutrients for root uptake, providing adequate root aeration, and ensuring structural support to the plant. (Dumroese, R.K.; Luna, T.; Landis, T.D., 2008).....	7
Figure 2-2 illustration of processed coco-peat blocks	9
Figure 2-3 illustration of soil at saturation, FC and PWP.....	11
Figure 2-4 Soil-Water Retention Curves for Four different Soil Types and their Ranges of Plant Available water.	12
Figure 4-1 Moisture content for different selected materials.....	19
Figure 4-2; Water Holding Capacity for different selected materials.....	19
Figure 4-3; both total and air-filled porosity for different selected materials.....	20
Figure 4-4; the relationship between water holding capacity, porosity and air-filled porosity water holding capacity for different mix ratio coco-peat and pumice in comparison with field soil.....	21
Figure 4-5; the relationship between water holding capacity, porosity and air-filled porosity water holding capacity for different mix ratio coco-peat and rice husks in comparison with field soil.....	23
Figures 4-6; the relationship between water holding capacity, porosity and air-filled porosity for different mix ratio of coco-peat and sand in comparison with field soil	24
Figures 5-1 showing the procedures followed during physical property determinations	28
Figure 5-2 showing the media investigated during the research (coco-peat, pumice and rice husks respectively).....	28

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Chemical composition of pumice and their functions	10
Table 4-1 showing the physical properties of field soil.....	17
Table 4-2 showing the physical properties of coco-peat.	17
Table 4-3 showing the physical properties of pumice.	18
Table 4-4 showing the physical properties of rice husks.....	18
Table 4-5 showing the physical properties of sand.....	18
Table 4-6 Showing the physical properties of the combination of coco-peat and pumice	21
Table 4-7 the ANOVA for WHC of the various mix ratios of coco-peat and pumice combination	22
Table 4-8 showing the physical properties of the mixture of coco-peat and rice husks.	22
Table 4-9 the ANOVA for WHC of the various mix ratios of coco-peat and rice husks combination.....	23
Table 4-10 showing the physical properties of the mixture of coco-peat and sand.....	24
Table 4-11 the ANOVA for WHC of the various mix ratios of coco-peat and sand combination.	25
Table 4-12 showing the cash flows for coco-peat and pumice mixture.	25

List of Acronym

WHC	Water Holding Capacity
GDP	Gross Domestic Products
EC	Electrical Conductivity
PH	Potential Hydrogen ions
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
AFP	Air-filled Porosity

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In the world of agriculture, planting media is something that is absolutely necessary to be able to perform farming activities because it provides the mechanical and nutrient support important for plant growth. Generally, people are familiar with the soil as growing media, but with the rapid population growth and the high demand of agriculture production contributed to the increasing exploitation of the land to be used as a planting media, especially the upper soil (top soil). The changing and unpredictable climatic condition of the tropics nowadays has led to reduction in the crop yields and thus increased number of indoor farming with the help of soilless media.

Nowadays farmers generally have begun looking for alternative media besides soil. This is because most of the land has been interrupted in that the top soils no longer contain sufficient organic material and nutrients. A number of soilless media such as saw dust, rock wool, vermiculite, peat moss, sand and perlite have been invented and used in the recent past for crop production in controlled environment. The solid waste from coconut fiber (coco-peat) is one of the media that is increasingly being used for indoor farming.

Coco-peat is an agricultural by-product obtained from the extraction of fiber of the coconut husks, (Yahya Awang, 2009). It has been one of the soilless materials widely available in the tropical areas. The use of coco-peat as a planting medium started in the late '80s, and moved into the commercial sector in the 90s. Since then its demand has increased day by day in home gardening, commercial roses and vegetable productions, as well as in the hydroponics industry. In 1984 Dutch Pantin carried out research about the possible uses of coco-peat. It was then found to be extremely suitable as a growing medium for raising seedling in horticulture. This resulted in the first practical trials (1985) of various prominent rose growers and institutes in the Netherlands and the UK (Annon, 2013).

Exports in the Ugandan horticulture sector have developed substantially over the last decades. There is still room for further expansion especially in the fresh fruit and vegetables sub sector but a study examining potential impacts on biodiversity suggests that more attention should be paid to the overall effects of land use change. Although entailing additional costs, focusing on growth

REFERENCES

- Annon, 2013. *History of the world's largest producer of coco products*, duth: s.n.
- Berndt Gerhardson., 2006. *Gnomonia fragariae, a Cause of Strawberry Root Rot and Petiole Blight. European journal of plant pathology*, 114(3), pp. 235-234.
- Bruce Dunn ., 2005. *Hydroponics: a practical guide for the soilless grower. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service*, 4(HLA-6442-4).
- Bunt, A.C, 1988.. *Media and mixes for container grown plants*, London, United Kingdom: : Unwin Hyman.
- Cuervo, W. J., 2012. Aspects to consider for optimizing a substrate culture system with drainage recycling. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 30(ISSN 0120-9965).
- Daniel J. Cantiliffe., 2007. *Yield and Quality of Greenhouse-grown Strawberries as Affected by Nitrogen Level in Coco Coir and Pine Bark Media*, india: s.n.
- Derek Hanekom, 1998. *Report on opportunities in agricultural trade and investment in uganda*, Kampala: Coen van Wyk, .
- Dumroese, R.K.; Luna, T.; Landis, T.D., 2008. *Nursery manual for native plants, a guide for tribal nurseries. Agriculture Handbook 730., Volume volume 1.*
- Graveel, T. a., n.d. Bulk density and particle density. In: *Laboratory Manual for Soil Science*. s.l.:s.n.
- Hanekom, D., 1998. *Report on opportunities in agricultural trade and investment in uganda*, Kampala: Coen van Wyk.
- Helicon Opleidingen., nd. *Experiments on Compost*, s.l.: www.ebbey.project.eu.
- John Wiley & Sons. , 1984. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. 2nd ed. New York: Gomez Kwanchai .

K.Rajkumar, n.d. *Modified Coconut pith – a novel Rubber Additive for Rubber Industry*, Wagale Industrial Estate, Thane (W). MH, 400604, India: Indian Rubber Manufacturers Research Association.

Landis, T. & Morgan, N., 2009. Growing media alternatives for forest and native plant nurseries. In: R. Dumroese & Riley, eds. *forest and conservation nursery associations—2008*. London: Fort Collins, CO:U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 26–31, p. p.58.

Lemoine, 2012. The ability of aquatic macrophytes to increase root porosity and radial oxygen loss determines their resistance to sediment anoxia. *Aquatic Ecology*, Volume 46(Issue 2), p. pp 191–200.

Lorenzo, R. D., 2013. From Soil to Soil-Less in Horticulture: Quality and Typicity. *The Italian Journal of Agronomy*, 8(4).

Maragatham.D, 2010. Physical characteristics of coir pith as a function of its particle size to be used as soilless media. *American-Eurasian journal of agricultural and environmental science*, 9 (4)(ISSN 1818-6769).

Mengel. K and Kirkby.E.A., 1987. *Principles of plant nutrition. Int. potash*, s.l.: s.n.

Noguera P., 2002. *Physico-chemical and chemical properties of some coconut coir dusts for use as a peat substitute for containerised ornamental plants*, s.l.: s.n.

Ogwal.F., 2010. Horticulture Production and Biodiversity in Uganda. *Benefits and Risks Associated with Export Growth Strategies*, 1 july, Volume volume 4.

Ronald Ross P, 2012. Determinaton of physio-chemical properties of coir pith in relation to particle size suitable for potting media. *International Journal of Research in Environmental Science and Technology*, Issue ISSN 2249–9695, pp. 45-47.

Paul L. Woomeer, 1993. *Laboratory methods of soil and plant analysis*. 1st ed. Nairobi: Soil Science Society of East Africa Technical publication.

Rashid Ahmad., 2012. *Substrate effects on growth,yield and quality of rosa hybrida*, pakistan: s.n.

Troy Buechel, August 04, 2016 . *Greenhouse Herb and Vegetable Production- growing media*, s.l.: s.n.

William J. Cuervo B, 2012. Aspects to consider for optimizing a substrate culture system with drainage recycling. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 30(ISSN 0120-9965).

Yahya Awang, Anieza Shazmi Shaharom, Rosli B. Mohamad and Ahmad Selamat, 2009. Chemical and Physical Characteristics of Cocopeat-Based Media Mixtures and Their Effects on the Growth and Development of *Celosia cristata*. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, volume 4(1), pp. p-2.