



**BUSITEMA  
UNIVERSITY**  
*Pursuing Excellence*



**BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**  
**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL AND PROCESSING**  
**ENGINEERING**  
**AGRO PROCESSING ENGINEERING PROGRAMME**  
**FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT**

**SUITABILITY OF SELECTED AGRO-WASTES FOR**  
**BRIQUETTE PRODUCTION, PALLISA DISTRICT**

**TUKEI TIMOTHY**

**BU/UG/2010/457**

**Email:t.tuk15@yahoo.coml; +256785724800**

**SUPERVISORS**

**MAIN SUPERVISOR : Dr. CATHERINE WANDERA**

**CO-SUPERVISOR : Ms. KABASA SALLY**

**MAY, 2015**

## DECLARATION

I, Tukei Timothy, hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that this research report is an outcome of my own work and that it has not been presented for any academic award in any university, college or higher institution of learning. Throughout the work I have acknowledged all sources in its compilation.

Signature:  .....

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> MAY 2015 .....

**TUKEI TIMOTHY**

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
CLASS No.: .....
ACCESS NO.: .....

**APPROVAL**

This research report has been submitted to Faculty of Engineering for examination with approval from the following supervisors:

Signature: ..... *A. Wandra* .....

Date: ..... *15/06/2015* .....

**DR. CATHERINE WANDERA**  
**MAIN SUPERVISOR**

Signature: .....

Date: .....

**MS. KABASA SALLY**  
**CO-SUPERVISOR**

## DEDICATION

I dedicate this research report to my uncle Mr. Okurut Moses and my mother Mrs. Okurut Anne Loyce for the love, care, support and encouragement.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am so grateful to God the Almighty who has seen me through the years and who by His grace I keep shining.

I wish to acknowledge the valuable assistance given to me at various stages in the preparation of this research report by my supervisors Dr. Catherine Wandera and Ms. Sally Kabasa whose directions and guidance enabled me to successfully complete the research.

I also extend my gratitude to all my Lecturers at the Faculty of Engineering, Department of Chemical and Agro-Processing Engineering, who have equipped me with academic knowledge that has guided me to succeed in my studies for the four academic years.

Then to my comrades in Christ

## ACRONYMS

ASAE	American Society of Agricultural Engineers
CEN	European Committee for Standardization
CEN/TS	European Committee for Standardization/Technical Standards
DEEP	Developing Energy Enterprises Programme
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
GCV	Gross Calorific Value
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GVEP	Global Village Energy Partnership
LPG	Liquefied Petroleum Gas
MEMD	Uganda Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development
NCV	Net Calorific Value
UBOS	Uganda Bureau of Statistics
UNDP	United Nations Development Program

## Table of Contents

DECLARATION .....	I
APPROVAL .....	II
DEDICATION .....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	IV
ACRONYMS .....	V
LIST OF TABLES .....	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES .....	IX
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	X
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY .....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT .....	1
1.3 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY .....	2
1.4 JUSTIFICATION .....	2
1.5 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY .....	2
1.5.1 MAIN OBJECTIVE .....	2
1.5.2 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES .....	3
1.5.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....	3
1.6 SCOPE AND LIMITATION .....	3
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>4</b>
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
2.1 BIOMASS USE IN UGANDA .....	4
2.1.1 DEMAND .....	4
2.1.2 THE BIOMASS ECONOMY .....	4
2.1.3 BIOMASS POLICY .....	5
2.1.4 A COMMERCIAL CASE FOR BRIQUETTE BUSINESSES .....	5
2.2 HOW BRIQUETTES ARE MADE .....	6
2.2.1 CARBONIZATION .....	6
2.2.2 PREPARATION OF FEEDSTOCK .....	7
2.2.3 BINDING .....	7
2.2.3 DRYING .....	7
2.2.4 COMPACTION / BRIQUETTING .....	8
2.3 MEASURING CALORIFIC VALUE WITH A BOMB CALORIMETER .....	10
2.3.1 CHARACTERISTICS OF BOMB CALORIMETERS .....	10
2.3.2 COMPONENTS OF AN ADIABATIC BOMB CALORIMETER .....	11

2.3.4 DETERMINING CALORIFIC VALUE (HEAT OF COMBUSTION).....	12
2.4 DETERMINATION OF THE BRIQUETTE FLAME TEMPERATURE .....	14
2.5 MOISTURE CONTENT OF THE BRIQUETTE.....	14
<b>CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>15</b>
3.0 METHODOLOGY.....	15
3.1 RESEARCH VENUE .....	15
3.2 MATERIAL.....	15
3.2.1 MATERIAL COLLECTION AND PREPARATION .....	15
3.2.2 MATERIAL SAMPLING .....	16
3.2.3 BRIQUETTING OF THE MATERIAL AND DRYING.....	17
3.3 CALORIFIC VALUE MEASUREMENT.....	17
3.4 TEMPERATURE FLAME CHART.....	19
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>20</b>
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	20
4.1 RESULTS .....	20
4.1.1 CALORIFIC VALUES.....	20
4.1.2 MOISTURE CONTENT OF THE DRY SAMPLE.....	21
4.1.3 BRIQUETTE FLAME TEMPERATURE.....	21
4.2 DISCUSSION.....	22
4.2.1 CALORIFIC VALUE.....	22
4.2.2 MOISTURE CONTENT.....	22
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION .....</b>	<b>23</b>
5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION .....	23
5.1 CONCLUSIONS.....	23
5.2 RECOMMENDATION .....	23
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>27</b>
APPENDIX A: DETAILED WORK PLAN.....	27
APPENDIX B: DETAILED BUDGET .....	28
APPENDIX: C: DETAILED RESULTS.....	29



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF CARBONIZATION METHOD .....	7
TABLE 2: METHODS OF BRIQUETTING AND THEY AVAILABILITY IN EAST AFRICA .....	9
TABLE 3: SEVEN SAMPLE AGRO-WASTE MIXTURES PREPARED AND BRIQUETTED.....	16
TABLE 4: RESULT TABULATION FROM MIXTURE SAMPLES EXPERIMENTED ABOVE.....	19
TABLE 5: THE BRIQUETTE FLAME TEMPERATURE AS TESTED USING A TEMPERATURE FLAME CHART.....	19
TABLE 6: RESULTS OF CALORIFIC VALUE AND MOISTURE CONTENT OF THE SAMPLES TESTED.....	20
TABLE 7: FLAME TEMPERATURE OF THE BRIQUETTE SAMPLES .....	22

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: AVERAGE MARKET PRICES OF CHARCOAL IN KAMPALA 2004-2009, UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS 2010 STATISTICAL ABSTRACT, .....	5
FIGURE 2: <i>ILLUSTRATES THE SCHEMATIC FLOW INVOLVED IN BRIQUETTE FORMATION.</i> .....	6
FIGURE 3: SPHERICAL-SHAPED BRIQUETTES THAT WERE MADE.....	17
FIGURE 4: BOMB CALORIMETER WITH DISPLAY MONITOR.....	18
FIGURE 5: COMPARISON OF BRIQUETTE SAMPLE CALORIFIC VALUES. ....	21
FIGURE 6: COMPARISON OF TEMPERATURE RISE IN BOMB CALORIMETER CAUSED BY COMBUSTION IN THE BOMB.....	21

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Groundnut husks, cotton stalk and sorghum stalk are abundant agro-waste in Pallisa district. In their loose form however, they are not preferred as bio-fuels. Densification of these agro-wastes to form briquettes will allow their use as substitute to firewood and charcoal.

This research involved the investigation of the thermo chemical properties of the different samples of briquettes prepared from varying material composition. The three selected agro-waste were mixed with varying ratios and briquetted with 40grams of cassava binder. A bomb calorimeter was used to determine the calorific values of the samples while their burning temperature was estimated by the use of a Temperature Flame Chart.

The findings showed that single material briquettes had comparably higher calorific value as compared to composite material briquettes. Non-carbonized groundnut husk briquette had the highest calorific value of 19585J/g and it burnt with a yellowish-red flame in the furnace whose flame temperature is estimated to fall within the range of 2500-2700K. The calorific value of carbonized sorghum briquette was higher than that of carbonized cotton.

**Key words:** Densification, carbonization, calorific value, flame temperature.

## CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This chapter explains the background of the study that leads to the problem statement. It also contains the main and specific objectives of the project.

### 1.1 Background of study

An estimate of 99% of Pallisa's population relies on wood fuel and charcoal to meet daily energy needs, resulting in rapid woodland depletion. Hydro-electricity supplies less than 1.1% (UBOS, November 2005) of the population in the area while the cost of petroleum fuel is rising rapidly (Barsky and Kilian, 2004). It is therefore expected that the district will continue to rely on biomass fuel for the unforeseeable future. The challenge of conserving the woodland resources by using the available biomass material in a sustainable manner can be overcome through the process of biomass densification. Biomass densification is the process of reducing the bulk volume of the material by mechanical means for easy handling, transportation and storage. (Sudhagar Mani, 2008).

Groundnut husks, cotton stalk and sorghum stalk are major abundant agro-waste in Pallisa; moreover, both groundnuts and sorghum enjoy a double growing period annually which makes them abundant and quite reliable all year through according to key informant in the area. Briquetting this selected agro-waste would reduce reliance on wood fuel. However, prior to the design or selection of machines for the briquetting of a given biomass material, it is important to determine the optimum condition required to make products of a desirable quality (Wamukonya and Jenkinss, 1995). This is because every agro-waste has a unique set of conditions for its densification (Chin and Siddiqui, 2000). Furthermore, thermo-chemical composition is also unique for every biomass material. However, information on optimum briquetting conditions and thermo-chemical properties of selected agro-wastes are not known; therefore, limiting biomass use as an energy source.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

Pallisa has got a variety of unutilized agro-waste like groundnut husks; cotton stalk and sorghum stalk which are dumped back to the field after utilizing the crop. However, after a long time, the agriculture fields do not need plenty of this agro-waste for mulch; hence dumping this agro-waste back to the field is in one way wasting a useful resource. The

## REFERENCES

- American Standard: ASAE269:3 (1989). Wafers, pellets, crumbles definitions and methods for determining density durability and moisture content pp346F348
- Andrejko, D. & Grochowicz, J. (2006). Effect of the moisture content on compression energy and strength characteristic of lupine briquettes. *Journal of Food Engineering* Vol.18, pp116F120
- Barsky, R.B & Kilian, L.,(2004). Oil and macro economy since the 1970s. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. Vol 18, pp 115-134
- Bhattacharya, S.C. Sett, S. & Shrestha, R. M., (1989). State of the art for biomass briquetting. *Energy for sustainable development*,Vol.6,pp
- Birn Jeremy (2003) Digital Lighting and Rendering chart and colors. Accessed in November 2014 <http://www.3dRender.com>
- CEN/TS14774F2:2004.Solid biofuels–Methods for the determination of moisture content–
- Chin, O.C. & Siddiqui, K.M., (2000). Characteristics of some biomass briquettes prepared under modest die pressures. *Biomass and Bioenergy* Vol. 18, pp 223-228 densification. *Energy Sources*.Vol.11,pp161F182
- NEA (2011). NFA STATISTICAL YEARBOOK. Country profiles, Uganda. Accessed in March 2008 <http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/18308/en/uga/>
- Hamish Ferguson, (2012) Briquette Businesses in Uganda, GVEP International.
- Jessup, R.S (1996) Precise measurement of heat combustion with a bomb calorimeter. U.S Bureau of Standards, USI government printing
- Mani, S. Tabil, L. G. & Sokhansanj, S. (2006) . Specific energy requirement for compacting corn Stover . *Bio-resource Technology* Vol.97, pp1420F1426 industry. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, Vol.24, pp461F495
- MEMD (2004). Uganda energy balance for 2004. Ministry of Energy and Mineral
- MEMD (2007), Uganda Renewable Energy Policy 2005
- MEMD (2008), Uganda energy balance for 2006
- Parr Instrument Company (1980) operating instruction for 1241 oxygen bomb calorimeter, technical manual 203.

- Parr Instrument Company (2000) Introduction to calorimetry Technical manual 2000.
- Samson R., Mani, S. Boddey, R. Sokhansanj, S., Quesda, D., Urquiaga, S., Reis, V. & Lem, C.H.,(2005). The potential of C4 perennial grasses for developing a global BIOHEAT
- Sudhagar Mani, (2008) Recent Development in Biomass Densification Technology, Agricultural Engineering Department, University of Georgia.
- UBOS, (November, 2005) 2002 Uganda Population and Housing Census; Pallisa District Report.
- Wamukonya, L. & Jenkins, B., (1995). Durability and relaxation of sawdust and wheat-straw briquettes as possible fuels for Kenya. Biomass and Bioenergy Vol. 8, pp 175-179