



## FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

### DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

#### FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

### A SOCIOHYDROLOGICAL APPROACH TO THE MITIGATION MEASURES USED ALONG THE FLOOD PLAINS OF RIVER NYAMWAMBA.

BY

Name	Reg No	Email
AMURON IMELDA	BU/UP/2017/1475	imeldaamuron@gmail.com
AMPIRE BRENDAH	BU/UP/2017/1474	ampirebrendah09@gmail.com

SUPERVISOR: Mr. KAJUBI ENOCK

*A final year project report submitted to the Department of water resources and mining  
engineering in partial fulfillment for the award of the Bachelor of Science in Water Resources  
Engineering of Busitema University*

## **ABSTRACT**

The study was conducted to determine a sociohydrological approach to the flood mitigation measures used along the flood plains of river Nyamwamba. HEC-HMS and HEC-RAS models were employed to achieve the first objective of developing a hydrodynamic model that estimated the flood risk extent. Results of the rainfall frequency analysis used to obtain the rainfall intensities for different return periods such as 50, 100, 200, 500 and 1000 years were used as an input for the hydrological model.

The HEC HMS model was calibrated and validated using automatic methods and the Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) obtained were in the ranges of 0.765- 0.925 for the different return periods showing that the model was satisfactory. From the results of the hydraulic model, it was observed that, the flood plain inundated areas increase with the magnitude of flow within the modelled network indicating a high flood risk level for activities and settlements adjacent to the river banks. . The simulated peak discharges 93.2m<sup>3</sup>/s, 138.1m<sup>3</sup>/s, 187.8m<sup>3</sup>/s, 259.1m<sup>3</sup>/s and 316.6m<sup>3</sup>/s of the respective 50, 100, 200, 500, and 1000-year return periods produced maximum channel flood depths of 2.27ft, 2.7ft, 3.10ft, 3.66ft, 3.98ft respectively.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First, we would like to thank the almighty God for keeping us in good health and offering us the opportunity to undertake this project.

Our sincere gratitude also goes to Mr. Maseruka Bendicto and our supervisor Mr. Kajubi Enock for their continuous guidance during this research. Their advice and tireless effort in following up the progress of this project was quite instrumental for its success.

Last but not least, we thank our classmates for their advice and we admire their support. There are no better teammates for this undertaking.

## **DECLARATION**

I, AMURON IMELDA and I, AMPIRE BRENDAH, declare that this report is our own research and has not been submitted before to any university or institution of higher learning for any academic award.

We stand to account for all this information contained in this report and to regret any queries that may arise out of it if there is any.

<b>Name:</b> AMURON IMELDA	<b>Name:</b> AMPIRE BRENDAH
SIGN:	SIGN:
DATE:	DATE:

## **APPROVAL**

This proposal on sociohydrological approach to the mitigation measures used along the flood plains of River Nyamwamba has been written under the supervision of;

Name: **Mr. Kajubi Enock**

Signature: ..... Date.....

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Location of the study area .....	6
Figure 2: Methods for estimation of WTP .....	22
Figure 3: Theoretical frame work used for estimating WTP .....	23
Figure 4: Mechanism of flood modelling. ....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b> 21
Figure 5: Mass curve for nearest station .....	29
Figure 6: A plot of maximum daily rainfall against return periods .....	30
Figure 7: A plot of simulation model for different return periods .....	32
Figure 8: Mechanism of hydraulic modelling.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b> 34
Figure 9: The geometric model of the river .....	34
Figure 10: The percepctive plot of the river.....	35
Figure 11: Optimization graph for 50-yrs return period .....	44
Figure 12: Optimization summary table for 50-yrs return period.....	44
Figure 13: Flood hazard map for 50-yrs return period .....	47
Figure 14: Flood hazard map for 100-yrs return period .....	47
Figure 15: Flood hazard map for 50 and 1000 yrs return periods .....	48
Figure 16: Analysis of the willingness to pay amount.....	63
Figure 17 Above-Carrying out a survey on one of the respondents, Below-One of the structures that were affected due t flooding of River Nyamwamba in 2021 in kilembe region, kasese district .....	70

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Research questions.....	3
Table 2: Structural mitigation measures criteria .....	37
Table 3: Non-structural mitigation measures criteria .....	38
Table 4: Hydrological model results.....	43
Table 5: Simulation run .....	45
Table 6: Optimization run.....	46
Table 7: Hydraulic model results.....	46
Table 8: Factors considered for structural flood mitigation measures.....	48
Table 9: Factors considered for non-structural flood mitigation measures .....	51
Table 10: Community perception of flooding in the study area .....	53
Table 11: Respondents' perception on the causes of floods .....	55
Table 12: Impacts of flooding.....	56
Table 13: Flood Early warning .....	56
Table 14: Flood forecasting mechanism .....	57
Table 15: Pre-flood adaptation strategies at HH level .....	58
Table 16: Pre-flood adaptation strategies at community level.....	58
Table 17: During flood adaptation strategies at HH level .....	59
Table 18: During flood adaptation strategies at Community level .....	59
Table 19: Post-flood adaptation strategies at HH level .....	60
Table 20: Post-flood adaptation strategies at community level .....	60
Table 21: Structural adaptation strategies.....	61
Table 22: Non-structural adaptation strategies .....	61
Table 23: WTP Amount.....	62
Table 24: Reasons for affirmative and negative WTP responses towards the River Nyamwamba floodplain restoration program .....	63
Table 25: Analysis of the effect of explanatory variables in the regression model.....	64

## LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation 1: Normal ratio method .....	29
Equation 2: SCS curve number method.....	31
Equation 3: Cochran formular .....	40
Equation 4: Weighted Average Index (WAI) .....	41
Equation 5: Contingent Valuation method .....	42
Equation 6: NSE .....	45

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .....	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	i
DECLARATION .....	ii
APPROVAL .....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES .....	v
LIST OF EQUATIONS .....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
1 CHAPTER ONE .....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2 Background .....	1
1.3 Problem statement.....	2
1.4 Objectives of the study .....	3
1.4.1 Main objective .....	3
1.4.2 Specific objective.....	3
1.5 Research questions .....	3
1.6 Justification .....	4
1.7 Scope of the study .....	5
1.7.1 Geographical scope .....	5
1.7.2 Conceptual scope .....	5
1.7.3 Technical scope.....	5
1.8 Description of the Study Area.....	5
1.8.1 Location .....	5

1.8.2	Drainage .....	6
1.8.3	Topography .....	6
1.8.4	Rainfall.....	6
1.8.5	Temperature .....	7
1.8.6	Landuse .....	7
1.8.7	Population .....	7
1.8.8	Geology and soils.....	8
2	CHAPTER TWO: Literature review .....	9
2.1	River Flood.....	9
2.2	Risk and Hazard .....	9
2.3	River Flood Modelling .....	10
2.3.1	Computer Models.....	10
2.4	Flood mitigation measures .....	13
2.5	Willingness to pay for flood mitigation measures .....	20
2.5.1	Revealed preference method.....	21
2.5.2	Stated preference method.....	21
2.6	Willingness to pay Elicitation techniques.....	23
2.6.1	The iterative bidding approach .....	23
2.6.2	Payment card approach.....	24
2.6.3	Dichotomous choice approach.....	24
3	CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY .....	25
3.1	Data acquisition and analysis .....	25
3.1.1	Secondary data Collection techniques .....	25
3.1.2	Primary data collection and analysis techniques .....	25

3.2	Objective 1: To develop a hydrodynamic model that will estimate the flood risk extent.	
	26	
3.2.1	To develop a rainfall-runoff model.....	26
3.2.1.1	Creating Basin Model.....	27
3.2.1.4	Hydrological Modelling .....	31
3.2.2	Develop a hydraulic model for flood inundation mapping.....	33
3.2.3	Perform a flood risk analysis. ....	36
3.3	Objective 2 .....	36
3.4	Objective 3: .....	39
3.4.1	Data collections.....	39
3.4.2	Likert scale.....	41
3.4.3	Ranking of the mitigation strategies. ....	41
3.4.4	The Willingness to pay of the community.....	42
4	CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	43
4.1	Hydrological model results .....	43
4.2	HEC HMS model calibration .....	43
4.3	Structural and non-structural flood mitigation measures .....	48
4.4	Characteristics of the household survey respondents.....	52
4.5	Community perception of flooding in the area .....	53
4.6	Flood adaptation strategies.....	57
4.7	Evaluation of the community Willingness to pay. ....	62
4.8	Factors influencing the community willingness to pay.....	64
5	CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CHALLENGES FACED .....	66
5.1	CONCLUSIONS .....	66
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS .....	66

5.3 CHALLENGES FACED.....	67
REFERENCES .....	68
APPENDICES .....	70