



FACULTY OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

**MITIGATING THE IMPACT OF PITLATRINES ON
GROUNDWATER QUALITY**

Case study: Busitema sub county.

By

NSAWO JOHN

BU/UP/2020/0303

SUPERVISOR: Eng Dr. JOSEPH DDUMBA LWANYAGA

Final year project report submitted to the department of water Resources Engineering in the partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of a Bachelor of Science in Water Resources Engineering at Busitema University

September 2024

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the impact of pit latrines on groundwater quality in areas reliant on this resource for drinking water. It employed a mixed-method approach, combining hydrogeological and sanitation engineering principles.

The primary concern addressed was the potential pollution of groundwater sources by fecal matter originating from pit latrines. This contamination posed a significant health risk due to the presence of pathogens in human waste.

The main objective was to develop a comprehensive understanding of this issue and propose effective mitigation strategies. The specific objectives were threefold; to characterize groundwater within Busitema sub county, to develop a pollutant tracking model to predict the movement of fecal matter into groundwater and to evaluate existing mitigation strategies for their effectiveness in reducing fecal matter contamination in groundwater. The study employed a combination of methods. Groundwater characterization involved physicochemical and microbiological analysis of water samples. Pollutant tracking involved the development and application of a numerical model. Finally, existing mitigation strategies, such as pit latrine siting regulations and lining materials, were evaluated through literature review and potentially field studies. The key findings of the study were expected to include the development of a predictive model for contaminant movement, and a critical evaluation of existing mitigation strategies.

These findings would lead to crucial conclusions on the best practices for minimizing the impact of pit latrines on groundwater quality. Based on these conclusions, the study would propose specific recommendations for improved sanitation infrastructure design, siting regulations, and potential novel mitigation techniques. This research would contribute significantly to safeguarding public health by providing valuable insights for communities and policymakers working to ensure

safe

drinking

water

supplies.

DECLARATION

I, NSAWO JOHN..... a student of Busitema University hereby declare that this report is my original work and has not been previously submitted either in part or in whole to any institution of higher learning for any kind of award.

SIGNATURE [Signature].....

DATE 05/09/2024.....

APPROVAL

This report has been produced under my supervision and has been submitted with my approval for examination and award of B.Sc. Water Resources Engineering at Busitema University.

SUPERVISOR: Eng Dr. JOSEPH DDUMBA LWANYAGA

SIGNATURE



DATE

2024/09/12

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I extend my sincere thanks to the Almighty God who has gifted me with the gift of life and has enabled me to reach this academic height as He has been the provider of all the necessary requirements.

Great thanks to my beloved parents, Mr. Samanya Paul and Mrs. Naigaga Elizabeth for their endless encouragement, moral support, and most importantly their unwavering financial backing that helped achieve this milestone. Their love and belief in me have been instrumental in my success.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my friends for their prayers and advice given to me in the course of this project.

My sincere gratitude also goes to my supervisor Eng Dr. Joseph Ddumba Lwanyaga and Mr. Maseruka. Bendicto for their continuous guidance during this research. Their advice and tireless effort in following up the progress of this project was quite instrumental for its success

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

ABSTRACT.....	i
APPROVAL	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of the study.	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Objectives of the study.....	2
1.3.1 Main objective.....	2
1.3.2 Specific objectives	2
1.4 Research questions.....	3
1.5 Justification of the study.....	3
2.0 Groundwater and drinking water	4
2.1 Groundwater contamination.....	4
2.2 GROUNDWATER MODELING	5
CHAPTER THREE3.0 METHODOLOGY.....	9
3.1 Methodology to specific objective one.....	9
3.1.1 Sampling Site Selection:.....	9
3.1.2 Sample Collection.	10
3.1.3 Laboratory testing of samples:.....	10
3.1.3.1 TESTING FOR NITRATES.....	10
3.2.3.2 PH TESTING.....	11
3.1.3.3 ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY TESTING	11
3.13.4 MICROBIOLOGICAL TESTING	12
3.1.4. Data collection and acquisition for vulnerability assessment.....	12
3.1.5Data Analysis and spatial analysis	13
3.1.6: Reporting of results.....	15

3.2 Methodology to specific objective two.....	16
3.2.1 Data collection and acquisition	16
3.2.2 Model Development:	16
3.2.3 Model setup and input parameters.....	17
3.2.4 Model calibration	17
3.1 Methodology to specific objective three.....	19
3.3.1 Data Analysis and Problem Identification:	19
3.3.2 Identification of existing mitigation strategies:.....	19
3.3.3 Developing a Multifaceted Mitigation Plan:.....	20
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	21
4.1 Water quality results	21
4.1.1 Variation of water quality results along the sampling points	22
4.2 OBJECTIVE TWO	24
4.3 OBJECTIVE THREE.....	30
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	37
5.1 CONCLUSIONS	37
5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	37
5.3 CHALLENGES.....	37
REFERENCES	38
APPENDICES.....	40

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 showing ground sources and pit latrine distribution in Busitema Sub County.....	9
Figure 2 schematic diagram for groundwater modeling	16
Figure 3 shows MODFLOW interface Toolbar:.....	24
Figure 4 showing a contour map.....	26
Figure 5 showing contaminant transport.....	27
Figure 6 a graph of simulated v observed	27
Figure 7 showing a water budget	28
Figure 8 laboratory water quality results	40
Figure 9 water quality testing in the lab.....	40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 borehole data obtained at Busia district local government and field survey.....	13
Table 2 showing standard vulnerability index table	14
Table 3 showing vulnerability classes and rates	15
Table 4 showing coefficient of determination and interpretation	18
Table 5 laboratory water quality results.....	21

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GIS-Geographical Information System

HC-Health Centre

NDP-National Development Plan

NTU-Nephelometric Turbidity Units

SDG-Sustainable Development Goal

TDS- Total Dissolved Solids

UBOS- Uganda Bureau Of Statistics

UNICEF-United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund

UNBS-Uganda National Bureau of Standards

WHO- World Health Organization

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study.

Globally, approximately 1.77 billion people rely on pit latrines as their primary means of sanitation, constituting 24% of the world's population (Water & Winny, 2019). While they provide a basic level of sanitation compared to sewer systems, concerns about their potential to pollute groundwater, a critical source of drinking water for many communities, have driven research in this area.

Across Africa, over 500 million people rely on pit latrines for sanitation, making it the most common sanitation method on the continent (Evans et al., 2015). While pit latrines offer a significant improvement over open defecation, their impact on groundwater quality, a vital resource for many communities, raises concerns.

The primary driver behind this research is the threat to human health posed by contaminated groundwater. Pit latrines harbor pathogenic bacteria like *E. coli*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Vibrio cholera*, along with nitrates and other contaminants. These can leach into groundwater, polluting nearby wells and amplifying the risk of waterborne diseases like cholera, typhoid, and diarrhea. Vulnerable populations, particularly children and pregnant women, bear the brunt of this contamination, facing a disproportionately higher risk of these waterborne illnesses (Graham & Polizzotto et al., 2023).

Over 50% of the United States population depends on groundwater for drinking water. Groundwater is also one of our most important sources of water for irrigation. Unfortunately, groundwater is susceptible to pollutants (Rahaman, 2018).

Despite the abundance of surface water in Uganda (18% of the land area) over 70% of the rural population including small towns relies almost exclusively on groundwater for portable water supply. As a result, provision of safe water to rural communities in Uganda has depended primarily upon the construction of wells and protection of spring discharge. Particular attention has recently been directed at developing the shallow – well aquifer since the formation is less costly and recent study has found it to be more productive than deeper, bedrock aquifer. The promotion of Pit Latrines has traditionally been done with very little knowledge of its impact on the quality of groundwater in Uganda (Town, 2019).

REFERENCES

- Aboagye, D., & Zume, J. T. (2018). Assessing groundwater quality in peri-urban localities of Kumasi , Ghana. *African Geographical Review*, 00(00), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19376812.2018.1484781>
- Appl, J. et al. (2019). *Evaluation of groundwater quality characteristics in Lagos city*.
- Engström, E. (2015). *Predicting the transport of escherichia coli to groundwater* (Issue May). <https://doi.org/201504>
- Farooqui, A., Khan, A., & Kazmi, S. U. (2009). *Investigation of a community outbreak of typhoid fever associated with drinking water*. 6, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-476>
- Fernández-Arévalo, T., Lizarralde, I., Fdz-Polanco, F., Pérez-Elvira, S. I., Garrido, J. M., Puig, S., Poch, M., Grau, P., & Ayesa, E. (2017). Quantitative assessment of energy and resource recovery in wastewater treatment plants based on plant-wide simulations. *Water Research*, 118, 272–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2017.04.001>
- Graham, J. P., & Polizzotto, M. L. (2013). *Review Pit Latrines and Their Impacts on Groundwater Quality : A Systematic Review*. 121(5), 521–530.
- Gwimbi, P., George, M., & Ramphalile, M. (2019). *Bacterial contamination of drinking water sources in rural villages of Mohale Basin , Lesotho : exposures through neighbourhood sanitation and hygiene practices*. 1–7.
- Impact, S., For, A., Morikiswa, P., Solar, M., Piped, P., & District, T. (2023). *PROPOSED MORIKISWA MINI SOLAR POWERED PIPED WATER*. May.
- Moses. (2019). *Intrinsic groundwater pollution vulnerability of Masama east well field, Khurutshe Area, Botswana*.
- NWSC. (2020). *No Title*.
- Okame, R. (2022). *A POLLUTANT TRACKING MODEL FOR THE MITIGATION OF MERCURY-*. November.
- Rahaman, A. R. and H. (2018). *Contamination of arsenic , manganese and coliform bacteria in groundwater at Kushtia District , Bangladesh : human health vulnerabilities Aminur Rahman*

and Hafezur Rahaman. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2018.057>

Talk, A. (2003). *Ground water pollution*.

Town, B. (2019). *Pit Latrines & their Impact on Groundwater in Small Towns in Uganda*. 3.

Water, G., & Winny, N. D. (2019). *FACULTY OF ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND MINING ENGINEERING FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT DEVELOPMENT OF A POLLUTANT TRACKING MODEL FOR THE MITIGATION OF FECAL MATTER MOVEMENT INTO*.

WHO/UNICEF. (2017). *Safely managed drinking water*.

Wook, D. L. J. (2004). *World Health Organization Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Links to Health FACTS AND FIGURES – *updated November 2004. November*.

Zhang, F., Zhang, T., Zhu, H., & Borody, T. J. (2019). Evolution of fecal microbiota transplantation in methodology and ethical issues. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, 49(Cd), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2019.04.004>