
BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY, ARAPAI CAMPUS
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

**VARIABILITY OF SOIL PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES ACROSS LAND
PRODUCTIVITY CLASSES IN BANANA PLANTATIONS IN KABAROLE DISTRICT**

BY

ASIIMWE SOLOMON

BU/UG/2018/1983

BSA

SUPERVISOR: Dr. Wasige John

**RESEARCH PROJECT REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF DEGREE IN BACHELOR OF
SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE AT BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY**

MAY 2023

DECLARATION

I, Asiimwe Solomon, declare that this Research Report is entirely my own work and that it has not been submitted at any University or Higher Institution of Learning for any academic award.

Signature:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized letter 'A' followed by a long, horizontal, sweeping stroke that loops back under the 'A'.

Date: 9th June, 2023

APPROVAL

This research project report has been written by Asimwe Solomon under my supervision. I therefore approve and forward it to the Department of crop production and management for final assessment.

Dr. Wasige John

Date: 10th June, 2023

Signature:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'John Wasige', written in a cursive style.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to my mother, Atwijukire Justine, for her relentless support throughout my course, the encouragement and financial support to carry out this research project.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge the unconditional support, guidance and time of my academic supervisor Dr. Wasige John and support from my parents. I also thank my classmates who helped me with insights and gave me confidence and courage throughout my course and the preparation of this research project report.

Also, sincere thanks to the entire management and staff of Busitema University Arapai campus who tirelessly helped throughout this academic journey. MAY GOD BLESS YOU ALL ABUNDANTLY.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents	
DECLARATION	i
APPROVAL	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	viii
ABSTRACT.....	ix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem statement.....	3
1.3 Justification.....	3
1.4 Objectives	3
1.5 Hypotheses.....	3
1.6 Significance.....	4
1.7 Scope.....	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction.....	5
2.2 Selected Soil chemical properties	5
2.3 Selected Soil Physical properties – Soil texture.....	8
2.4 Status of land degradation in Uganda	8
2.5 Effect of land use changes on soil physical and chemical characteristics	8
2.6 Assessment of effects of soil and water conservation measures on soil properties	9
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	10
3.1 Description of the study area	10
3.2 Stratification of the study	10
3.3 Socioeconomic/livelihood assessment.....	11
3.4 Soil Sampling.....	12
3.4.1 Soil samples handling in the Laboratory.....	13
3.4.2 Soil analysis methods.....	13
3.5 Analysis Methods.....	14
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	15

4.1 Objective one: To determine the effect of management practices on selected soil physiochemical properties and banana yield.	15
4.1.1 Variation in yield across the study area and among the different productivity classes.....	15
4.1.2 Regression Analysis/models	19
4.2 Objective two: To determine soil physiochemical properties under different land productivity classes	27
4.2.1 Variation in the selected soil chemical properties.....	27
4.2.2 Correlation in the selected soil physiochemical properties across the study area.....	28
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	30
5.1 Effect of management practices on selected soil physiochemical properties and banana yield.	30
5.1.1 Effect on banana yield.....	30
5.1.2 Effect on selected soil physiochemical properties	30
5.2 Status of soil physiochemical properties under different land productivity classes.....	32
5.2.1 Variation in the soil physiochemical properties	32
5.2.2 Correlation between the soil physiochemical properties.....	33
CHAPTER 6: ONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	34
6.1 Conclusion	34
6.2 Recommendations.....	34
OTHER ADDITIONAL PAGES.....	35
6.3 References.....	35
6.4 Appendices.....	41

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Means of yield of bananas across the land productivity classes.....	15
Table 2: Probability values	15
Table 3: Summary of percentage of farmers practicing soil conservation	16
Table 4: Summary of percentage of farmers practicing Soil conservation among different land productivity classes.....	16
Table 5: Summary of percentage of farmers practicing water conservation	17
Table 6: Level of percentage of farmers practicing water conservation among different productivity classes.....	17
Table 7: Level of intercropping among different productivity classes	18
Table 8: Regression analysis summary for mulching	19
Table 9: Prediction of yield basing of varying levels of mulching.....	20
Table 10: Regression analysis summary of use of organic manure.....	20
Table 11: Prediction of yield basing on varying levels of use of organic manure.....	21
Table 12: Regression analysis summary for use of inorganic fertilizers	21
Table 13: Prediction of yield basing on varying levels of use of inorganic fertilizers	22
Table 14: Regression analysis summary for practice of pruning, thinning, weeding and gap filling.....	22
Table 15: Prediction of yield with practice of thinning, pruning, weeding and gap filling.....	23
Table 16: Regression analysis summary of use of retention ditches	23
Table 17: Prediction of yield with varying levels of use of retention ditches	24
Table 18: Regression analysis summary for cover cropping	24
Table 19: Prediction of yield with varying level of cover cropping.....	25
Table 20: Regression analysis summary for use of diversion channels.....	25
Table 21: Prediction of yield with varying levels of use diversion channels	26
Table 22: Years spent in banana farming	26
Table 23: Summary of the selected soil chemical and physical characteristics of the entire study area and productivity classes.	27
Table 24: Pearson’s Correlation coefficients between the soil properties	28
Table 25: Threshold levels for soil chemical properties.....	29

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Level of education in different productivity classes	41
Appendix 2: source of labour for respondents for farms among different productivity classes...	42
Appendix 3: amount of labour available for farmers/respondents for their farm operations in different productivity classes.	43
Appendix 4: Average yield, income annually, savings monthly and farm size among the productivity classes.	44
Appendix 5: Extent of use of inorganic fertilizers across the study area.....	44
Appendix 6: Application of pruning, thinning, weeding and gap filling as measures of soil conservation among productivity classes.....	45
Appendix 7: Level of mulching across productivity classes	46
Appendix 8: Level of use of organic manure among productivity classes	47
Appendix 9: Level of use of retention ditches among productivity classes	48
Appendix 10: Level of use of diversion channels among productivity classes	49
Appendix 11: ANOVA table for nitrogen for the entire area of study	49
Appendix 12: ANOVA table for exchangeable potassium (K ⁺) across the study area.....	50
Appendix 13: ANOVA of exchangeable sodium (Na ⁺) for the entire study area.....	50
Appendix 14: ANOVA of exchangeable calcium (Ca ²⁺) for the entire study area	50
Appendix 15: ANOVA of exchangeable magnesium (Mg ²⁺) for the entire study area	50
Appendix 16: ANOVA of pH for the entire study area	51
Appendix 17: ANOVA of organic matter (OM) for the entire study area.....	51
Appendix 18: Questionnaire	52
Appendix 19: Pictures.....	58

ABSTRACT

Management practices such as mulching, intercropping, manuring/fertilizer application, water conservation, weeding, gap filling and so on affect the quality of soils and the crop productivity of farms. Assessing the soil physiochemical properties and subsequent implications on soil fertility is essential for understanding the influence of management practices on agricultural soil quality and the productivity on farms. This study, assessed the effect of management practices on selected soil properties and yield and the state of soil quality under banana plantations across sub-counties of Bukuku, Karangura and Kicwamba in Kabarole district - Uganda. The study site was stratified into land productivity classes based on tonnes of bananas per acre per farmer that is; increasing productivity, stable productivity, stable but stressed productivity, slightly declining productivity and declining productivity. Soil sampling and socio-economic analysis were conducted in each of banana land productivity classes. Basing on mean soil texture percentages, the soils across the study area can be classified as sandy clay loam, fine soils. The analysis of variance for selected soil properties under different productivity classes didn't show statistical significance at $P < 0.05$. There was a statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) in yield of banana plantations under different productivity classes across the study area. The yield was highest in increasing and stable productivity classes averaging at 4.033 tonnes of bananas/acre compared to the rest of the productivity classes which averaged at 1.16 tonnes/acre. Nitrogen and Potassium were higher in increasing productivity and stable classes, moderate in stable but stressed productivity class and slightly declining productivity, and lowest in declining productivity class. The exchangeable cations, i.e., sodium was generally constant across the productivity classes. Magnesium was increasing across the productivity classes from increasing to stable to stable but stressed to declining productivity classes though it was lowest in slightly declining productivity class. Calcium followed the same trend like of magnesium. Soil pH was highest in slightly declining productivity class (pH=6.66) and lowest in increasing productivity class (pH=6.48). Soil organic matter (SOM) was highest in increasing, stable and stable but stressed productivity classes averaging at 6.2% and lowest in slightly declining and declining productivity classes averaging at 2.865%. In conclusion, the results showed soil that soils in increasing and stable productivity classes were generally superior to the rest of the productivity classes. The results from regression models and analysis of variance also showed that management practices significantly influenced the yield on the plantations.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Global level of natural resources and environmental problems have promoted the awareness of a need for sustainable development. Agriculture is among the top human activities that alters the global environment to the greatest extent (European Commission, 2022). Thus, sustainable development must inevitably deal with sustainable agriculture (FAO, 2022). Sustainable agriculture implies an agricultural system that can be maintained in a steady-state over time and able to continually provide food and other resource to a growing population (Velten et al., 2015).

Soil is the fundamental resource for nearly all land uses, and the most important component of sustainable agriculture (Nambiar et al., 2001). Therefore, assessment of soil quality, and its direction of change with time is an ideal and primary indicator of sustainable agricultural land management (Lal, 2016). Changes in land cover density and intensification of agriculture aggravate the leaching rate of soil organic matter and nutrients (Alam et al., 2017) and an accelerated rate of land degradation (Zajícová & Chuman, 2019). The cliché is also true, for example, integrated management of arable soil is the key to deal with most complex soil properties, thereby maintaining the land cover dynamics (Alam et al., 2014).

Soil fertility is becoming a concern, and more and more communal land is both privatized and converted to cash crop production and the fertility of Ugandan soils has been on a whole decline. The Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Uganda (Chenery, 1960) identified soils with higher than moderate productivity and soils with low or nil productivity. The distribution of these is detailed in (Jameson, 1970). Based on earlier soil analytical work, one could expect soil acidity, low organic carbon content, nitrogen, phosphorous and exchangeable calcium (Ca^{2+}) as factors likely to limit soil productivity. This was indeed confirmed by soil fertility research work at the time (Webb, 1954; Dept. of Agric., 1955). Foster, (1970) reported yield response to lime and potassium fertilizer for several crops in Uganda. Aluminum and Manganese toxicities were also observed in some soils (Foster, 1970; Stephens, 1970). Data from recent soil tests at Kawanda soil analytical laboratories show pH values as low ~s 3.3, and exchangeable calcium, Mg^{2+} and K^+ values of 4.0, 0.5 and 0.2, respectively, on soils from Kabale district. Organic carbon levels as low as 0.2 % have also been observed on soils from Kyengeru, Mpigi district; organic matter is associated with many

OTHER ADDITIONAL PAGES

6.3 References

- Alam, K., Islam, M., Salahin, N., & Hasanuzzaman, M. (2014). Effect of Tillage Practices on Soil Properties and Crop Productivity in Wheat-Mungbean-Rice Cropping. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 1–15.
- Alam, M. K., Salahin, N., Islam, S., Begum, R. A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Islam, M. S., & Rahman, M. M. (2017). Patterns of change in soil organic matter, physical properties and crop productivity under tillage practices and cropping systems in Bangladesh. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 155(2), 216–238. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859616000265>
- Alfaro, M. A., Alfaro, M. A., Jarvis, S. C., & Gregory, P. J. (2004). Factors affecting potassium leaching in different soils. *Soil Use and Management*, 20(2), 182–189. <https://doi.org/10.1079/sum2004249>
- Amare, T., Terefe, A., Selassie, Y. G., Yitafaru, B., Wolfgramm, B., & Hurni, H. (2013). *Soil Properties and Crop Yields along the Terraces and Toposequence of Anjeni Watershed, Central Highlands of Ethiopia*. 5(2), 134–144. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v5n2p134>
- Arshad, M. A., & Martin, S. (2002). Identifying critical limits for soil quality indicators in agro-ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 88(2), 153–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(01\)00252-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00252-3)
- Bahilu, B., Ababayehu, A., Tadesse, M., & Bayu, D. (2016). The effect of land management practices on soil physical and chemical properties in Gojeb Sub-river Basin of Dedo District, Southwest Ethiopia. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*, 7(10), 154–165. <https://doi.org/10.5897/jssem2016.0574>
- Bewket, W., & Stroosnijder, L. (2003). Effects of agroecological land use succession on soil properties in Chemoga watershed, Blue Nile basin, Ethiopia. *Geoderma*, 111(1–2), 85–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061\(02\)00255-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(02)00255-0)
- Bhunja, G. S., Shit, P. K., & Maiti, R. (2016). Spatial variability of soil organic carbon under different land use using radial basis function (RBF). *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 2(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-015-0070-x>

- Brady, N. C., & Weil, R. R. (2002). The nature and properties of soil 13th edition. *Agroforest. Syst*, 54(3), 249.
- Brady, N. C., Weil, R. R., & Weil, R. R. (2008). *The nature and properties of soils* (Vol. 13). Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Chenery, E. M. (1960). *An introduction to the soils of the Uganda Protectorate*.
- Coleman, D. C., Crossley, D. A., & Hendrix, P. F. (2004). Fundamentals of soil ecology. Elsevier, New York. *Fundamentals of Soil Ecology. 2nd Ed. Elsevier, New York*.
- Datta, A., Basak, N., Chaudhari, S. K., & Sharma, D. K. (2015). Soil properties and organic carbon distribution under different land uses in reclaimed sodic soils of North-West India. *Geoderma Regional*, 4, 134–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2015.01.006>
- Degu, M., Melese, A., & Tena, W. (2019). *Effects of Soil Conservation Practice and Crop Rotation on Selected Soil Physicochemical Properties : The Case of Dembecha District , Northwestern Ethiopia. 2019*.
- Demelash, M., & Stahr, K. (2010). Assessment of integrated soil and water conservation measures on key soil properties in South Gonder, North-Western Highlands of Ethiopia. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*, 1(7), 164–176.
- European Commission. (2022). *No Title*. Climate Action. https://climate.ec.europa.eu/climate-change/causes-climate-change_en#:~:text=Burning fossil fuels%2C cutting down,greenhouse effect and global warming.
- FAO. (2022). *Sustainable Development Goals. 2030 Agenda For Sustainable Development*. <https://www.fao.org/sustainable-development-goals/overview/fao-and-the-2030-agenda-for-sustainable-development/sustainable-agriculture/en/>
- Foth, H. D. (1990). *Fundamentals of Soil Science* (8th ed.). Wiley. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Fundamentals+of+Soil+Science%2C+8th+Edition-p-9780471522799>
- Glenn, I. (1992). Sampling the Evidence of Extension Program Impact. In *Programme Evaluation and Organizational Development.: Vol. PEOD-5* (Issue IFAS).
- Gray, L. C. (1999). Is land being degraded? A multi-scale investigation of landscape change in

- southwestern Burkina Faso. *Land Degradation and Development*, 10(4), 329–343.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-145X\(199907/08\)10:4<329::AID-LDR361>3.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-145X(199907/08)10:4<329::AID-LDR361>3.0.CO;2-I)
- Grewal, J. S., & Kanwar, J. S. (1976). *Potassium and ammonium fixation in Indian soils: a review*.
- Gulamhusein Juma, N. (2001). The pedosphere and its dynamics. A systems approach to soil. Volumen 1: introduction to soil science and soil science resources. *Geoderma*, 101, 149–151.
- Hajabbasi, M. A., Jalalian, A., & Karimzadeh, H. R. (1997). Deforestation effects on soil physical and chemical properties, lordegan, iran. *Plant and Soil*, 190(2), 301–308.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004243702208>
- Hartemink, A. E. (1998). Soil chemical and physical properties as indicators of sustainable land management under sugar cane in Papua New Guinea. *Geoderma*, 85(4), 283–306.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061\(98\)00048-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(98)00048-2)
- Jameson, J. D. (1970). Agriculture in Uganda. *Agriculture in Uganda*. 2nd Ed.
- Jayne, B., Roger, R., & John, W. (2001). *Biological Soil Crusts*.
- Kabarole Dlg. (2021). *Kabarole District Local Government*. <https://kabarole.go.ug/>
- Karlen, D.L., Mausbach, M.J., Doran, J.W., Cline, R.G., Harris, R.F., Schuman, G.E., & Soil Science Society of America. (1997). Soil quality: a concept, definition, and framework for evaluation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 61.
<http://naldc.nal.usda.gov/catalog/16713>
- Kelling, K. A., & Schulte, E. E. (n.d.). *Soil and Applied Calcium Approximate Chemical Percent*. 4–5.
- Killham, K. (1994). *Soil ecology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lal, R. (1996). Deforestation and land-use effects on soil degradation and rehabilitation in western Nigeria. II. Soil chemical properties. *Land Degradation & Development*, 7(2), 87–98. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1099-145x\(199606\)7:2<87::aid-ldr219>3.3.co;2-o](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-145x(199606)7:2<87::aid-ldr219>3.3.co;2-o)
- Lal, R. (2016). *Soil health and carbon management*. 1. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.96>

- Landon, J. R. (1984). Tropical soil manual. In *London: Booker Agriculture International Limited*.
- Lee, K. E., & Foster, R. C. (1991). Soil fauna and soil structure. *Soil Research*, 29(6), 745–775.
- Lumbanraja, J., Syam, T., Nishide, H., Mahi, A. K., Utomo, M., Sarno, & Kimura, M. (1998). Deterioration of soil fertility by land use changes in South Sumatra, Indonesia: from 1970 to 1990. *Hydrological Processes*, 12(13–14), 2003–2013. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1099-1085\(19981030\)12:13/14<2003::aid-hyp715>3.0.co;2-d](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-1085(19981030)12:13/14<2003::aid-hyp715>3.0.co;2-d)
- Machovina. (2016). *UAV remote sensing of spatial variation in banana production*. *Jones 2004*, 1281–1287.
- Majaliwa. (2015). Suitability of major agricultural land uses around Kibale National Park. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 10(36), 3582–3589. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajar2014.9197>
- Mazzucato, V., & Niemeijer, D. (2000). *Rethinking soil and water conservation in a changing society: A case study in eastern Burkina Faso*. Wageningen University and Research.
- McCauley, A., Jones, C., & Jacobsen, J. (2005). Basic Soil Properties. *Soil and Water*, 1–12. http://landresources.montana.edu/SWM/PDF/Final_proof_SW1.pdf
- Munny, N. N., Khan, M. Z., & Hanif, M. (2021). Vertical distribution of soil properties and organic carbon under different land use systems in saline soils of Bangladesh. *Environmental Challenges*, 4(March), 100097. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100097>
- Nambiar, K. K. M., Gupta, A. P., Fu, Q., & Li, S. (2001). Biophysical, chemical and socio-economic indicators for assessing agricultural sustainability in the Chinese coastal zone. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 87(2), 209–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(01\)00279-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00279-1)
- NewVision. (2021). *No Title*. <https://www.newvision.co.ug/category/news/ugandas-soil-fertility-declining---report-97129>
- Okalebo, J. R., Gathua, K. W., & Woomer, P. L. (2002). Laboratory Methods of Soil and Plant Analysis: A Working Manual. *Laboratory Methods of Soil and Plant Analysis: A Working*

Manual.

Olson, J. M. (2021). *In Its Extent And Impact Commissioned by Global Mechanism with support from the World Bank. April.*

Osman, K. T. (2013). Soils: Principles, properties and management. *Soils: Principles, Properties and Management*, 9789400756, 1–271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5663-2>

ProMusa. (2020). *No Title.* https://www.promusa.org/Uganda#Banana-producing_areas

Rehm, G. and M. S. (2002). *No Title.* Potassium for Crop Production: Regents of the University of Minnesota. <https://extension.umn.edu/phosphorus-and-potassium/potassium-crop-production>

Saikh, H., Varadachari, C., & Ghosh, K. (1998a). Changes in carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus levels due to deforestation and cultivation: A case study in Simlipal National Park, India. *Plant and Soil*, 198(2), 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004391615003>

Saikh, H., Varadachari, C., & Ghosh, K. (1998b). Effects of deforestation and cultivation on soil CEC and contents of exchangeable bases: A case study in Simlipal National Park, India. *Plant and Soil*, 204(2), 175–181. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004323426199>

Schulte, E. E. (n.d.). *Soil and Applied Magnesium (A2524).*

Sciences, U. J. of A. (2000). *A century of soils research and development in Uganda.* 22–27.

Selassie, Y. G., Anemut, F., & Addisu, S. (2015). The effects of land use types, management practices and slope classes on selected soil physico-chemical properties in Zikre watershed, North-Western Ethiopia. *Environmental Systems Research*, 4(1), 0–6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-015-0027-0>

Sheoran, V. (2010). Soil Reclamation of Abandoned Mine Land by Revegetation: A Review یاهی پ و شش ت و س ط شده رهازمین معدنی خاک اد یای گ. *Scholarworks.Umass.Edu*, 3(2), 13. <https://scholarworks.umass.edu/intljssw/vol3/iss2/13/>

Shete, M., Rutten, M., Schoneveld, G. C., & Zewude, E. (2016). Land-use changes by large-scale plantations and their effects on soil organic carbon, micronutrients and bulk density: empirical evidence from Ethiopia. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 33(3), 689–704.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-015-9664-1>

Sulaymon, A. H., Abbood, D. W., & Ali, A. H. (2011). Competitive Adsorption of Phenol and Lead from Synthetic Wastewater onto Granular Activated Carbon. *J. Environ. Sci. Eng.*, 5(September 2017), pp 1389-1399.

Tolosana, E., & Tadesse, W. (n.d.). *Abdu*.

Velten, S., Leventon, J., Jager, N., & Newig, J. (2015). *What Is Sustainable Agriculture? A Systematic Review*. 7833–7865. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7067833>

Wibowo, H., & Kasno, A. (2021). Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen dynamics in paddy soils on the Java Island, Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 648(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/648/1/012192>

Wild, A. (1993). *Soils and the Environment*. Cambridge university press.

Zajícová, K., & Chuman, T. (2019). Effect of land use on soil chemical properties after 190 years of forest to agricultural land conversion. *Soil and Water Research*, 14(3), 121–131. <https://doi.org/10.17221/5/2018-SWR>