



**BUSITEMA  
UNIVERSITY**  
*Pursuing Excellence*

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES**

**FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT**

**FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION ALONG RIVER  
UNYAMA.**

**CASE STUDY: ELEGU FLOOD PLAIN, AMURU DISTRICT**

By

**NAMONYE SAM (BU/UG/2018/2383)**

**AND**

**KIA ESTHER (BU/UP/2018/3631)**

**SUPERVISORS; MR. OKETCHO YORONIMO**

**MR. MUGISHA MOSES**

*A final year project report submitted to the Department of Water Resources Engineering  
as a partial fulfillment for the requirements for the award of a Bachelor's of Science  
degree in Water Resources Engineering*

**NOVEMBER 2022**

## **ABSTRACT**

Unyama sub-catchment has an estimated drainage area of 1565 km<sup>2</sup> covering parts of Gulu and Amuru districts. The high stages of R. Unyama in Elegu flood plain are caused by heavy rains in Gulu and Amuru districts. This challenge has existed since 1960 but limited interventions have been done as businesses and other activities continue to be stifled. This research focused on flood risk assessment and mitigation along river Unyama in Elegu flood plain. Flood risk assessment was done through hydrologic and hydrodynamic modelling techniques. Since R. Unyama is not gauged, a rainfall-runoff model was developed using Arc-SWAT, Gumbel distribution method was used to analyze discharges for various return periods. Flood analysis was done using HEC-RAS software version 6.2, flood inundation maps corresponding to discharges of different return periods were developed and analyzed. A multi criteria approach was used to determine the most effective structural flood mitigation measure along river Unyama. Diversion and detention was found to be the most appropriate mitigation measure, Diversion channels were designed upstream of Elegu flood plain to divert the flood water before it reaches Elegu flood plain and store it in detention ponds such that it can be released at gradual and controlled intervals with an aim of attenuating the flood., the diversion and control structures were designed and simulated in HEC-RAS. Detention ponds were sized using hydrology studio, a flood routing-based software. The findings of the study were as follows; the discharges of 5, 7, 10, 25,100 and 200-year return periods were 200m<sup>3</sup>/s, 233 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 270 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 360 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 493 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 558 m<sup>3</sup>/s respectively. A 10-year return period discharge of 270 m<sup>3</sup>/s was considered as the design discharge of the diversion structure. Three diversion channels were designed and simulated, diversion channels one, two and three diverted, 29.3%, 33.7% and 15.6% of the total peak flow respectively from the river to detention ponds while 21.5% of the total peak discharge continued to Elegu flood plain where very minimal flooding occurred with maximum flood depth of 0.009m. Some of the recommendations included; the MWE should consider installing a river gauging station on River Unyama to ensure availability of accurate and reliable data, research should be done on willingness to pay for project implementation by the local people, the scouring effect of water on the gates and the channels should also be investigated.

## **DECLARATION**

We (Kia Esther and Namonye Sam) declare that this report is our own research and has not been either used nor submitted in any institution or university for any academic award.

<b>NAME</b>	<b>SIGN</b>	<b>DATE</b>
<b>MR. NAMONYE SAM</b>		
<b>Ms. KIA ESTHER</b>		

## **APPROVAL**

This research has been conducted and written under the supervision of;

NAME	SIGN	DATE
Mr. Oketcho Yoronimo		
Mr. Mugisha Moses		

## **DEDICATION**

We dedicate this report to our lovely parents Mrs. Betty Neumbe, Mrs. Beatrice Nabonyo, Mr. Odit John and Mrs. Dorcus Odit.

We also dedicate it to all our siblings.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly, we thank our father in heaven for his protection, courage and provision during the undertaking of this project.

Our humble appreciation goes to our supervisors Mr. OKETCHO YORONIMO AND Mr. MUGISHA MOSES both being lecturers at Busitema University Faculty of Engineering under the department of Water Resources Engineering for their consistence advice and guidance during this research

Great thanks go to our parents for their continuous support during the execution of the research.

We really appreciate the efforts of Mr. KAJUUBI ENOCK a lecturer at Busitema University, Faculty of Engineering, department of Water Resources Engineering for the continuous advice provided as far as hydrologic and hydraulic processes are concerned

We acknowledge the endless guidance provided to us by Mr. MASERUKA BENDICTO a lecturer at Busitema University Faculty of Engineering, Department of Water Resources Engineering for the continuous skills provided towards design of structures.

## TABLE OF CONTENT.

### Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	i
DECLARATION .....	ii
APPROVAL .....	iii
DEDICATION .....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	v
LIST OF EQUATIONS.....	xii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xiii
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	xv
1.0 CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1.1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2.0 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.3.0 Problem statement .....	2
1.4.0 Objectives .....	3
1.5.0 Justification .....	3
1.6.0 Scope and limitation .....	3
1.7.0 Location.....	4
2.0 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW .....	5
2.1.0 Flood.....	5
2.1.1 Types of floods .....	5
2.2.0 Methods of estimation of maximum flood.....	7
2.2.1 Past flood marks method.....	7
2.2.2 Empirical methods.....	7
2.2.3 Envelopes curves method.....	7
2.2.4 Concentration time method.....	8
2.2.5 Rational formula .....	8
2.2.6 Unit hydrograph method.....	8
2.3.0 Design storm.....	8
2.4.0 Flood modeling.....	9
2.4.1 Hydrological modelling .....	9

2.4.1.1 Hydrological modelling approaches .....	9
2.4.1.2 Software used for hydrologic modelling.....	10
2.4.1.2.1 SWAT for hydrological modeling.....	11
2.4.2 Hydrodynamic modelling. ....	11
2.4.2.1 Software for Hydrodynamic Modeling .....	12
2.4.2.1.1 Using HEC-RAS for hydrodynamic modeling. ....	12
2.5.0 Ungauged Rivers .....	12
2.5.1 Drainage Area Ratio Method.....	13
2.6.0 Flood mitigation measures. ....	14
2.6.1 Methods adopted to modify the flood.....	14
2.6.2 Methods adopted to modify the susceptibility of flood damage.....	15
2.6.3 Methods adopted to reduce the loss.....	15
2.6.3.1 Non-structural measures.....	15
2.6.3.2 Structural measures .....	15
2.6.3.2.1 Flood control Dams .....	15
2.6.3.2.2 By pass flood way /Diversion channel .....	16
2.6.3.2.3 River dredging.....	17
2.6.3.2.4 Levee construction .....	17
2.6.3.2.5 Water diversion and detention.....	17
2.8.0 Selection of design flood.....	18
2.7.1 Maximum Probable flood (MPF) .....	19
2.7.2 Standard project flood (SPF) .....	19
2.7.3 Flood corresponding to a certain desired frequency.....	19
2.8.0 Flood Water Storage.....	19
2.8.1 Types of Flood Storage.....	20
2.8.1.1 Online storage .....	20
2.8.1.2 The offline flood storage.....	20
2.8.2 Detention Ponds for Flood Control.....	20
2.8.3 Siting ponds .....	21
2.8.4 Pond shape .....	21
3.0 CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....	23
3.1.0 Methodology to Objective one .....	23
3.1.1 Steps followed to develop a rainfall-runoff model.....	23
3.1.1.1 Hydrological modelling and simulation.....	23
3.1.1.1.1 Data processing .....	25



3.1.1.1.2 Watershed delineation .....	25
3.1.1.1.3 Land use, soils and slope definition .....	25
3.1.1.1.4 Define HRUs .....	25
3.1.1.1.5 SWAT simulation.....	25
3.1.1.1.6 Calibration and validation .....	26
3.1.1.2 Flood frequency Analysis. ....	26
3.1.1.2.1 Gumbel distribution method.....	27
3.2.0 Methodology for specific objective two.....	28
3.2.1 Hydrodynamic model for flood hazard mapping.....	28
3.2.1.2 Creating a 1-Dimensional simulation model.....	28
3.2.1.3 Simulation of the 1-D simulation model.....	29
3.3.0 Methodology to specific objective three .....	30
3.3.1 Flood control dam assessment .....	30
3.3.2 By pass flood way assessment.....	30
3.3.3 River dredging assessment.....	31
3.3.4 Levee construction assessment .....	31
3.3.5 Water diversion and detention assessment .....	31
3.4.0 Methodology to specific objective four.....	32
3.4.1 Estimating the safe discharge of the river.....	32
3.4.2 Estimating the excess discharge of the river.....	32
3.4.3 Designing the different components of the diversion and control structure.....	32
3.4.3.1 Design of lateral weirs and sluice gates using HEC-RAS.. ....	32
3.4.3.2 Design of diversion channels. ....	32
3.4.4 Detention Pond design .....	34
3.4.4.1 Planning and preliminary design of the flood detention ponds.....	34
3.4.4.2 Estimating diversion channel discharge hydrographs using peak flow simulation technique. ....	34
3.4.4.3 Estimation of total amount of diverted water to be stored at every diversion site. ....	35
3.4.4.4 Sizing and estimation of the total number of ponds required at every diversion site .....	35
4.0 CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	36
4.1.0 Results from specific objective one.....	36
4.1.1 SWAT Results. ....	36
4.1.2 Calibration and validation results .....	37

4.1.3 Results of flood frequency analysis.....	38
4.2.0 Results for Specific objective two.....	41
4.2.1 Flood Hazard Maps.....	41
4.3.0 Results and discussion for objective three .....	45
4.4.0 Results for Specific Objective four .....	49
Designed and simulated diversion channels.....	49
4.4.1 Diversion channel at site one .....	49
4.4.2 Diversion channel at site two.....	51
4.4.3 Diversion channel at site three.....	53
4.4.4 Estimation of Discharge hydrographs .....	56
4.4.4.1 Diversion channel discharge hydrographs at Diversion site one.....	56
4.4.4.2 Diversion channel discharge hydrographs at Diversion site two.....	58
4.4.4.3 Diversion channel discharge hydrographs at Diversion site three.....	60
4.4.5 Estimation of total required storage for each diversion site .....	62
4.4.6 Sizing and estimation of the total number of ponds required at each diversion site.....	64
5.0 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION, CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATION..	69
5.1.0 Conclusion .....	69
5.2.0 challenges faced.....	69
5.1.0 Recommendations .....	69
REFERENCES .....	71
APPENDICES .....	74

## LIST OF TABLES.

Table 1: Data used for the SWAT Hydrologic model.....	24
Table 2 HEC-RAS Inputs for hydrodynamic modelling .....	28
Table 3 SWAT results indicating catchment area, land use type and soil type of Unyama catchment .....	36
Table 4: Table showing results of the Gumbel distribution method based on discharges. .	40
Table 5: Table showing Generated discharges of R. Unyama based on return period. ....	40
Table 6: Gate parameters at diversion site one, three gates each of 2.5 m width and 5 m height.....	50
Table 7: Free online manning’s Formula trapezoidal channel calculator table for the diversion channel at diversion site one. ....	50
Table 8: Weir and gate parameters at the second diversion site, three gates each of 2.5 m width and 4 m height.....	51
Table 9: Free online manning’s Formula trapezoidal channel calculator table for the diversion channel at diversion site two. ....	52
Table 10: Weir and gate parameters at the third diversion site, three gates each of 2.5 m width and 4.5 m height.....	53
Table 11: Free online manning’s Formula trapezoidal channel calculator table for diversion channel at diversion site three. ....	54
Table 12 Showing the diversion channel design parameters .....	55
Table 13 shows the diverted discharges at the tree sites.....	56
Table 14: Tabulated values for estimation of peak flow hydrograph at diversion site one	57
Table 15: Tabulated values for estimation of peak flow hydrograph at diversion site two	59
Table 16: Tabulated values for estimation of peak flow hydrograph at diversion site three .....	61
Table 17: showing results of the first four detention ponds each of 150,000 m <sup>3</sup> at site one. ....	64
Table 18: Table showing the detailed information of the more fourteen detention ponds each of 100,000 m <sup>3</sup> .....	65
Table 19: Summarizing the channel details of first four detention ponds each of capacity 150,000 m <sup>3</sup> at site two from hydrology studio. ....	66
Table 20: summary of more four detention ponds at site two each of capacity of 400,000 m <sup>3</sup> .....	66

Table 21: summary of the details of five detention ponds each of 80,000 m<sup>3</sup> .....67

Table 22: summary of the parameters considered in the design of the detention ponds ...68

## LIST OF EQUATIONS.

Equation 1: Rational formula for estimation of maximum flood.....	8
Equation 2: Drainage Area Ratio Method.....	13
Equation 3: evaluation of the correctness of drainage area ration method. ....	14
Equation 4: probability of exceedance formula used in Gumbel distribution method. ....	27
Equation 5:Return period formula. ....	27
Equation 6: Reduced variant formula .....	27
Equation 7: Gumbel equation used in flood frequency analysis.....	27
Equation 8: Frequency factor formula. ....	27
Equation 9 :lag time formula used in peak flow simulation technique.....	35
Equation 10: volume corrected formula.....	35
Equation 11: Volume corrected basin lag time formula .....	35

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 shows the location of the case study.....	4
Figure 2: Map of Unyama Watershed showing Subbasins, Land use types and soil types. .....	37
Figure 3: graph indicating results from the calibration and validation process using swat output viewer.....	38
Figure 4: Showing Flood Extent in Elegu due to a 5 year return period discharge of 200 $m^3/s$ .....	41
Figure 5 Showing flood extent in Elegu caused by a 7-year return period discharge of $233m^3/s$ .....	42
Figure 6 Showing flood extent in Elegu caused by a 10-year return period discharge of $270m^3/s$ .....	43
Figure 7: showing flood extent in Elegu due to a 25-year return period discharge of 360 $m^3/s$ .....	44
Figure 8: Design of lateral weir and gates at diversion site one .....	49
Figure 9: The cross-section of the diversion channel at diversion site one. ....	50
Figure 10: Lateral weir and Gates at diversion site two.....	51
Figure 11 Diversion channel cross section at site 3 with a freeboard of 0.5m and showing variations in the velocity profile .....	52
Figure 12: Lateral weir and gates at diversion site three. ....	53
Figure 13:Diversion channel cross section at site 3 with a freeboard of 0.5m and showing variations in the velocity profile .....	54
Figure 14 Map showing Flood extent after diversions, caused by a discharge of $60m^3/s$ ..	55
Figure 15: Simulated peak flow hydrograph at diversion site one.....	58
Figure 16: Simulated peak flow hydrograph at diversion site two. ....	60
Figure 17: Simulated peak flow hydrograph at diversion site three. ....	62
Figure 18: Diversion site one required storage .....	62
Figure 19: Diversion site two required storage.....	63
Figure 20: Diversion site three.....	63
Figure 21 Showing cross sectional drawing of the diversion channel ..... <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>	
Figure 22 Showing cross sectional drawing for the detention ponds. <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>	

Figure 23: Inundated residential homes in Elegu Town council due to the floods.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Figure 24 showing a local leader explaining the flood dynamics of river Unyama ....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Figure 25 Showing banks of river Unyama .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Figure 26 showing the researchers in Amuru district .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

## **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

**HEC-RAS: Hydrologic Engineering Centre – River Analysis System**

**GIS: Geographic Information System**

**SWAT: Soil and Water Analysis Tool**

**WGS: World Geodetic System**

**DWRM: Directorate of Water Resource Management**

**UNMA: Uganda National Meteorological Authority**

**WMO: World Meteorological Organization**

**MWE: Ministry of Water and Environment**

**UTM: Universal Transverse Mercator**

**R. Unyama: River Unyama**

**FAO: Food and Agricultural Organization.**

**NSE: Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency**

**WBG: World Bank Group**

**USGS: United States Geological Survey**

**USDA: United States Department of Agriculture**

**PF: Profile Flow**

**DEM: Digital Elevation**

**CBWRM: Catchment-Based Water Resource Management**

**CMP: Catchment Management Plan**

**m: meter**

**km: kilo-meter**

**m<sup>3</sup>/s: Cubic meter per second**



**m/s: meters per second**

**NDPs: National Development Goals**

**NWSC: National Water and Sewerage Cooperation**

**SDGs: Sustainable Development Goals**

**NGO: Non-Governmental Organizations**

**MTIC: Ministry of Trade, Industry and Cooperatives**

**NEMA: National Environmental Management Authority**

**UBOS: Uganda Bureau of Statistics**

**UNWMZ: Upper Nile Water Management Zone**

**WMZ: Water Management Zone**

**SPF: Standard Project Flood**

**MPF: Maximum Probable Flood**

## **1.0 CHAPTER ONE**

### **1.1.0 INTRODUCTION.**

This chapter consists of; Background, problem statement, main objective, specific objectives, scope of the study and finally the justification.

### **1.2.0 BACKGROUND**

Flooding is one of the major climatic calamities affecting the world socially, economically and politically. Some of the major floods which have occurred in the world include; 1931 China floods which claimed about 4 million lives, 1938 yellow river floods which claimed about 800,000 lives in China, Banqiao floods of 1975 which claimed about 230,000 lives in China. z(Rentschler & Salhab, 2020)

Globally, flooding is still a big challenge to even the first world countries like China, Japan and many others. Flooding remains the most significant natural hazard worldwide, in the period of 1985-2008 extreme rainfall events were responsible for destructions worth USD 700 billion. (Rentschler & Salhab, 2020)

In Africa, some of the countries which have been severely hit by floods include; Algeria, Ethiopia, south Africa, Uganda, Kenya and many others. (Lumbroso, 2020)

Uganda is at risk of natural disasters due to occurrence of extreme weather events which lead to mudslides, landslides and flooding. Increased intensity of heavy rainfall has led to greater impact of floods causing more damage due to expanded infrastructure, human settlement and general development of the country. (WBG, 2021)

In Uganda, Some of the major floods have occurred in districts like Kasese, Bundibugyo, Kampala, Bududa, Amuru, Gulu and many others. (WBG, 2021)

Worldwide, several mitigation measures have been employed to avert and reduce the effect of the floods. These mitigation measures are either structural or non-structural. Some of the structural methods which have been used include; construction of levees, flood ways, diversion channels, dredging, recession agriculture/spate irrigation and many others. Some of the non-structural methods employed in reducing the effects of flooding include; flood warning and detection systems, insurance and many others.

## REFERENCES

- Associated Programme on Flood Management. (2007). Guidance on Flash Flood Management. Recent experiences from Central and Eastern Europe. *Flood Management Tools Series*, 65.
- Ausable River Association, 2000.
- Coastal flooding Risk, 2015.
- Cudworth, A. G. (1989). Flood Hydrology Manual. A *Water Resources Technical Publication*, 1–258.
- Douglas G. Emerson, Aldo V. Vecchia, and A. L. D. (2005). Evaluation of Drainage-Area Ratio Method Used to Estimate Streamflow for the Red River of the North Basin, North Dakota and Minnesota. *Scientific Investigations Report*, 5017.
- Fikadu, S., & Michael, Y. (2012). By: *Solomon Fikadu*.
- flood storage*, 2014.
- flood water harvesting*, 2019.
- Floods-WHO*, 2015.
- Hurricane Ike-Storm Surge*, 2008.
- IRRIGATION, WATER POWER AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING*, Dr.K.R. arora, 1998.
- Lumbroso, D. (2020). Flood risk management in Africa. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 13(3), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12612>
- Messages, K. E. Y. (2017). *Heavy rainfall causes flooding over eastern Sudan , western Ethiopia , and eastern Uganda*. 2016–2018.
- Mul, M. (2009). *Understanding hydrological processes in an ungauged catchment in sub-saharan africa*. <https://doi.org/ISBN 978-0-415-54956-1>
- National Conference of State Legislatures. (2006). In *Choice Reviews Online* (Vol. 43, Issue 12, pp. 43Sup-0720-43Sup – 0720). <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.43sup-0720>
- Patterson, C., Rosenberg, T., & Warren, A. (2016a). Design, operation and adaptation of reservoirs for flood storage. In *Report – SC120001/R*.

[www.gov.uk/government/publications](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications)

Patterson, C., Rosenberg, T., & Warren, A. (2016b). Design, operation and adaptation of reservoirs for flood storage. In *Report – SC120001/R*.

Pg, J. (n.d.). " *TXB Catchment Management Plan*.

Poudel, K. (2021). *Hydrological and Hydraulic Modeling for Flood Analysis : A Case Study for Modi Catchment*. 10(08), 534–544.

Rentschler, J., & Salhab, M. (2020). *People in Harm's Way : Flood Exposure and Poverty in 189 Countries*. Policy Research Working Paper; No. 9447. October, Policy Working Paper 9447. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/34655>

Report, W. I. (n.d.). *Simulation of Reservoir Storage and Firm Yields of Three Surface-Water Supplies , Ipswich River Basin , Massachusetts*.

Republic, T. H. E., & Water, M. O. F. (n.d.). *THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA MINISTRY OF WATER AND ENVIRONMENT WATER SUPPLY DESIGN MANUAL*.

Seneviratne, S. I., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C. M., Kanae, S., Kossin, J., Luo, Y., Marengo, J., Mc Innes, K., Rahimi, M., Reichstein, M., Sorteberg, A., Vera, C., Zhang, X., Rusticucci, M., Semenov, V., Alexander, L. V., Allen, S., Benito, G., ... Zwiers, F. W. (2012). Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment. *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation: Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 9781107025, 109–230.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139177245.006>

States, U. (2012). *Ponds — Planning , Design , . 590*.

*Storm Surge*, World Meteorological Organization, 2005.

*Structural measures for flood risk management*, 2017.

UBOS. (2017). National Population and Housing Census 2014 Area Specific Profiles Namayingo District. *Uganda Bureau of Statistics 2017, The National Population and Housing Census 2014 – Main Report , Kampala, Uganda, April 2017*, 1–105.

WBG. (2021). Climate Risk Country Profile: Uganda. *The World Bank Group*, 36.  
[www.worldbank.org](http://www.worldbank.org)

*Wet Detention Pond Guidance Sheet, 2018.*

Zamrodah, Y. (2016).pg15(2), 1–23.