

OPIO GEORGE

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

AI DRIVEN FARMBOT FOR CROP HEALTH MONITORING AND DISEASE DETECTION

BY

BU/UG/2018/4107

ATIM PROSCOVIA

BU/UP/2016/533

BIROJJO DONIKAMU FRANCIS

BU/UP/2018/3614

NAMUGANGA JOYCE

BU/UG/2018/2389

NAKYEYUNE MARIA BELINDA

BU/UP/2018/3652

A final year project report submitted to the department of water resources engineering as a partial fulfilment for the award of a bachelor of science in water resources engineering at Busitema university

January 2023

ABSTRACT

The increasing population in urban areas is associated with a number of problems like limited land for carrying out agriculture which leads to over dependence on buying food from the market. With an increase in food prices, the cost of living in urban areas increases leading to hunger. It can be seen that the land use pattern in urban centres is greatly affected leaving land used for agriculture in urban centres with a risk of being used for other activities like settlement and industrialization. A high growth rate of urbanization reduces the agricultural production resulting in the loss of potential crop yield due to the transformation of productive land to its non-productive usage. This reduces soil quality in terms of soil nutrients and aeration which leads to food insecurity.

The farmbot project was developed to make farming possible in urban areas where people have limited land, knowledge about farming and have busy schedules thus limited time to practice it effectively using their mobile devices. In this report we have improved the existing farmbot by introducing three systems that is; disease detection system, the water stress determination and crop growth monitoring systems which are all equally important for the crop health and high productivity. We aim at using locally available materials so that we can make the farmbot affordable to people who would like to have a small garden at their homes for constant food supply. With no improvement in the existing farmbot, there will be continuous poor crop yield from small-scale farms in urban areas hence low food production and low food supply to meet the food demand. The cost of living of people in urban areas will increase due to over dependency and reliance on buying food from market places at high prices. The low-income earners will not be able to afford the heightened food prices leading to malnutrition and hunger.

Design of components was made basing on the load requirements, the material used and the purpose. Components were fabricated, others 3D printed and later they were connected and the system was implemented following the circuit diagrams generated from circuito.io and proteus and flow charts to come up with algorithm of the system and programmed in raspberry pi3 and Arduino for the hardware section and various languages for the software section were used such as C++, MATLAB, python and JavaScript.

The system was tested at different levels, for example unit testing, integral testing and finally system testing and results discussed accordingly, indicating the efficiency of the system. An economic analysis was carried-out to establish the viability of the project, the Net Present Value was greater than 1 showing that the project is viable, the payback period was calculated giving

a period of about one and a half years. The conclusions were drawn, which indicated the efficiency of the system in improving the crop yield by detecting disease, determining water stress and monitoring growth of the crop with minimal human interaction.

Prototype was developed using available materials and components and it was able to move from one crop to the next crop and as well able to pick the desired tool and use it at the desired points. The quality of the crop produced with an improved AI driven farmbot showed great improvements than the one produced with the already existing farmbot in terms of the leaf appearance.

DECLARATION

We hereby declare to the best of our knowledge, that this report is our own research and has never been submitted before to any other university or institution of higher learning for any academic award. We stand to account for all the information contained in this report.

NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
ATIM PROSCOVIA	Ati.	1/1/023
BIROJJO DONIKAMU FRANCIS		
NAMUGANGA JOYCE		. 11/11/23
NAKYEYUNE MARIA BELINDA	ARelada	
OPIO GEORGE	Coppe !	111 23

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, we would like to thank the almighty God for keeping us in good health condition granting us all this opportunity to undertake this project.

Our sincere appreciation and gratitude go to our supervisor Mr. Maseruka Benedicto for his continuous guidance during this research. His advice and tireless effort in following up the progress of this project was quite much instrumental for its success.

Finally, yet importantly we thank our classmates for their advice and we appreciate their support.

	-	-	-	0	π	7	A	T	
A	и	4	к	U	N	y p	Э	ш	4

This proposal on Design and construction of an improved Farmbot to determine water stress monitor growth and detect pests and diseases in crops has been written under the supervision of;

Name: MR. MASERUKA BENDICTO.

Signature: Mazarra & Date 15th Feb 2023

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT	ii
The system was tested at different levels, for example unit testing, integral testing and final system testing and results discussed accordingly, indicating the efficiency of the system. A economic analysis was carried-out to establish the viability of the project, the Net Present Value was greater than 1 showing that the project is viable, the payback period was calculated giving a period of about one and a half years. The conclusions were drawn, which indicated the efficiency of the system in improving the crop yield by detecting disease, determining water stress and monitoring growth of the crop with minimal human interaction.	ch on.
Prototype was developed using available materials and components and it was able to move from one crop to the next crop and as well able to pick the desired tool and use it at the desired points. The quality of the crop produced with an improved AI driven farmbot show great improvements than the one produced with the already existing farmbot in terms of the leaf appearance.	ve ved ne
DECLARATION	
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	
APPROVAL Error! Bookmark not defin	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	.xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	.xiv
1.0 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.2.1 Justification of the study	3
1.3 Objectives of the study	3
1.3.1 Main objective	3
1.3.2 Specific objectives	3
1.4 Scope and limitations of the study	3
1.4.1 Geographical scope	3
1.4.2 Conceptual scope	4
1.4.3 Time scope	4
2.0 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2. 1 Introduction	5
2.2 Existing versions of farmbot	5
2.2.1 Genesis V0.5	5

2.2.2 Genesis v0.6	5
2.2.3 Genesis v0.7	5
2.2.4 Genesis v0.8	6
2.2.5 Genesis v0.9	6
2.2.6 Genesis v1.0	6
2.2.7 Genesis v1.1	7
2.2.8 Genesis v1.2	7
2.2.9 Genesis v1.3	7
2.2.10 Genesis v1.4	7
2.2.11 Genesis v1.6	7
2.2.12 Polar coordinate farmbot	8
2.3 MONITORING CROP GROWTH	8
2.3.1 Crop growth	8
2.3.2 Image processing	8
2.3.3 Positioning system	9
2.3.4 Machine learning	9
2.3.5 Numerical control (NC) and Computer numerical control (CNC)	9
2.3.6 Internet of things (IoT)	9
2.3.7 Classification of the existing methods	
2.3.7.1 Area of the leaf	9
2.3.7.2 Numbers of the leaf	10
2.3.7.3 Colour change of the shoot system	10
2.3.7.4 Length of the crop	11
2.3.7.5 Plant biomass	11
2.4 DISEASE DETECTION	11
2.4.3 Disease detection methods	12
2.4.3.1 Fluorescence Disease Detection Method	12
2.4.3.2 Hyperspectral Disease Detection Method	12
2.4.3.3 Gas Chromatography Disease Detection Method	
2.4.3.4 Thermography Disease Detection Method	12
2.4.4 Machine learning that have been used in pest and disease detection	13
2.4.4.1 Supervised Learning	
2.4.4.2 Unsupervised Learning	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.4.4.3 Reinforcement Learning	13

2.4.5.1 Artificial neural networks (ANN)	13
2.4.5.2 Convolutional neural networks (CNNs)	14
2.4.5.3 K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) classifier	14
2.4.5.4 Naive Bayes (NB)	14
2.5 WATER STRESS IN PLANTS	14
2.5.1 Soil based measures	15
2.5.1.1 The gravimetric soil sampling method.	15
2.5.1.2 Neuron probe sensors	15
2.5.2 Plant based approaches	15
2.5.2.1 Leaf Water Potential	15
2.5.2.2 Relative Water Content	16
2.5.2.3 Stomatal Conductance	16
2.5.2.4 Infrared thermometry	17
2.5.2.5 The thermal infrared camera	17
2. 6 AUTOMATIONS	17
2.6.1 Sensors used in the existing farmbot	17
2.6.1.1 Soil Moisture sensor	17
2.6.1.2 Water flow sensor (YFS201)	18
2.6.2 Cameras in the existing farmbot	18
2.6.2.1 Raspberry Pi Camera module	18
2.6.2.2 Farmbot Camera	18
2.6.3 Actuators in the existing farmbot	18
2.6.3.1 Linear actuator.	18
2.6.4 Methods for monitoring weather parameters	19
2.6.4.1 Using a Virtual Weather Station	19
2.6.4.3 Temperature and humidity sensor (DHT11 sensor)	19
2.6.5 Microcontrollers of the existing farmbot	20
2.6.5.1 Raspberry Pi controller	20
2.6.5.2 Arduino Mega 2560 microcontroller	20
3.0 CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	21
3.1 INTRODUCTION	21
3.1.2 Data acquisition	21
3.1.3 Requirements	21
3.1.4 System architecture	21
3.1.5 Concentual diagram	22

3.1.6 Block diagram	23
3.1.7 Circuit diagram	24
3.2 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE ONE: DESIGN OF THE SYSTEM	25
3.2.1 The Universal Tool Mount System	25
3.2.2 Planting system	25
3.2.3 Tools and equipment	27
3.2.3.1 Stepper motors	27
3.2.3.2 Energy components	27
3.2.3.3 Water flow rate sensor	27
3.2.3.4 Soil moisture sensor	28
3.2.3.5 LCD Interfacing with the Arduino Module	28
3.2.3.6 Fitting the servo motor and ball valve	29
3.2.3.7 Temperature and humidity sensor	29
3.2.3.8 Light Dependent Resistor sensor	29
3.2.3.8 High resolution 1080 HD USB webcam	30
3.2.4 Detection of bean rust and angular leaf spot in beans using CNN	32
3.2.4.1 Data acquisition	32
3.2.4.2 Data preparation	32
3.2.4.3 Building the model	33
3.2.4.4 Testing the model	33
3.2.5 Crop water stress determination system	34
3.2.5.1 Steps involved in development of the model	35
3.2.5.2 Determination of crop water requirement	35
3.2.6 Crop growth monitoring system	38
3.2.6.1 Steps involved	38
3.2.6.2 Edge detection and segmentation	39
3.2.7 Track extrusions	40
3.2.7.1 Column extrusions	40
3.2.7.2 Gantry main beam	41
3.2.7.3 Z axis extrusion	41
3.2.8 3D Printed plastic components	41
3.2.9 Power requirement	42
Total daily energy usage Error! Bookmark n	ot defined.
3.2.9.1 Choosing a batteries storage system	42
3.2.9.2 Choosing a solar panels system	43

3.2.9.3 Choosing a charge controller system	43
3.3 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE TWO: CONSTRUCTING THE PROTOTYPE	44
3.3.1 Material selection	44
3.3.2 Design of Farmbot gantry system	45
3.3.2.1 The gantry system	45
3.3.2.2 Z axis	45
3.3.2.3 Cross slide	48
3.3.3 Design of the axes	49
3.3.3.1 Y axis	49
3.3.3.2 X axis	50
3.3.4 Software selection	51
3.3.5 Acquiring of components	52
3.3.6 Programming the components	52
3.3.6.1 Development of the website	52
3.3.6.2 Programming of the Hardware	53
Assembling and installation	54
3.4 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE THREE: TESTING AND VALIDATING THE SYSTEM.	55
3.4.1 Unit testing	55
3.4.2 Integrated testing	55
3.4.3 System testing	56
3.5 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE FOUR: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE PROJECT	56
3.5.1 Initial investment for developing the farmbot	56
3.5.2 Maintenance and operational costs	58
3.5.3 Saving on wages and hiring agricultural expert	58
3.5.4 Rehabilitation cost	59
3.5.6 Net present value	59
3.5.7 Payback period	60
4. 0 CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
4.1 RESULTS FROM SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE ONE	61
4.1.1 Soil test	61
4.1.2 Results from disease detection model	62
4.1.3 Results from the water stress determination model	63
4.1.4 Results from the growth monitoring system	
4.1 Discussions	65
5.0 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION, CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATION	66

5.1 Conclusion	66
5.2 Challenges	66
5.3 Recommendation	66
6.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY	68
7.0 APPENDICES	70

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 showing farmbot genesis 05	5
Figure 2 showing farmbot genesis 06	5
Figure 3 showing farmbot genesis 07	6
Figure 4 showing farmbot genesis 08	6
Figure 5 showing farmbot genesis 09	6
Figure 6 showing farmbot genesis 10	7
Figure 7 showing farmbot genesis 1.6	8
Figure 8 showing ice plant, source google	9
Figure 9 showing rice plant, source google	10
Figure 10 showing banana leaf, source google.	10
Figure 11 showing summer barley plant	11
Figure 12 showing CNNs	14
Figure 13 showing Neuron probe sensors	15
Figure 14 showing flow domain reflectometry Error! Bookmark	not defined.
Figure 15 showing parts of an infrared camera	17
Figure 16 showing the flow chart of the planting system	26
Figure 17 showing the flow chart of the monitoring system	31
Figure 18 shows classification of the data collected	37
Figure 19 showing flow chart of the disease detection system	33
Figure 20 showing the flow chart of the water stress determination	37
Figure 21 showing growth monitoring system	40
Figure 22 shows the training and validation accuracy and loss graphs for the	water stress
determination model.	63

LIST OF TABLES

MWIR – middle wavelength infrared

 $MySQL-My\ Structural\ Query\ Language$

Table 1 showing data generated by the VWS	19			
Table 2 showing temperature humidity sensor				
Table 3 shows part of the weather data used for the crop water requirement calculations36				
Table 4 showing power details of components	42			
Table 5 shows total demand of power and energy	Error! Bookmark not defined.			
Table 6 showing data collection for soil tests	61			
Table 7 showing results from soil tests	62			
LIST OF ABBREVIATION				
TDR – time domain reflectometry	LWIR – long wavelength infrared			
FDR – frequency domain reflectometry	SVM- Support Vector Machine			
EC – electrical conductivity	GLCM- Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix			
LWP – leaf water potential	SNR- Signal-to-noise ratio			
ABA – abscisic acid	Wi-Fi – Wireless Fidelity			
RWC – relative water content	RAMPS – RepRap Arduino Mega Pololu			
FI – full irrigation	Shield			
DI – deficit irrigation	IDE – Integrated Development			
CWSI – crop water stress index	Environment LITML Harracteut Markur Language			
VPD – vapour pressure deficit	HTML – Hypertext Markup Language			
IR – infrared radiations	CSS – Cascading Style Sheets			

1.0 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

This chapter include the background of the study, problem statement, justification of the study, the purpose of the study, objectives of the study, scope of the study which include geographical scope, conceptual scope and finally time scope.

1.1Background of the study

The people living in urban centres over took those in the rural areas in 2007 (united Nations,). More than half of the world's population live in urban areas (Hanna & Max Rose, 2018). In 2015, the population that was evaluated to be living in urban areas was 567 million people and the population is projected to double by 2050. As of 2015, 50% of Africa's population lived in 1 of 7,617 urban agglomerations (Africa's urbanization dynamics,2020). Urban population in Uganda was reported to be 11,414,209 people in 2020 (Balasubramanian, 2010).

The increasing population in urban areas is associated with a number of problems like limited land for carrying out agriculture which leads to over dependence on buying food from the market. With an increase in food prices, the cost of living in urban areas increases leading to hunger. It can be seen that the land use pattern in urban centres is greatly affected leaving land used for agriculture in urban centres with a risk of being used for other activities like settlement and industrialization. A high growth rate of urbanization reduces the agricultural production resulting in the loss of potential crop yield due to the transformation of productive land to its non-productive usage. This reduces soil quality in terms of soil nutrients and aeration which leads to food insecurity.

According to Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN, more than 850 million people are chronically hungry (Ghattas & FAO, 2014); almost one in seven people around the world don't have enough food to live a healthy and active life. Moreover, the percentage of under nourished people also persistently increasing since 2014. The number of malnourished people in the world reached approximately 834 million in 2017 (Ghattas & FAO, 2014). Food insecurity became a crucial issue that needs to be solved as it becomes a substantial risk in the achievement of sustainable development goals (SDGs) and leads to many economic and non-economic problems. The second SDG which directly deals with zero hunger, how to achieve food security, improve nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture by providing food and establishing sustainable food production.

6.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aciksoz, S., Dal, I., & Özbek, M. Ö. (2021). Smart Urban Agriculture. *Developments in Engineering and ..., May*.
- Ahmad, U., Alvino, A., & Marino, S. (2021). Remote Sensing.
- Al-doski, J., Shattri, B. M., & Helmi-Zulhai, B. M.-S. (2016). Thermal Imaging for Pests Detecting—a Review. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Plantation*, 2(March), 10–30.
- Apan, a, Datt, B., & Kelly, R. (2005). Detection of Pests and Diseases in Vegetable Crops Using Hyperspectral Sensing: A Comparison of Reflectance Data for Different Sets of Symptoms. *Proceedings of SSC 2005 Spatial Intelligence Innovation and Praxis The National Biennial Conference of the Spatial Sciences Institute*, September, 10–18.
- Ashton, D., Gutierrez, O., & Teruya, T. (2018). Final Design Report (PRCP).
- Bieszczad, G., & Kastek, M. (2009). microbolometric focal plane array Method of detectors offset correction in thermovision camera with uncooled microbolometric focal plane array. September. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.830678
- Brown, J., & Lees, S. (2016). *Polar Coordinate Farmbot*.
- Buckley, T. N. (2019). *Tansley review How do stomata respond to water status*? 21–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15899
- Ghattas, H., & FAO. (2014). Food Security and Nutrition in the context of the Global Nutrition Transition. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*, *April*, 1–15.
- Intro to FarmBot Genesis / FarmBot Genesis Documentation. (n.d.). Retrieved July 14, 2022, from https://genesis.farm.bot/v1.4/FarmBot-Genesis-V1.4/intro
- Krarti, M. (2020). Economic Analysis. *Energy Audit of Building Systems*, 85–110. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420036442-8
- Krishnan, P. (2016). Plant-Water Stress Indicators: An Assessment Plant-Water Stress Indicators: An Assessment. September 2012.
- Lima, M. C. F., Leandro, M. E. D. de A., Valero, C., Coronel, L. C. P., & Bazzo, C. O. G. (2020). Automatic detection and monitoring of insect pests—A review. *Agriculture* (*Switzerland*), 10(5), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture10050161

- Mei, L., Guan, Z. G., Zhou, H. J., Lv, J., Zhu, Z. R., Cheng, J. A., Chen, F. J., Löfstedt, C., Svanberg, S., & Somesfalean, G. (2012). Agricultural pest monitoring using fluorescence lidar techniques Feasibility study. *Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics*, 106(3), 733–740. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-011-4785-8
- Mokhtar, U., El-Bendary, N., Hassenian, A. E., Emary, E., Mahmoud, M. A., Hefny, H., Tolba, M. F., Mokhtar, U., Hassenian, A. E., Emary, E., & Mahmoud, M. A. (2015). SVM-Based detection of tomato leaves diseases. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 323, 641–652. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11310-4_55
- Muppala, C., & Guruviah, V. (2020). Machine vision detection of pests, diseases and weeds: A review. *Journal of Phytology*, *12*, 9–19. https://doi.org/10.25081/jp.2020.v12.6145
- Mustafa Abdullah, D., & Mohsin Abdulazeez, A. (2021). Machine Learning Applications based on SVM Classification A Review. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, 1(2), 81–90. https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v1n2a50
- Objectives, C. (n.d.). *Introduction To Computer Numerical Control Manufacturing 1.1*Chapter Objectives. 1–10.
- OxfordSparks. (2017). What is Machine Learning? YouTube. 0–19.
- Parkash, V., & Singh, S. (2020). A Review on Potential Plant-Based Water Stress Indicators for Vegetable Crops. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12103945
- Pérez-Patricio, M., Camas-Anzueto, J. L., Sanchez-Alegría, A., Aguilar-González, A., Gutiérrez-Miceli, F., Escobar-Gómez, E., Voisin, Y., Rios-Rojas, C., & Grajales-Coutiño, R. (2018). Optical method for estimating the chlorophyll contents in plant leaves. *Sensors* (*Switzerland*), 18(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/s18020650
- Price, C., & Munns, R. (1999). Growth analysis: a quantitative approach. *Plants in Action: Adaptation in Nature, Performance in Cultivation*, 1–40.
- Profile, S. E. E. (2015). MEASUREMENTS OF LEAF RELATIVE WATER CONTENT IN ARAUCARIA ANGUSTIFOLIA 1. January 1999.
- Ramos-Giraldo, P., Chris Reberg-Horton, S., Mirsky, S., Lobaton, E., Locke, A. M., Henriquez, E., Zuniga, A., & Minin, A. (2020). Low-cost Smart Camera System for Water Stress Detection in Crops. *Proceedings of IEEE Sensors*, 2020-Octob(September 2021).