

P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda Gen: +256 - 45 444 8838 Fax: +256 - 45 4436517 Email: info@adm.busitema.ac.ug

www.busitema.ac.ug

FACULTY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF BIO-PHYSICAL AND GEO-INFORMATION

THE PERFORMANCE OF NILE TILAPIA (OREOCHROMISNILOTICUS) FED ON INDUSTRIALLY MANUFACTURED AND LOCALLY ON FARM FORMULATED FEEDS RAISED IN CAGES AT NAMASAGALI, UPPER VICTORIA NILE, UGANDA

NAME: MUGABE JOSEPH

REG; NO: BU/UG/2017/122



A RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULLFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE FISHERIES AND WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OF BUSITEMA UNIVERSTY.

DECEMBER 2020

DECLARATION

I MUGABE JOSEPH do declare that this resear	ch report is my original work and has not been
submitted for any other degree award to any other	university or institution of higher learning.
Sign Sign	Date 11/012021
	•

APPROVAL

This is to certify that this report by Mugabe Joseph has been, successfully completed under my supervision and recommend it for submission to the Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Science of Busitema University with my approval.

SUPERVISOR'S NAME:	BASSA SAMUEL (PhD Cand. Maseno University, Kenya)
DATE:	11 January 2021
SIGNATURE:	

DEDICATION

I dedicate this report to wonderful parents, the late Mr. Mukama Francis & Mrs. Babirye Aidah and my wife Tebesigwa Irone for their love, encouragement and support morally and financially you are greatly honored. May God Bless you abundantly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I dedicate this piece of work, to the most, highest GOD who graced this work to be a success. I acknowledge Busitema University and in particular school of Natural Resources and Environmental Science for making me what I am today. I am also grateful to my research supervisor Bassa Samuel for his valuable time in fine-tuning this research report. God be with you. Special thanks go to people of Namasagali campus for their wise and articulate counsel ever since I started working with them up to this date. Not to forget, I acknowledge my parents, whom I have missed during this heetic period, I salute you for your love. Finally, I recognize my children, all my brothers and sisters, colleagues, work mates, class mates; their support which has been, immensely appreciated towards helping me complete this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
APPROVAL	ii
DEDICATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	lx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	X
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Overvícw	
1.1. Background of the study	
1.2Statement of the problem	2
4.3General objective of the study	3
1.3.1 Special objectives of the study.	
1.3.2 Hypothesis	
1.4 Scope of the study	3
i.4.1 Geographical scope	3
1.4.2 Time Scope	
1.4.3 Content Scope	
1.5. Significance of the study	4
1.6 Conceptual Framework	4
1.7 Justillication of the study	
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
7.0 Introduction	^,

2.1 Fish feeding responses in terms of biomass towards the two sets of feeds-locally c	n larm
Formulated and Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds	 7
2.1.1Growth of Tilapia in Cage Culture	7
2.1.2 Feeding of Tilapin	9
2.1.3 Nutritional Requirements of Tilapia	10
2.1.4. Protein Requirements	12
2.1.5, Lipid Requirements	14
2.1.6. Other Nutrient Requirements	14
2.1.7 Digestibility Studies in Fish	15
2.1.8 Selecting Fish Feed Ingredients	10
2:2 Whether locally on farm formulated feeds can work properly in cages,	18
2.2.1 Analysis of Common Local Feed used in Uganda by Aquaculture Farmers	18
2.2.2 Experimental Fish	18
2.2.3 Tilapia Feed Experimental Diets	
2.2.4 Facces Collection	21
2 2.5 Formulation of Possible Uganda Tilapia Diet	2 l
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	22
3.0.4ntroduction	22
3.1 Rosearch Design	22
3.2 Sample Size / Subject	22
5.3 Research Instruments and tools	23
3.4 Research Procedures	23
3.5 Data Analysis Procedures	24
CUAPTER FOUR	25
4.1 The Fish Feeding Responses in terms of Biomass towards the Two Sets of Feeds-	Locafly
on form Formulated and techestrially Manufactured Fish Feeds	20

4.2 To Find out Whether Locally on Farm Formulated Feeds can Work Properly in Cages 28
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS,
5.1 Discussion of Findings
5.1.1 The Fish Feeding Responses in terms of Biomass towards the Two Sets of Feeds
Locally on Farm Formulated and Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds
5.1.2 To Find out Whether Locally on Farm Formulated Feeds can Work Properly in Cages 32
5.2 Conclusion
5.3 Recommendations
5.6 Areas for Further Research

LIST OF TABLES

Pable 2.1 Tilapia Nutrient Requirements (Halver, 2011)
Table 2.2 Protein Requirement in Tilapia production (FAO, 2017)
Table 2.3. Composition of Commercial Pellets samples (from label on feed bag)
Table 2.4. Ingredient composition of the experimental diet fed to Tilapias for estimating Nutrient Digestibility
Table 2.5. Estimated content of crude protein, crude fat, crude ash and moisture of diet 21
4.1 The Fish Feeding Responses in terms of Biomass towards the Two Sets of Feeds-Locally on Farm Formulated and Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds
Table 4.1: Growth Parameters, Survival and Morality of Tilapia Fingerings fed Local and Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds
Table 4.2: Proximate Composition of Local and Industrially Manufactured Fish feeds used in feeding of Tilapia Fingerings
Table 4.3: Mean range of water quality Parameters in the Cages
Table 4.4; Economics and Performances of the Local and Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds in Feeding one Tilania Fingering.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1 Conceptual Framework	5
Figure 4.1: Growth Parameters, Survival and Morality of Tilapia Fingerings Fed Local	and
Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds,	.,. 26
Figure 4.2; Proximate Composition of Local and Industrially Manufactured Fish Feeds use	ed in
Freeding of Tilapia Fingerings	27
Figure 4.3: Economies and Performances of the Local and Industrially Manufactured	Fish
Feeds in Feeding one Tilapia fingering	30

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADC: Apparent Digestibility Coefficients

CHO:L: Carbohydrate and Lipid Ratio

EAA: Essential Amino Acid

FAO: Food and Agricultural Organizations

FCR: Feed Conversion Ratio

FT: Feeding Treatment

LFCR: Low Food Conversion Ratio

LSD: Least Significant Difference

NFE: Nitrogen Free Extract

NRC: National Research Council

PER: Protein Efficiency Ratio

SGR: Specific Growth Rate

SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences

ABSTRACT

Feed costs contribute the most to operational costs in aquaculture production. The nutrient input and utilization need to be balanced, especially proteins, because it contributes the highest cost in aqua feeds. Key constraints to aquaculture development in Uganda are the poor quality and limited availability of supplementary feeds. Where commercial feeds are available, they are often prohibitively expensive. The alternative for farmers is to make their own feeds. However, the limited availability of ingredients, lack of information on fish nutrition and on how to make and deliver feeds often results in poor quality feed and reduced production and profitability. This study was conducted to assess the performance of Nile Tilapia (Oreochromisniloticus) fed on industrially manufactured and locally on farm formulated feeds raised in cages at Namasagali, apper Victoria Nile. Growth and survival of Tilapia fingerlings fed with a local feed and commercial feed were, observed for 13 weeks. The proximate compositions and economies of the feeds and water quality of the culturing cages were, assessed. Fish fed with industrial commercial feeds showed significant (P<0.05) higher weight increase, specific growth rate, protein efficiency ratio and low food conversion ratio than fish fed with local feed. Significant (1≥<0.05) higher mortality were recorded in fish fed with the local feed. The growth performance was a reflection of the proximate composition of the feeds with local feed having low crude protein (10.95%), lipid (3.95%) and ash (4.92%) when compared to industrially manufactured imported fish feed which had 42% crude protein, 12% lipid and 9.5% ash with protein being most significant. Carbohydrate (69.90%) and crude fiber (2.88%) were higher in the local feed than in industrial commercial feeds with an imbalance in carbohydrate and lipid ratio. Mortality was, attributed to stress resulting from the poor quality of the feed. Cost of feeding with local feed to a weight gain of 31.67g was 80/4, while the cost of feeding with to a weight gain of 148.58g was 16/ .

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

L0 Overview

This chapter contains the background of the study, statement of the problem, purpose of the study, objectives of the study, research questions, scope of the study and significance of the study.

1.1. Background of the study

The nile tilapia (Oreochromisniloticus), is the primary aquaculture species produced in Uganda. They are mainly cultured in earthen ponds and concrete or plastic tanks. Fish farming in Uganda is semi-intensive and practiced in mono-sex culture (all male species) for tilapia (Isyagi, 2001). The main water sources are rivers, natural springs and rainwater harvesting. With support from the government of Uganda, the Fisheries Department is actively seeking to facilitate improved communication and interaction among aquaculture producers and the aquaculture community. To support that effort, an Aquaculture unit was, established under the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF), (MAAIF, 2004).

One mission of the Department of fisheries is to foster in-land aquaculture that creates employment and business opportunities in communities across the island. Another objective is to provide a safe and sustainable way of acquiring food and improving family diets. Fisheries officers have been, trained to provide technical assistance to aquaculture farmers throughout the duration of the production cycle, from stocking to harvesting. Technical assistance also includes consultation on feed formulation and regular sampling of ponds and tanks using nets to monitor stocking density and growth (MAAIF, 2004).

In Viganda there is need to improve the sestainability and profitability of the aquaculture sector. One way to help improve the sector is to work towards overcoming the challenges associated with poor quality fish feed. There is currently no collaboration between feed producers and the contribution from the government of Uganda, which is needed in order to work together to formulate more viable feeds that farmers can use to potentially increase their production (SARNISSA, 2009).

REFERENCES

- Aggrey, J.D., Ambali & Malekano Lawrence, B. (2012). Genetic improvement with specific reference to tilapia genetic resources in Africa and their use in aquaculture: Potential benefits and risks. In
- Ahmed MS, Shafiq K and Kiani MS (2012) Growth performance of major carp, Labeo robita fingerlings on commercial feeds. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences 22(1): 93-96.
- Allan, C. G. (2007). Preparing Farm-Made Fish Feed. Australia: NSW Department of Primary Industries.
- Anderson, S. S. (1995). Fish Nutrition in Aquaculture. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Ациа Techna. (2004): The Feed Conversion Ratio and Other Performance Indicators in Farmed Fish. France: Groupe Techna.
- Brommett & Randall, E. (2002). Indigenous species for African aquaculture development, in Modaduga V. Gupta. Devin M. Bartley & Belen O. Acosta, eds. Use of genetically improved and alien species for aquaculture and conservation of aquatic biodiversity in Africa. Worldfish Center, Penang, Malaysia.
- Craig, S., & Helfrich, L. A. (2009, May 1). Understanding Fish Nutrition, Feeds and Feeding. Publications and Educational Resources VCE Publications 420-256.
- De Silva SS and Anderson TA (2015) Fish nutrition in aquaculture (5thed). Chapman and Hall. London, 319 pp.
- Department of Pisheries Resources. (2004). Fisheries Sector Strategic Plan, 2004. Department of Fisheries Resources, Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries. Entebbe. Uganda.
- Department of Fisheries Resources, (2004). The National Fishery Policy, Department of Fisheries Resources, Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Entebbe, Uganda.

- Department of Fisheries Resources. (2005). National Fisheries Planning Overview 2005.

 Department of Fisheries Resources, Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Entebbe, Uganda.
- Et-Sayed, A.-F. M. (1999). Alternative dietary protein sources for farmed tilapla, Occochromis spp. Egypt: Occanography Department, Faculty of Science, University of Alexandria, Alexandria,
- EAO .2005. Aquaculture production, (2003). Yearbook of Fishery Statistics Vol.96/2. Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. (2000). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture, 2000, FAO Rome.
- FAO. (2017). Aquaculture Feed and Fertilizer Resources Information System-Nile Tilapia Nutritional Requirements. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Fitzsimmons, K. (2009). My Tilapia. Arizona: Mr Trade Group, L.L.C.
- Haiver, J. E., & Hardy, R. W. (2002). Fish Nutrition (Third Edition). USA: Academic Press. St. Mark UNU-Fisheries Training Programme 28
- Halver., J. E. (2011). Latest Facts for Fish Feed Formulations revised (NRC bulletin on Nutrient Requirements for Fish and Shrimp.) Revised Figures. Scattle. WA. USA: The National Academy of Sciences.
- Hardy, R. W. (2011). Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. Washington: National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, Institute of Medicine, National Research Council.
- Hasan, M. R. (2017). Aquaculture Feed and Fertilizer Resources Information System, FAO, "
- Higginbotham, B. J. (1997). Oxygen Depletions in Farm Ponds Causes Signs and Correction.

 Texas: Prairie View A & M University.

- Hishamunda & Nathanael. (2001). Investment and economic feasibility: Promotion of sustainable commercial aquaculture in sub-Saharan Africa. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 408.
- Isyagi, A. N. (2001). Aquaculture in Uganda. In: Agriculture in Uganda, Volume 4: Livestock and Fisheries. Chapter 13, pp 341-363. NARO. Fountain Publishers, Kampala, 380pp.
- Isyagi, D. N., & Daniels, a. D. (2009). Manual for the Commercial Pond Production of the African Catfish in Uganda. Uganda: WALIMI Fishing Co-op Society Ltd.
- Keong, W., & Romano, N. (2012). A review of the nutrition and feeding management of farmed tilapia throughout the culture cycle. Reviews in Aquaculture, pp. 226-254.
- Lim. C. (2009). Lipid, Party Acid Requirements of Tilapia (Dietary Supplementation Essential For Health, Reproduction, Global Aquaculture Advocate.
- Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries (2000). Eake Victoria frame survey 2000. Main results of the survey: Frame survey subcomponent of the fisheries management component. Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries. Government of Uganda, Entebbe.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF), (2004). The National Fisheries Policy. Department of Fishery Resources. Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Kampala, Uganda.
- Nates, S. F. (2016). Aquafeed Formulation. Chippenham: Nikky Levy.
- Nates, S. F. (2016). Aquafeed Formalation, Kidlington, Oxford: Nikky Levy.
- Oenga, D.N., Mwanja, W.W. & Mashi, V. (2015). Meeting the increasing demand for fish in the Lake Victoria Basin through development of aquaculture. Lake Victoria Fisheries Organization Conference, 2005-02, Entebbe, Uganda.
- Ottolenghi, F., Silvestri, C., Giordano, P., Lovatelli, A. & New, M.B. (2004). Capture based aquaculture. FAO Rome.

- Riche, M. (2003). Feeding Tilapia in Intensive Recirculating Systems. North Central Regional Aquaculture Center in cooperation with USD, 4.
- SARNISSA. (2009). Assessment of National Aquaculture Policies and Programmes in Uganda.EC FP7 Project
- Sung, T.-g. (2014). Fresh Water Aquaculture Manual. Castries: Technical Mission of Republic of China (Taiwan) in Saint Lucia & Ministry of Agriculture, Food Production, Fisheries, Co-operatives and Roral Development.
- Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation (1999). Summary Report of a CTA study visit. 1999. Sustainable agro-apiculture systems in sub-Saharan
- World Fish Center. (2009), producing tilapia feed locally: A low cost option for small-scale farmers.