

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MINING AND WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING

WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING PROGRAMME

FINAL YEAR PROJECT REPORT

EXAMINING THE POLLUTION RISK OF INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER ON STREAMS

(Case study: Kinawataka Stream in Nakawa-Ntinda Industrial area)

BY MUTSAKA IVAN BU/UP/2011/1133



Email: mutsakaivan@gmail.com; Tel.:+256 787541335

SUPERVISOR

MAIN SUPERVISOR: Mr. Ssembatya Martin CO-SUPERVISOR: Mr. Wangi Mario

Submitted to the Department of Mining and Water Resources Engineering as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of a Bachelor of Science degree in Water Resources Engineering

ABSTRACT

Water pollution from industrial effluents being on the rise in Uganda's water systems. Water is a basic resource for human life yet has been a route for epidemic diseases in the country, this study presents an in depth examination of pollution risk in Kinawataka stream. The examination is based on laboratory tests made on 10 week samples collected from two technically chosen sampling stations along the stream. Various parameters of industrial origin known to be prime causers and or indicators of pollution are presented together with various monitoring methods applied on streams and their catchments. Statistical correlations among parameters and graphical comparisons of variation between parameters and against standard maximum permissible limits for effluents as set by NEMA were conducted. The study found out that most of these parameters are at very high concentrations of up to 10 times the maximum permissible limits set by the country's regulatory body; parameters of great effect to the streams health and human health like lead were found in great concentrations at points near their industrial sources. However, 6 kilo meters downstream it was observed that most of the parameters except for total pH and Nitrogen had lessened in concentration owing to various processes discussed in the third chapter. This reduction in concentration was well below the maximum permissible limits for effluent discharge but still presented a possible high risk event as per the decision support tool presented in the fourth chapter. A multi criteria decision support tool for response to any pollution event by relevant authorities is presented for turbidity units at ≥ 300 NTU and < 300 NTU. This report gives a proof of significant variation of parameters between sampling stations and provides what could be used as a timely decision support tool to respond to pollution events in the country.

AN ESSENTE YOU

DECLARATION

I MUTSAKA IVAN hereby declare that, this report is a true work of my hands and has never been presented by any person or institution for an academic award.

Date: 25 - MAY-20/6

BUSITEMA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
CLASS No.: FEI DRIL

APPROVAL

This project report is submitted for examination with approval of the following supervisors:

Mr. Ssembatya Martin.
MAIN SUPERVISOR
Date:
Sign:
Mr. Wangi Mario.
CO-SUPERVISOR
Date:
Sign:

DEDICATION.

This report is dedicated to my family members. My father Mr. Wantsusi Michael, my mother Mrs. Wekosasa Juliet, my siblings; Tonny, Eddy, Derrick, Frida, Joshua and Anita.

FOR STANKING TO

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

Great thanks goes to the staff of National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO-NAFFIRI) in Jinja and Kawanda for their support in conducting laboratory tests. Special thanks goes to Ms. Janet Naluwailo at NaFIRRI-Jinja, my supervisors Mr. Ssembatya Martin and Mr. Wangi Mario for their sincere commitment and guidance during this research.

ACRONYMS.

BOD Biochemical Oxygen Demand

CCME Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment

NaFIRRI National Agricultural Fisheries Resources Research Institute

NARO National Agricultural Research Organization

NEMA National Environmental Management Authority

NEMA-MPL MEMA-Maximum Permissible Limit

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service

NTU Nephelometric Turbidity Units

NWQH National Water Quality Handbook

pH Hydrogen Potential

USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1: pH levels at station 1 and 2	18
Figure 4-2: TN levels at station 1 and 2	. 19
Figure 4-3; TP levels at station 1 and 2	20
Figure 4-4: Turbidity levels at station 1 and 2	21
Figure 4-5: BOD levels at station 1 and 2	22
Figure 4-6: Pb levels at station 1 and 2	23
Figure 4-7: Station 1 overall	24
Figure 4-8: Station 2 overall	25
Figure 4-9: Decision support tool	26
Figure 5-10: Sketch map of Kinawataka stream watershed	37
Figure 5-12: Taking a 500ml water sample at station 2	. 39
Figure 5-13: Performing BOD; test.	40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4-1: Correlation analysis station 1 (ST-1)	16
Table 4-2: Correlation analysis station 2 (ST-2)	17
Table 5-1: Results for station 1	35
Table 5-2: Results for station 2	36
Table 5-3: NEMA standards of discharge of effluents or wastewater	38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	iï,
APPROVAL	iii
DEDICATION.	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
ACRÓNYMS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLESv	iii
CHAPTER ONE.	. l
1.0 INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1 BACKGROUND	. 1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.	. 2
1.3 JUSTIFICATION	. 2
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	. 3
1.5 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	. 3
1.5.1 Main objective.	. 3
1.5.2 Specific Objectives	. 3
1.6 SCOPE OF STUDY	. 3
CHAPTER TWO:	. 4
2.1 LITERATURE REVIEW	. 4
2.2 WATER POLLUTION DUE TO INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES	. 4
2.3 EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS AND WATER QUALITY	. 4
2.4 MAJOR PARAMETERS DISCUSSED	. 5
2.4.1 PH	. 5
2.4.2 Plant Nutrients. (Nitrogen and Phosphorus)	. 5
2.4.3 Turbidity	. 6
2.4.4 Biochemical Oxygen Demand, (BOD)	. 6
2.4.5 Heavy metals (Lead, Copper and Cadmium)	. 7
2.5. Effects of industrial effluents:	. 7
2.5.1 Pathogens.	. 7

2:5.2 Oxygen depletion:
2.5.3 Eutrophication:
2.5.4 Toxicity of effluents:
2.6.1 Stream ordering or hierarchical approach
2.6.2 Plot designs 8
2.6.3 Single watershed. (Before and after)9
2.6.4 Above and below watersheds9
2.6.6 Two watersheds
2.6.7 Paired watersheds
2.6.8 Multiple watersheds
2.6.9 Trend stations
2.7 Study area
2.8 Study design and sampling
2.9 Decision-support system tools
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY
3.1 Determining characteristics of water at sampling stations along the stream
3.1.1 Measuring pH
3.1.2 Measuring Total Nitrogen (TN)
3.1.3 Measuring Total Phosphorus (TP)
3.1.4 Determining Turbidity
3.1.5 Biochemical Öxygen Demand (BOD)
3.1.6 Testing for Lead (Pb)
3.2 Correlation analysis between parameters and comparison with national standards
3.3 Developing a décision support tool
CHAPTER FOUR: 16
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 Characteristics of water at the two sampling stations
4.2.1 Station 1
4.2.2 Station 2
4.3 A decision support tool for the use of stream water. (Turbidity event)

REFERENCES	. 33
APPENDICES.	
Appendix 1: Laboratory results	
Appendix 2:	
Appendix 3: Standard effluent discharge tables.	
Appendix 4: Field photos	. 39

CHAPTER ONE.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This chapter entails relevant information about the project, problem statement, and justification, objectives of the study, purpose of the study and the scope of the study.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Streams are a primary source of water that provides an ecological, social and economic status contributing to the GNP (Gross National Product) of the nation. They serve as source of water for domestic use, fishing, agriculture, recreation etc (Muwanga, 2006). The area through which Kinawataka stream passes is highly industrialized and also having a high population living in slums at the downstream (UBOS, 2014). Industries in the stream's catchment area include: Fish filleting, foods and beverage, pharmaceuticals, plastics, mattresses and metal fabricating industries. Careless disposal of industrial effluents and other wastes may contribute greatly to water pollution. Industrial pollution in the Uganda is on the increase and is creating a high environmental risk. Pollution emitted from industries is one of the major factors contributing to the deterioration of water quality in Uganda (Walakira, 2011).

The availability and quality of water determines the quality of life. Water quality is closely linked to water use and to the state of economic development (Environment Canada, 2001). Ground and surface waters can be contaminated by several sources. In urban areas, the careless disposal of industrial effluents and other wastes may contribute greatly to the poor quality of water (Walakira, 2011). Most of the water bodies in the areas of the developing world are the end points of effluents discharged from industries (NWQH, 2003). Kinawataka stream and its tributaries receive untreated effluents from industries in this area, the water quality of these streams has been tremendously affected as result of the industrial activities. These streams drain parts of Naguru Hill, Ntinda, Kyambogo, Banda, Kireka and feed into Kinawataka wetland and then finally into Lake Victoria. Effluents from the above industries are disposed into the streams almost without adequate treatment, which is likely to affect the water quality, human health and

CONTRACTOR

Ruth F. Weiner, Robin Matthews, J. Jeffrey Peirce and P. Aarne Vesilind, 2003. *Environmental Engineering*, Butterworth-Heineman, USA.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Science and Technology Consortium, 2003, *The National Water Quality Handbook (NWQH)*. United States Department of Agriculture.

Thomas Hillenbrand, Dominik Toussaint, Eberhard Bohm, 2005, Discharges of Copper, Zine and Lead to water and soil, Environmental Research of the Federal Ministry of Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety, Research Report 202 242 20/02.

Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS), 2014, National Population Housing and Census 2014 Provisional results, Revised Edition.

Walakira Paul, 2011, Impact of Industrial Effluents on Water Quality of Streams in Nakawa-Ntinda, Uganda,

100 1835 N N N